arXiv:1008.5085v3 [math.DG] 10 Nov 2010

CRITICAL SETS OF RANDOM SMOOTH FUNCTIONS ON COMPACT MANIFOLDS

LIVIU I. NICOLAESCU

ABSTRACT. We prove a Chern-Lashof type formula computing the expected number of critical points of smooth function on a smooth manifold M randomly chosen from a finite dimensional subspace $\boldsymbol{V}\subset C^\infty(M)$ equipped with a Gaussian probability measure. We then use this formula this formula to find the asymptotics of the expected number of critical points of a random linear combination of a large number eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on the round sphere, tori, or a products of two round spheres. In the case $M=S^1$ we show that the number of critical points of a trigonometric polynomial of degree $\leq \nu$ is a random variable Z_ν with expectation $\boldsymbol{E}(Z_\nu)\sim 2\sqrt{0.6}\,\nu$ and variance $\boldsymbol{var}(Z_\nu)\sim c\nu$ as $\nu\to\infty$, $c\approx 0.35$.

CONTENTS

Introduction 1
Notations 8
1. An abstract result 9
2. Random polynomials on spheres 16
3. Random trigonometric polynomials with given Newton polyhedron 30
4. A product formula 38
5. Random polynomials on $S^1\times S^{d-1}$, $d\geq 3$ 47
6. The variance of the number of critical points of a random trigonometric polynomial 52
Appendix A. Some elementary integrals 63
Appendix B. Basic facts about spherical harmonics 67
Appendix C. Invariant integrals over the space of symmetric matrices 69
Appendix D. Some elementary estimates 71
References 75

INTRODUCTION

Suppose that M is a compact, connected smooth manifold of dimension m. Given a finite dimensional vector space $\boldsymbol{V}\subset C^\infty(M)$ of dimension N we would like to know the average (expected) size of the critical set of a function $\boldsymbol{v}\in\boldsymbol{V}$. For the applications we have in mind $N\gg m$. We will refer to $\boldsymbol{V}$ as the *sample space* and we will denote by $\mu(\boldsymbol{v})$ the number of critical points of the function $\boldsymbol{v}\in\boldsymbol{V}$.

Date: Started June 8, 2010. Completed on August 4, 2010. Last modified on November 11, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 15B52, 42C10, 53C65, 58K05, 60D05, 60G15, 60G60 .

Key words and phrases. Morse functions, critical points, Chern-Lashof formula, Kac-Price formula, spherical harmonics, random matrices, stationary gaussian processes.

More explicitly, we fix a Euclidean inner product h on $\boldsymbol{V}$ and we denote by $S(\boldsymbol{V})$ the unit sphere in $\boldsymbol{V}$. We define *expected number of critical points* of a random function in $\boldsymbol{V}$ to be the quantity

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(M,\boldsymbol{V},h) &:= \frac{1}{\operatorname{area}\big(\,S(\boldsymbol{V})\,\big)}\int_{S(\boldsymbol{V})}\mu(\boldsymbol{v})|dS_h(\boldsymbol{v})| \\ &\overset{(A.4)}{=} \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{N}{2}}}\int_{\boldsymbol{V}} e^{-\frac{|\boldsymbol{v}|^2}{2\sigma^2}}\mu(\boldsymbol{v})\,|dV_h(\boldsymbol{v})|,\;\;\forall\sigma>0.\end{aligned}\tag{$\boldsymbol{\mu}_0$}$$

In other words, $\mu(M,\boldsymbol{V},h)$ is the expectation of the random variable $Z_{\boldsymbol{V},h}$

$$S(\boldsymbol{V})\ni\boldsymbol{v}\mapsto Z_{\boldsymbol{V},h}:=\mu(\boldsymbol{v}),\tag{$\boldsymbol{Z}$}$$

where $S(\boldsymbol{V})$ is equipped with the probability measure determined by the suitably rescaled area density determined by the metric h.

Let us observe that we can cast the above setup in the framework of Gaussian random fields, [1, 9]. Fix an orhonormal basis $(\Psi_\alpha)_{1\leq\alpha\leq N}$ of $(\boldsymbol{V},h)$, and a Gaussian probability measure on $\boldsymbol{V}$,

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}}e^{-\frac{|\boldsymbol{v}|^2}{2}}|d\boldsymbol{v}|.$$

Then the functions $\xi_\alpha:\boldsymbol{V}\to\mathbb{R}$, $\boldsymbol{v}\mapsto\xi_\alpha(\boldsymbol{v})=(\boldsymbol{v},\Psi_\alpha)$, are independent, normally distributed random variables with mean 0 and variance 1, and the equality

$$\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_\alpha\xi_\alpha(\boldsymbol{v})\Psi_\alpha(\boldsymbol{x})$$

defines an $\mathbb{R}$-valued centered Gaussian random field on M with covariance kernel

$$K_{\boldsymbol{V}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_\alpha\Psi_\alpha(\boldsymbol{x})\Psi_\alpha(\boldsymbol{y}),\;\;\forall\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}\in M.$$

Thus, we are seeking the expectation of the number of critical points of a sample function of this field. However, in this paper, most of the time, this point of view, will only stay in the background. A notable exception is Theorem 6.1 whose proof relies in an essential way on results from the theory of stationary gaussian processes.

It is possible that all the functions in $\boldsymbol{V}$ have infinite critical sets, in which case the integrals in ($\boldsymbol{\mu}_0$) are infinite. To avoid this problem we impose an *ampleness* condition on $\boldsymbol{V}$. More precisely, we require that for any point $\boldsymbol{x}\in M$, and any covector $\xi\in T^*_{\boldsymbol{x}}M$ there exists a function $\boldsymbol{v}\in\boldsymbol{V}$ whose differential at $\boldsymbol{x}$ is ξ. As explained in [28, §1.2], this condition implies that almost all functions $\boldsymbol{v}\in\boldsymbol{V}$ are Morse functions and thus have finite critical sets.

The above ampleness condition can be given a different interpretation by introducing the evaluation map

$$\mathbf{ev}=\mathbf{ev}^{\boldsymbol{V}}:M\to\boldsymbol{V}^\vee:=\operatorname{Hom}(\boldsymbol{V},\mathbb{R}),\;\;\boldsymbol{x}\mapsto\mathbf{ev}_{\boldsymbol{x}},$$

where for any $\boldsymbol{x}\in M$ the linear map $\mathbf{ev}_{\boldsymbol{x}}:\boldsymbol{V}\to\mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{ev}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v})=\boldsymbol{v}(x),\;\;\forall\boldsymbol{v}\in\boldsymbol{V}.$$

The ampleness condition is equivalent with the requirement that the evaluation map be an immersion.

This places us in the setup considered by J. Milnor [24] and Chern-Lashof [9]. These authors investigated immersions of a compact manifold M in an Euclidean space $\boldsymbol{E}$, and they computed the average number of critical points of the pullback to M of a random linear function on $\boldsymbol{E}$. That is precisely our problem with $\boldsymbol{E}=\boldsymbol{V}^\vee$ since a function $\boldsymbol{v}\in\boldsymbol{V}$ can be viewed canonically as a linear function on $\boldsymbol{V}^\vee$.

The papers [9, 24] contain a philosophically satisfactory answer to our initial question. The expected number of critical points is, up to a universal factor, the integral over M of a certain scalar called the *total curvature* of the immersion and canonically determined by the second fundamental form of the immersion.

Our interests are a bit more pedestrian since we are literally interested in estimating the expected number of critical points when $\dim \boldsymbol{V} \to \infty$. In our applications, unlike the situation analyzed in [9, 24], the metric on M is not induced by a metric on $\boldsymbol{V}$, but the other way around. We typically have a natural Riemann metric on M and then we use it to induce a metric on $\boldsymbol{V}$, namely, the restriction of the L^2-metric on $C^\infty(M)$ defined by our Riemann metric on M. The first theoretical goal of this paper is to rewrite the results in [9, 24] in a computationally friendlier form.

More precisely, we would like to describe a density $|d\mu|$ on M such that

$$\mu(M, \boldsymbol{V}, h) = \frac{1}{\operatorname{area}\big(S(\boldsymbol{V})\big)} \int_M |d\mu| = \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{N-1}} \int_M |d\mu|,$$

where $|d\mu|$ captures the infinitesimal behavior of the family of functions $\boldsymbol{V}$.

In Corollary 1.3 we describe such a density on M by relying on a standard trick in integral geometry. Our approach is different from the probabilistic method used in the proof of the closely related result, [8, Thm. 4.2], which is a higher dimensional version of a technique pioneered by M. Kac-and S. Rice, [1, 21, 32].

It is easier to explain Corollary 1.3 if we fix a metric g on M. The density $|d\mu|$ can be written as $|d\mu| = \rho_g |dV_g|$, for some smooth function $\rho_g : M \to [0, \infty)$. For $\boldsymbol{x} \in M$, the number $\rho_g(\boldsymbol{x})$ captures the average infinitesimal behavior of the family $\boldsymbol{V}$ at $\boldsymbol{x}$. Here is the explicit description of $\rho_g(\boldsymbol{x})$

Denote by $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ the subspace of $\boldsymbol{V}$ consisting of the functions that admit $\boldsymbol{x}$ as a critical point. Let $S(\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}})$ denote the unit sphere in $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ defined by the metric h on $\boldsymbol{V}$. Any function $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ has a well-defined Hessian at $\boldsymbol{x}$, $\operatorname{Hess}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v})$, that can be identified via the metric g with a symmetric linear operator

$$\operatorname{Hess}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v}, g) = \operatorname{Hess}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v}, g) : T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M \to T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M.$$

We set

$$\Delta_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{V}) := \int_{S(\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}})} |\det \operatorname{Hess}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v})|\, |dS(\boldsymbol{v})| \overset{(A.4)}{=} \frac{2}{\Gamma(N)} \int_{\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}} |\det \operatorname{Hess}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v})| e^{-|\boldsymbol{v}|^2} |d\boldsymbol{v}|. \tag{$\boldsymbol{\Delta}$}$$

The differential of the evaluation map at $\boldsymbol{x}$ is a linear map $\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{x}} : T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M \to \boldsymbol{V}^\vee$, and we denote by $J_g(\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{x}})$ its Jacobian, i.e., the norm of the induced linear map $\Lambda^m \mathcal{A}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{x}} : \Lambda^m T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M \to \Lambda^m \boldsymbol{V}^\vee$. Then

$$\rho_g(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\Delta_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{V}, g)}{J(\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{x}})},$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(M, \boldsymbol{V}, h) &= \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{N-1}} \int_M \frac{\Delta_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{V})}{J_g(\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{x}})} |dV_g(\boldsymbol{x})| \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{m}{2}} \int_M \frac{1}{J_g(\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{x}})} \left(\int_{\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}} |\det \operatorname{Hess}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v})| \frac{e^{-\frac{|\boldsymbol{v}|^2}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{\dim \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}}{2}}} |dV_{\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}}(\boldsymbol{v})| \right) |dV_g(\boldsymbol{x})|. \end{aligned} \tag{$\boldsymbol{\mu}_1$}$$

We want to emphasize that the density $\rho_g|dV_g|$ is *independent* of the metric g, but *it does depend on the metric* h on $\boldsymbol{V}$. In particular, the expectation $\mu(M, \boldsymbol{V}, h)$ does depend on the choice of metric h. For all the applications we have in mind, the metric h on $\boldsymbol{V}$ is obtained from a metric g on M in the fashion explained above. In this case we will use the notation $\mu(M, \boldsymbol{V}, g)$. Remark 2.2 contains a rather dramatic illustration of what happens when h is induced by a Sobolev metric other than L^2.

The equality ($\boldsymbol{\mu}_1$) can be used in some instances to compute the variance of the number of critical points of a random function in $\boldsymbol{V}$. More precisely, to a sample space $\boldsymbol{V} \subset C^\infty(M)$ we associate a sample space $\boldsymbol{V}_\Delta \subset C^\infty(M\times M)$, the image of $\boldsymbol{V}$ via the diagonal injection

$$\Delta : C^\infty(M) \to C^\infty(M\times M),\;\; \Delta\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}) = \boldsymbol{v}(x)+\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{y}),\;\; \forall \boldsymbol{v}\in\boldsymbol{V},\;\; \boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}\in M.$$

We equip $\boldsymbol{V}_\Delta$ with the metric h_Δ so that the map $\Delta : (\boldsymbol{V},h) \to (\boldsymbol{V}_\Delta, h_\Delta)$ is an isometry. Since $\mu\big(\,\Delta(\boldsymbol{v})\,\big) = \mu(\boldsymbol{v})^2$ we deduce that

$$\boldsymbol{E}\big(\,Z^2_{\boldsymbol{V},h}\,\big) = \boldsymbol{E}\big(\,Z_{\boldsymbol{V}_\Delta,h_\Delta}\,\big),$$

where $\boldsymbol{E}$ denotes the expectation of a random variable, and $Z_{-,-}$ are defined as in ($\boldsymbol{Z}$).

We also want to point out that if we remove the absolute value from the integrand $\mathrm{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v})$ in ($\boldsymbol{\mu}_1$), then we obtain a Gauss-Bonnet type theorem

$$\chi(M) = \frac{1}{\pi^{N/2}}\int_M \frac{1}{J_g(\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{x}})}\left(\int_{\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}} \det\mathrm{Hess}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v})\,e^{-|\boldsymbol{v}|^2}\,|d\boldsymbol{v}|\right)|dV_g(x)|,$$

where $\chi(M)$ denotes the Euler characteristic of M.

Most of our applications involve sequences of subspaces $\boldsymbol{V}_n \subset C^\infty(M)$ such that $\dim \boldsymbol{V}_n \to \infty$, and we investigate the asymptotic behavior of $\mu(M,\boldsymbol{V}_n,g)$ as $n\to\infty$, where g is a metric on M. One difficulty in applying ($\boldsymbol{\mu}_1$) comes from the definition ($\boldsymbol{\Delta}$) which involves integrals over spheres of arbitrarily large dimensions. There is a simple way of dealing with this issue when $\boldsymbol{V}$ is 2-*jet ample*, that is, for any $\boldsymbol{x}\in M$, and any 2-jet $j_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ at $\boldsymbol{x}$, there exists $\boldsymbol{v}\in\boldsymbol{V}$ whose 2-jet at $\boldsymbol{x}$ is $j_{\boldsymbol{x}}$.

Denote $\mathbf{Sym}^M_{\boldsymbol{x}}$, the space of selfadjoint linear operators $(T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M,g)\to(T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M,g)$. In this case, the linear map $\mathrm{Hess} : \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \to \mathbf{Sym}^M_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ is onto. The pushforward by $\mathrm{Hess}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ of the Gaussian probability measure $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ on $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\boldsymbol{x}} = \frac{e^{-\frac{|\boldsymbol{v}|^2}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{\dim \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}}{2}}}|dV_{\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}}(\boldsymbol{v})|,$$

is a (centered) Gaussian probability measure $\widehat{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ on $\mathbf{Sym}^M_{\boldsymbol{x}}$; see [20, §16]. In particular, $\widehat{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ is uniquely determined by it covariance matrix. This is a symmetric, positive define linear operator

$$\mathcal{C}^{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{x}} : \mathbf{Sym}^M_{\boldsymbol{x}} \to \mathbf{Sym}^M_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,.$$

We can then rewrite ($\boldsymbol{\mu}_1$) as

$$\mu(M,\boldsymbol{V},h) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{m}{2}}\int_M \frac{1}{J_g(\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{x}})}\left(\int_{\mathbf{Sym}^M_{\boldsymbol{x}}} |\det H\,|\,|d\widehat{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{V}}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(H)|\right)|dV_g(\boldsymbol{x})|. \tag{$\boldsymbol{\gamma}$}$$

This is very similar to the integral formula employed by Douglas-Shiffman-Zelditch, [13, 14], in their investigation of critical sets of random holomorphic sections of (ample) holomorphic line bundles.

In concrete situations a more ad-hoc method may be more suitable. Suppose that for every $\boldsymbol{x}$ we can find a subspace $\boldsymbol{L}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \subset \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ of dimension $\ell(\boldsymbol{x})$, such that for any $\boldsymbol{v}\in\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$, $\boldsymbol{v}\perp\boldsymbol{L}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ we have $\mathrm{Hess}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v}) = 0$. Noting that $\dim\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}} = \dim\boldsymbol{V} - \dim M = N-m$ and $\dim\boldsymbol{L}^\perp_{\boldsymbol{x}} = N-m-\ell(\boldsymbol{x})$ we obtain

$$\int_{\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}} e^{-|\boldsymbol{v}|^2}|\det\mathrm{Hess}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v})|\,|dV(\boldsymbol{v})| = \left(\int_{\boldsymbol{L}^\perp_{\boldsymbol{x}}} e^{-|\boldsymbol{u}|^2}\,|dV(\boldsymbol{u})|\right)\times$$

$$\times\left(\int_{\boldsymbol{L}_{\boldsymbol{x}}} e^{-|\boldsymbol{w}|^2}|\det\mathrm{Hess}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{w})|\,|dV(\boldsymbol{w})|\right)$$

$$= \pi^{\frac{N-m-\ell(\boldsymbol{x})}{2}} \underbrace{\left(\int_{\boldsymbol{L}_{\boldsymbol{x}}} |\det \mathrm{Hess}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{w})|\, e^{-|\boldsymbol{w}|^2}\, |dV(\boldsymbol{w})| \right)}_{=:\Delta(\boldsymbol{L}_{\boldsymbol{x}})}.$$

Using (σ) we can now rewrite ($\boldsymbol{\mu}_1$) as

$$\mu(M, \boldsymbol{V}, h) = \pi^{-\frac{m}{2}} \int_M \pi^{-\frac{\ell(\boldsymbol{x})}{2}} \frac{\Delta(\boldsymbol{L}_{\boldsymbol{x}})}{J_g(\mathcal{A}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{x}})} |dV_g(\boldsymbol{x})|. \qquad (\boldsymbol{\mu}_2)$$

Our first application of formula ($\boldsymbol{\mu}_2$) is in the case $M = S^{d-1}$ and g is the round metric g_d of radius 1 on the $(d-1)$ sphere. The eigenvalues of the Laplacian Δ_d on S^{d-1} are

$$\lambda_n(d) = n(n+d-2), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots .$$

For any nonnegative integer n, and any positive real number ν, we set

$$\mathcal{Y}_{n,d} := \ker(\Delta_d - \lambda_n(d)) \;\; \boldsymbol{V}_\nu(d) := \bigoplus_{n \le \nu} eY_{n,d}.$$

In Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 5.2 we show that for any $d \geq 2$ there exists a universal constant $K_d > 0$ such that

$$\mu(S^{d-1}, \boldsymbol{V}_\nu(d), g_d) \sim K_d \dim \boldsymbol{V}_\nu(d) \sim \frac{2K_d}{(d-1)!} \nu^{d-1} \;\; \text{as} \;\; \nu \to \infty. \qquad (\boldsymbol{A})$$

The proportionality constant K_d has an explicit description as an integral over the Gaussian ensemble of real, symmetric $(d-1) \times (d-1)$-matrices.

In Theorem 2.3 we concentrate on the space $\mathcal{Y}_{n,2}$ of spherical harmonics of degree n on the 2-sphere and we show that as $n \to \infty$

$$\mu(S^2, \mathcal{Y}_{n,2}) \sim \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} n^2. \qquad (\boldsymbol{B})$$

If we denote by ζ_n the expected number ζ_n of nodal domains of a random spherical harmonic of degree n then, according to the recent work of Nazarov and Sodin, [26], there exists a positive constant a such that

$$\zeta_n \sim a n^2 \;\; \text{as} \;\; n \to \infty.$$

The estimate ($\boldsymbol{B}$) implies that $a \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \approx 0.5773$. The classical estimates of Pleijel, [31], and Peetre, [29], imply that $a \leq \frac{4}{j_0^2} \approx 0.6916$, where j_0 denotes the first positive zero of the Bessel function J_0.

We next consider various spaces of trigonometric polynomials on an L-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^L$. To a finite subset $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{Z}^L$ we associate the space $\boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M})$ of trigonometric polynomials on $\mathbb{T}^L$ spanned by the “monomials”

$$\cos(m_1\theta_1 + \cdots + m_L\theta_L), \;\; \sin(m_1\theta_1 + \cdots + m_L\theta_L), \;\; (m_1, \dots, m_L) \in \mathcal{M},$$

and in Theorem 3.1 we give a formula for the expected number $\mu(\mathcal{M})$ of critical points of a trigonometric polynomial in $\boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M})$. We consider the special case when

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}^L_\nu := \left\{ (m_1, \dots, m_L) \in \mathbb{Z}^L; \;\; |m_i| \leq \nu, \;\; \forall i = 1, \dots, L \right\}$$

and in Theorem 3.2 we show that as $\nu \to \infty$ we have

$$\mu(\mathcal{M}^L_\nu) \sim \left(\frac{\pi}{6}\right)^{\frac{L}{2}} \langle |\det X| \rangle_\infty \times \dim \boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M}^L_\nu).$$

Above, $\langle |\det X|\rangle_\infty$ denotes the expected value of the absolute value of random symmetric $L\times L$ matrix, where the space $\mathbf{Sym}_L$ of such matrices is equipped with a certain gaussian probability measure that we describe explicitly. In particular, when $L=1$, we have

$$\mu(\mathcal{M}^1_\nu)\sim\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}\dim\boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M}^1_\nu)=2\nu\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}},\tag{\boldsymbol{E}}$$

while for $L=2$ we have

$$\mu(\mathcal{M}^2_\nu)\sim z_2\dim\boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M}^2_\nu).$$

The proportionality constant z_2 can be given an explicit, albeit complicated description in terms of elliptic functions. In particular,

$$z_2\approx 0.4717....$$

In the case $L=1$ we were able to prove a bit more. We denote by Z_ν the number of critical points of a random trigonometric polynomial in $\mathcal{M}^1_\nu$. Then Z_ν is a random variable with expectation $\boldsymbol{E}(Z_\nu)$ satisfying the asymptotic behavior ($\boldsymbol{E}$). In Theorem 6.1 we prove that its variance satisfies the asymptotic behavior

$$\boldsymbol{var}(Z_\nu)\sim\delta_\infty\nu,\tag{\boldsymbol{V}}$$

where δ_∞ is a positive constant ($\delta_\infty\approx 0.35$) described explicitly by an integral formula, (6.1).

We also compute the average number of critical points of a real trigonometric polynomial in two variables of the form

$$\bigl\{a\cos x+b\sin x+c\cos y+d\sin y+p\cos(x+y)+q\sin(x+y)\bigr\}.$$

This family of trigonometric polynomials was investigated by V.I. Arnold in [6] where he proves that a typical polynomial of this form has at most 8 critical points. In Theorem 3.6 we prove that the average number of critical points of a trigonometric polynomial in this family is $\frac{4\pi}{3}\approx 4.188$. Note that the minimum number of critical points of Morse function on the 2-torus is 4, and the above average is very close to this minimal number.

We then consider products of spheres $S^{d_1-1}\times S^{d_2-1}$ equipped with the product of the round metrics $g_{d_1}\times g_{d_2}$. In Theorems 4.4 and 5.6 we show that, for any $d_1,d_2\geq 2$, there exists a constant $K_{d_1,d_2}>0$ such that, for any $r\geq 1$, as $\nu\to\infty$, we have

$$\mu\bigl(S^{d_1-1}\times S^{d_2-1},\boldsymbol{V}_{\nu^r}(d_1)\otimes\boldsymbol{V}_\nu(d_2)\bigr)\sim K_{d_1,d_2}\bigl(\dim\boldsymbol{V}_{\nu^r}(d_1)\otimes\boldsymbol{V}_\nu(d_2)\bigr)^{\varpi(d_1,d_2,r)},\tag{\boldsymbol{C}}$$

where

$$\varpi(d_1,d_2,r)=\begin{cases}1, & (d_1-2)(d_2-2)=0.\\ & \\ \frac{(d_1-3)r+d_2+1}{(d_1-1)r+d_2-1}, & (d_1-2)(d_2-2)\neq 0.\end{cases}\tag{\boldsymbol{\varpi}}$$

Let us point out that for $d_1,d_2>2$, the function $r\mapsto\varpi(d_1,d_2,r)$, $r\geq 1$, is decreasing, nonnegative,

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\varpi(d_1,d_2,r)=\frac{d_1-3}{d_2-1}=\kappa(d_1,d_2)\ \text{ and }\ \varpi(d_1,d_2,r=1)=1.$$

In particular,

$$\varpi(d_1,d_2,r)<1,\ \ \forall r>1.$$

More surprisingly,

$$\varpi(d_1,d_2,r)=\varpi(d_2,d_1,r)=1\ \text{ if }\ (d_1-2)(d_2-2)=0,$$

but this symmetry is lost if $(d_1-2)(d_2-2)\neq 0$.

We find the asymmetry displayed in ($\boldsymbol{C}$) + ($\boldsymbol{\varpi}$) very surprising and we would like to comment a bit on this aspect.

Observe that the union of the increasing family of subspaces $\boldsymbol{W}_{\nu,r} = \boldsymbol{V}_{\nu^r}(d_1) \otimes \boldsymbol{V}_\nu(d_2)$ is dense in the Frèchet topology of $C^\infty(M)$, $M = S^{d_1-1} \times S^{d_2-1}$. The space $C^\infty(M)$ carries a natural stratification, where the various strata encode various types of degeneracies of the critical sets of functions on M. The top strata are filled by (stable) Morse function. This stratification traces stratifications on each of the subspaces $\boldsymbol{W}_{\nu,r}$ and, as $\nu \to \infty$, the combinatorics of the induced stratification on $\boldsymbol{W}_{\nu,r}$ captures more and more of the combinatorics of the stratification of $C^\infty(M)$.

The equality (***C***) shows that if $r' > r \geq 1$, the functions in $\boldsymbol{W}_{\nu,r}$ have, on average, relatively more critical points than the functions in $\boldsymbol{W}_{\nu,r'}$. This suggest that the subspace $(\boldsymbol{W}_{\nu,r})$ captures more of the stratification of $C^\infty(M)$ than $\boldsymbol{W}_{\nu,r'}$, and in this sense it is a more efficient approximation. The best approximation would be when $r = 1$, i.e., when the two factors S^{d_i-1} participate in the process as equal spectral partners. Note that this asymmetric behavior is not present when one of the factors is S^1.

This heuristic discussion suggests the following concepts. Suppose that M is a compact, connected Riemann manifold of dimension m. Define an *approximation regime* on M to be a sequence of finite dimensional subspaces $\boldsymbol{W}_\bullet = (\boldsymbol{W}_\nu)_{\nu\geq 1}$ of $C^\infty(M)$ such that

$$\boldsymbol{W}_1 \subset \boldsymbol{W}_2 \subset \cdots$$

and their union is dense in the Frèchet topology of $C^\infty(M)$. For any Riemann metric g on M, we define the *upper/lower complexities* of such a regime to be the quantities

$$\kappa^*(\boldsymbol{W}_\bullet, g) := \limsup_{\nu\to\infty} \frac{\log \mu(M, \boldsymbol{W}_\nu, g)}{\log \dim \boldsymbol{W}_\nu}, \;\; \kappa_*(\boldsymbol{W}_\bullet, g) := \liminf_{\nu\to\infty} \frac{\log \mu(M, \boldsymbol{W}_\nu, g)}{\log \dim \boldsymbol{W}_\nu}.$$

Intuitively, the approximation regimes with high upper complexity offer better approximations of $C^\infty(M)$. Finally, set

$$\kappa^*(M) := \sup_{\boldsymbol{W}_\bullet, g} \kappa^*(\boldsymbol{W}_\bullet, g), \;\; \kappa_*(M) := \inf_{\boldsymbol{W}_\bullet, g} \kappa_*(\boldsymbol{W}_\bullet, g).$$

The above results imply that

$$\kappa^*(S^{d-1}), \;\; \kappa^*(S^{d_1-1} \times S^{d_2-1}) \geq 1, \;\; \forall d_1, d_2 \geq 2,$$

$$\kappa_*(S^{d_1-1} \times S^{d_2-1}) \leq \frac{d_1-3}{d_2-1}, \;\; \forall d_1, d_2 \geq 3.$$

In particular, this shows that for any $d \geq 3$, we have

$$\kappa_*(S^2 \times S^{d-1}) = 0.$$

In Example 5.4 we[1] construct an approximation regime $(\boldsymbol{W}_n)_{n\geq 1}$on S^1 such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log \mu(S^1, \boldsymbol{W}_n)}{\log \dim \boldsymbol{W}_n} = \infty,$$

so that $\kappa^*(S^1) = \infty$.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Jesse Johnson for his careful proofreading of an earlier version the manuscript.

---

[1] The construction of the approximation regime in Example 5.4 was worked out during a very lively conversation with my colleague Richard Hind who was confident of its existence.

NOTATIONS

(i) $\boldsymbol{i} := \sqrt{-1}$.

(ii) We will denote by $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_n$ the "area" of the round n-dimensional sphere S^n of radius 1, and by $\boldsymbol{\omega}_n$ the "volume" of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$. These quantities are uniquely determined by the equalities (see [27, Ex. 9.1.11])

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-1} = n\boldsymbol{\omega}_n = 2\frac{\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)}, \;\; \Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}, \tag{σ}$$

where Γ is Euler's Gamma function.

(iii) For any Euclidean space $\boldsymbol{V}$, we denote by $S(\boldsymbol{V})$ the unit sphere in $\boldsymbol{V}$ centered at the origin and by $B(\boldsymbol{V})$ the unit ball in $\boldsymbol{V}$ centered at the origin.

(iv) If $\boldsymbol{V}_0$ and $\boldsymbol{V}_1$ are two Euclidean spaces of dimensions $n_0, n_1 < \infty$ and $A : \boldsymbol{V}_0 \to \boldsymbol{V}_1$ is a linear map, then the *Jacobian* of A is the nonnegative scalar $J(A)$ defined as the norm of the linear map

$$\Lambda^k A : \Lambda^k \boldsymbol{V}_0 \to \Lambda^k \boldsymbol{V}_1, \;\; k := \min(n_0, n_1).$$

More concretely, if $n_0 \leq n_1$, and $\{\boldsymbol{e}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{e}_{n_0}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\boldsymbol{V}_0$, then

$$J(A) = \big(\det G(A)\big)^{1/2}, \tag{J_-}$$

where $G(A)$ is the $n_0 \times n_0$ Gramm matrix with entries

$$G_{ij} = \big(A\boldsymbol{e}_i, A\boldsymbol{e}_j\big)_{\boldsymbol{V}_1}.$$

If $n_1 \geq n_0$ then

$$J(A) = J(A^\dagger) = \big(\det G(A^\dagger)\big)^{1/2}, \tag{J_+}$$

where $A^\dagger$ denotes the adjoint (transpose) of A. Equivalently, if $d\mathrm{Vol}_i \in \Lambda^{n_i}\boldsymbol{V}_i^*$ denotes the metric volume form on $\boldsymbol{V}_1$, and $d\mathrm{Vol}_A$ denotes the metric volume form on $\ker A$, then $J(A)$ is the positive number such that

$$d\mathrm{Vol}_0 = \pm d\mathrm{Vol}_A \wedge A^* d\mathrm{Vol}_1. \tag{J_+'}$$

(v) For any nonnegative integer d, we denote by $[x]_d$ the degree d polynomial

$$[x]_d := x(x-1)\cdots(x-d+1),$$

and by $B_d(x)$ the degree d Bernoulli polynomial defined by the generating series

$$\frac{te^{tx}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{d \geq 0} B_d(x)\frac{t^d}{d!}.$$

The d-th Bernoulli number is $B_d := B_d(0)$, while the leading coefficient of $B_d(x)$ is equal to 1, and,

$$\frac{B_{d+1}(\nu+1) - B_{d+1}}{d+1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\nu} n^d, \;\; \forall \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}. \tag{S}$$

More generally, for any smooth function $f : (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ and any positive integers ν, m, we have the *Euler-Maclaurin summation formula*, (see [3, Thm D.2.1] or [33, §7.21]),

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\nu-1} f(n) = \int_1^{\nu} f(x)dx + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{b_k}{k!}\Big(f^{(k-1)}(\nu) - f^{(k-1)}(1)\Big) + \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{m!}\int_1^{\nu} \bar{B}_m(x) f^{(m)}(x)dx, \tag{EM}$$

where b_k denotes the k-the Bernoulli number, $b_k := B_k(0)$, and $\bar{B}_m$ denotes the associated periodic function

$$\bar{B}_m(x) := B_m(x - \lfloor x \rfloor), \;\; \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We will use one simple consequence of the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula. Suppose that $f(x)$ is a rational function of the form

$$f(x) = \frac{P_0(x)}{P_1(x)},$$

where $P_0(x)$ and $P_1(x)$ are polynomials with leading coefficients 1 and of degrees $d_0 > d_1$. We further assume that f has no poles at nonnegative integers. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\nu} f(n) \sim \frac{1}{d_0 - d_1 + 1} \nu^{d_0 - d_1 + 1} \;\; \text{as} \;\; \nu \to \infty. \tag{S_∞}$$

1. AN ABSTRACT RESULT

Suppose that (M, g) is compact, connected Riemann manifold of dimension m. We denote by $|dV_g|$ the induced volume density.

Let $\boldsymbol{V} \subset C^\infty(M)$ be a vector subspace of finite dimension N. We set $\boldsymbol{V}^\vee := \operatorname{Hom}(\boldsymbol{V}, \mathbb{R})$, and we fix a Euclidean metric $h = (-,-)$ on $\boldsymbol{V}$. We denote by $S(\boldsymbol{V})$ the unit sphere in $\boldsymbol{V}$ with respect to this metric and by $|dS|$ the area density on $S(\boldsymbol{V})$. The goal of this section is to give an integral geometric description of the quantity

$$\mu(M, \boldsymbol{V}) = \mu(M, g, \boldsymbol{V}, h) := \frac{1}{\operatorname{area}\left(S(\boldsymbol{V})\right)} \int_{S(\boldsymbol{V})} \mu_M(\boldsymbol{v})\, |dS(\boldsymbol{v})|.$$

The significance of $\mu(M, \boldsymbol{V})$ is clear: it is the expected number of critical points of a random function $\boldsymbol{v} \in S(\boldsymbol{V})$.

To formulate our main result we need to introduce some notation. We form the trivial vector bundle $\underline{\boldsymbol{V}}_M := \boldsymbol{V} \times M$. Observe that the dual bundle $\underline{\boldsymbol{V}}_M^\vee = \boldsymbol{V}^\vee \times M$ is equipped with a canonical section

$$\mathbf{ev} : M \to \boldsymbol{V}^\vee, \;\; M \ni \boldsymbol{x} \mapsto \mathbf{ev}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \in \boldsymbol{V}^\vee, \;\; \mathbf{ev}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \boldsymbol{v}(x), \;\; \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{V}.$$

Using the metric identification $\boldsymbol{V}^\vee \to \boldsymbol{V}$ we can regard $\mathbf{ev}$ as a map $M \to \boldsymbol{V}$. More explicitly, if $(\Psi_\alpha)_{1 \leq \alpha \leq N}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\boldsymbol{V}$, then

$$\mathbf{ev}_{\boldsymbol{x}} = \sum_\alpha \Psi_\alpha(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \Psi_\alpha \in \boldsymbol{V}.$$

We have an adjunction morphism

$$\mathcal{A} : \boldsymbol{V} \times M \to T^*M, \;\; \boldsymbol{V} \times M \ni (\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{x}) \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{v} := d_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{v} \in T^*_{\boldsymbol{x}} M,$$

where $d_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ denotes the differential of the function $\boldsymbol{v}$ at the point $\boldsymbol{x} \in M$. We will assume that the vector space $\boldsymbol{V}$ satisfies the ampleness condition

$$\forall \boldsymbol{x} \in M \;\; \text{the linear map } \boldsymbol{V} \ni \boldsymbol{v} \xmapsto{\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{x}}} d_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{v} \in T^*_{\boldsymbol{x}} M \text{ is surjective.} \tag{1.1}$$

The assumption (1.1) is equivalent to the condition:

$$\text{the evaluation map } \mathbf{ev} : M \to \boldsymbol{V}^\vee \text{ is an immersion.} \tag{1.2}$$

As explained in [28, §1.2], the condition (1.1) implies that for generic $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{V}$, the restriction of the function $\boldsymbol{v}$ to K is a Morse function. We denote by $\mu_M(\boldsymbol{v})$ its number of critical points.

For every $\boldsymbol{x} \in M$, we denote by $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ the kernel of the map $\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$. The ampleness condition (1.1) implies that $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ is a subspace of $\boldsymbol{V}$ of codimension m. Observe that the collection of spaces $(\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}})_{\boldsymbol{x}}$

is naturally organized as a codimension m-subbundle $\boldsymbol{K}\to M$ of $\underline{\boldsymbol{V}}_M$, namely the kernel bundle of $\mathcal{A}$.

Consider the dual bundle morphism $\mathcal{A}^\dagger : TM \to \boldsymbol{V}^\vee\times M$. Using the metric identification $\boldsymbol{V}^\vee\to\boldsymbol{V}$ we can regard $\mathcal{A}^\dagger$ as a bundle morphism $\mathcal{A}^\dagger : TM\to\underline{\boldsymbol{V}}_M$. Its range is $\boldsymbol{K}^\perp$, the orthogonal complement to the kernel of $\mathcal{A}$. Note that if $\{\Psi_\alpha\}_{1\leq\alpha\leq N}$ is an orthonormal frame of $\boldsymbol{V}$, $\boldsymbol{x}_0\in M$, and $X\in T_{\boldsymbol{x}_0}M$, then

$$\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{x}_0}X=\sum_{\alpha=1}^N\big(\,(X\cdot\Psi_\alpha)(\boldsymbol{x}_0)\,\big)\cdot\Psi_\alpha\in\boldsymbol{V}.$$

The trivial bundle $\underline{\boldsymbol{V}}_M$ is equipped with a trivial connection D. More precisely, we regard a section of $\boldsymbol{u}$ of $\underline{\boldsymbol{V}}_M$ as a smooth map $\boldsymbol{u}:M\to\boldsymbol{V}$. Then, for any vector field X on M, we define $D_X\boldsymbol{u}$ as the smooth function $M\to\boldsymbol{V}$ obtained by derivating $\boldsymbol{u}$ along X. Note that $\mathcal{A}^\dagger=D\,\mathbf{ev}$.

We have an orthogonal direct sum decomposition $\underline{\boldsymbol{V}}_M=\boldsymbol{K}^\perp\times\boldsymbol{K}$. For any section $\boldsymbol{u}$ of $\underline{\boldsymbol{V}}_M$, we denote by $\boldsymbol{u}^\perp$ the component of $\boldsymbol{u}$ along $\boldsymbol{K}^\perp$, and by $\boldsymbol{u}^0$ its component along $\boldsymbol{K}$. The *shape operator* of the subbundle $\boldsymbol{K}^\perp$ is the bundle morphism $\boldsymbol{\Xi}:TM\otimes\boldsymbol{K}^\perp\to\boldsymbol{K}$ defined by the equality

$$\boldsymbol{\Xi}(X,\boldsymbol{u}):=(D_Xu)^0,\;\;\forall X\in C^\infty(TM),\;\;\boldsymbol{u}\in C^\infty(\boldsymbol{K}^\perp).$$

For every $\boldsymbol{x}\in M$, we denote by $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ the induced linear map $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\boldsymbol{x}}:T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M\otimes\boldsymbol{K}^\perp_{\boldsymbol{x}}\to\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$. If we denote by $\mathbf{Gr}_m(\boldsymbol{V})$ the Grassmannian of m-dimensional subspaces of $\boldsymbol{V}$, then we have a Gauss map

$$M\ni\boldsymbol{x}\stackrel{\mathcal{G}}{\longmapsto}\mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{x}):=\boldsymbol{K}^\perp_{\boldsymbol{x}}\in\mathbf{Gr}_m(\boldsymbol{V}).$$

For $\boldsymbol{x}\in M$, the shape operator $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ can be viewed as a linear map

$$\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\boldsymbol{x}}:T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M\to\mathrm{Hom}(\boldsymbol{K}^\perp_{\boldsymbol{x}},\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}})=T_{\boldsymbol{K}^\perp_{\boldsymbol{x}}}\mathbf{Gr}_m(\boldsymbol{V}),$$

and, as such, it can be identified with the differential of $\mathcal{G}$ at $\boldsymbol{x}$, [27, §9.1.2]. Any $\boldsymbol{v}\in\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ determines a bilinear map

$$\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}:T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M\otimes\boldsymbol{K}^\perp_{\boldsymbol{x}}\to\mathbb{R},\;\;\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{u})=\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{u})\cdot\boldsymbol{v},$$

where, for simplicity, we have denoted by $\cdot$ the inner product in $\boldsymbol{V}$. By choosing orthonormal bases $(\boldsymbol{e}_i)$ in $T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M$ and $(\boldsymbol{u}_j)$ of $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ we can identify this bilinear form with an $m\times m$-matrix. This matrix depends on the choices of bases, but the absolute value of its determinant is independent of these bases. It is thus an invariant of the pair $(\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\boldsymbol{x}},\boldsymbol{v})$ that we will denote by $|\det\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}|$.

Theorem 1.1.

$$\mu(M,\boldsymbol{V})=\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{N-1}}\int_M\left(\int_{S(\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}})}|\det\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}|\,|dS(\boldsymbol{v})|\right)|dV_g(\boldsymbol{x})|. \tag{1.3}$$

Proof. We denote by $E_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ the intersection of $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ with the sphere $S(\boldsymbol{V})$ so that E_x is a geodesic sphere in $S(\boldsymbol{V})$ of dimension $(N-m-1)$. Now consider the incidence set

$$E_M:=\big\{(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v})\in M\times S(\boldsymbol{V});\;\;\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{v}=0\,\big\}=\big\{(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v})\in M\times S(\boldsymbol{V});\;\;\boldsymbol{v}\in E_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\big\}.$$

We have natural (left/right) smooth projections

$$M\xleftarrow{\lambda}E_M\xrightarrow{\rho}S(\boldsymbol{V}).$$

The left projection $\lambda:E_M\to M$ describes E_M as the unit sphere bundle associated to the metric vector bundle $\boldsymbol{K}_M$. In particular, this shows that E_M is a compact, smooth manifold of dimension

$(N-1)$. For generic $\boldsymbol{v}\in S(\boldsymbol{V})$ the fiber $\rho^{-1}(\boldsymbol{v})$ is finite and can be identified with the set of critical points of $\boldsymbol{v}: M\to\mathbb{R}$. We deduce

$$\mu(M,\boldsymbol{V})=\frac{1}{\operatorname{area}\big(\,S(\boldsymbol{V})\,\big)}\int_{S(\boldsymbol{V})}\#\rho^{-1}(\boldsymbol{v})\,|dS(\boldsymbol{v})|. \tag{1.4}$$

Denote by g_E the metric on E_M induced by the metric on $M\times S(\boldsymbol{V})$ and by $|dV_E|$ the induced volume density. The area formula (see [16, §3.2] or [22, §5.1]) implies that

$$\int_{S(\boldsymbol{V})}\#\rho^{-1}(\boldsymbol{w})|dS(\boldsymbol{v})|=\int_E J_\rho(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v})|dV_E(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v})|, \tag{1.5}$$

where the nonnegative function J_ρ is the Jacobian of ρ defined by the equality

$$\rho^*|dS|=J_\rho\cdot|dV_E|.$$

To compute the integral in the right-hand side of (1.5) we need a more explicit description of the geometry of E_M.

Fix a local orthonormal frame $(\boldsymbol{e}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{e}_m)$ of TM defined in a neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ in M of a given point $\boldsymbol{x}_0\in M$. We denote by $(\boldsymbol{e}^1,\dots,\boldsymbol{e}^m)$ the dual co-frame of T^*M. Set

$$\boldsymbol{f}_i(\boldsymbol{x}):=\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{e}_i(\boldsymbol{x})\in\boldsymbol{V},\;\; i=1,\dots,m,\;\;\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathcal{N}.$$

More explicitly, $\boldsymbol{f}_i(\boldsymbol{x})$ is defined by the equality

$$\big(\,\boldsymbol{f}_i(\boldsymbol{x}),\boldsymbol{v}\,\big)_{\boldsymbol{V}}=\partial_{\boldsymbol{e}_i}\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x}),\;\;\forall\boldsymbol{v}\in\boldsymbol{V}. \tag{1.6}$$

Fix a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}\subset\lambda^{-1}(\mathcal{N})$ in $M\times S(\boldsymbol{V})$ of the point $(\boldsymbol{x}_0,\boldsymbol{v}_0)$, and a local orthonormal frame $\boldsymbol{u}_1(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v}),\dots,\boldsymbol{u}_{N-1}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v})$ over $\mathcal{U}$ of the bundle $\rho^*TS(\boldsymbol{V})\to M\times S(\boldsymbol{V})$ such that the following hold.

- The vectors $\boldsymbol{u}_1(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v}),\dots,\boldsymbol{u}_m(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v})$ are independent of the variable $\boldsymbol{v}$ and form an orthonormal basis of $K^\perp_{\boldsymbol{x}}$. (E.g., we can obtain such vectors from the vectors $\boldsymbol{f}_1(\boldsymbol{x}),\dots,\boldsymbol{f}_m(\boldsymbol{x})$ via the Gramm-Schmidt process.)
- For $(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v})\in\mathcal{U}$, the space $T_{\boldsymbol{v}}E_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ is spanned by the vectors $\boldsymbol{u}_{m+1}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v}),\dots,\boldsymbol{u}_{N-1}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v})$.

The collection $\boldsymbol{u}_1(\boldsymbol{x}),\dots,\boldsymbol{u}_m(\boldsymbol{x})$ is a collection of smooth sections of $\underline{\boldsymbol{V}}_M$ over $\mathcal{N}$. For any $\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathcal{N}$ and any $\boldsymbol{e}\in T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M$, we obtain the vectors (functions).

$$D_{\boldsymbol{e}}\boldsymbol{u}_1(\boldsymbol{x}),\dots,D_{\boldsymbol{e}}\boldsymbol{u}_m(\boldsymbol{x})\in\boldsymbol{V}.$$

Observe that

$$E_M\cap\mathcal{U}=\big\{(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v})\in\mathcal{U};\;\;U_i(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v})=0,\;\;\forall i=1,\dots,m\,\big\}, \tag{1.7}$$

where U_i is the function $U_i:\mathcal{N}\times\boldsymbol{V}\to\mathbb{R}$ given by

$$U_i(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v}):=\big(\,\boldsymbol{u}_i(\boldsymbol{x}),\boldsymbol{v}\,\big)_{\boldsymbol{V}}.$$

Thus, the tangent space of E_M at $(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v})$ consists of tangent vectors $\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}\oplus\dot{\boldsymbol{v}}\in T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M\oplus T_{\boldsymbol{v}}S(\boldsymbol{V})$ such that

$$dU_i(\dot{\boldsymbol{x}},\dot{\boldsymbol{v}})=0,\;\;\forall i=1,\dots,m.$$

We let ω_U denote the m-form

$$\omega_U:=dU_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dU_m\in\Omega^m(\mathcal{U}),$$

and we denote by $\|\omega_U\|$ its norm with respect to the product metric on $M\times S(\boldsymbol{V})$. Denote by $|\widehat{dV}|$ the volume density on $M\times S(\boldsymbol{V})$ induced by the product metric. The equality (1.7) implies that

$$|\widehat{dV}|=\frac{1}{\|\omega_U\|}\,|\omega_U\wedge dV_E\,|\,.$$

Hence

$$J_\rho|\widehat{dV}| = \frac{1}{\|\omega_U\|}|\omega_U\wedge\rho^*dS|.$$

We deduce

$$J_\rho(\boldsymbol{x}_0,\boldsymbol{v}_0) = J_\rho(\boldsymbol{x}_0,\boldsymbol{v}_0)|\widehat{dV}|(\boldsymbol{e}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{e}_m,\boldsymbol{u}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{u}_{N-1})$$
$$= \frac{1}{\|\omega_U\|}|\omega_U\wedge\rho^*dS|(\boldsymbol{e}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{e}_m,\boldsymbol{u}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{u}_{N-1}) = \frac{1}{\|\omega_U\|}\underbrace{\big|\omega_U\big(\,\boldsymbol{e}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{e}_m\,\big)\big|_{(\boldsymbol{x}_0,\boldsymbol{v}_0)}}_{=:\Delta_U(\boldsymbol{x}_0,\boldsymbol{v}_0)}.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{S(\boldsymbol{V})}\#\rho^{-1}(\boldsymbol{w})|dS(\boldsymbol{v})| = \int_E\frac{\Delta_U}{\|\omega_U\|}\,|dV_E(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v})|. \tag{1.8}$$

Lemma 1.2. *We have the equality* $J_\lambda = \frac{1}{\|\omega_U\|}$, *where* J_λ *denotes the Jacobian of the projection* $\lambda : E_M\to M$.

Proof. Along $\mathcal{U}$ we have

$$|\widehat{dV}| = \frac{1}{\|\omega_U\|}\,|\omega_U\wedge dV_E\,|$$

while (J'_+) implies that

$$|dV_E| = \frac{1}{J_\lambda}|dV_g\wedge dS_{E_{\boldsymbol{x}}}|.$$

Therefore, suffices to show that along $\mathcal{U}$ we have

$$|\widehat{dV}| = |\omega_U\wedge dV_g\wedge dS_{E_{\boldsymbol{x}}}|,$$

i.e.,

$$|\omega_U\wedge dV_g\wedge dS_{E_{\boldsymbol{x}}}(\boldsymbol{e}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{e}_m,\boldsymbol{u}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{u}_{N-1})\,| = 1.$$

Since $dU_i(\boldsymbol{u}_k) = 0$, $\forall k\geq m+1$ we deduce that

$$|\omega_U\wedge dV_g\wedge dS_{E_{\boldsymbol{x}}}(\boldsymbol{e}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{e}_m,\boldsymbol{u}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{u}_{N-1})\,| = |\omega_U(\boldsymbol{u}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{u}_m)|.$$

Thus, it suffices to show that

$$|\omega_U(\boldsymbol{u}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{u}_m)| = 1.$$

This follows from the elementary identities

$$dU_i(\boldsymbol{u}_j) = (\boldsymbol{u}_i,\boldsymbol{u}_j)_{\boldsymbol{V}} = \delta_{ij},\;\;\forall 1\leq i,j\leq m,$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker symbol. □

Using Lemma 1.2 in (1.8) and the co-area formula we deduce

$$\int_{S(\boldsymbol{V})}\#\rho^{-1}(\boldsymbol{w})|dS(\boldsymbol{v})| = \int_M\underbrace{\left(\int_{E_{\boldsymbol{x}}}\Delta_U(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v})\,|dS_{E_{\boldsymbol{x}}}(\boldsymbol{v})|\right)}_{=:J(\boldsymbol{x})}|dV_g(\boldsymbol{x})|. \tag{1.9}$$

Observe that at a point $(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v})\in\lambda^{-1}(\mathcal{N})\subset E_M$ we have

$$dU_i(\boldsymbol{e}_j) = \big(\,D_{\boldsymbol{e}_j}\boldsymbol{u}_i(x),\boldsymbol{v}\,\big)_{\boldsymbol{V}}.$$

We can rewrite this in terms of the shape operator $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\boldsymbol{x}} : T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M\otimes\boldsymbol{K}^\perp_{\boldsymbol{x}}\to\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$. More precisely,

$$dU_i(\boldsymbol{e}_j) = (\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{e}_j,\boldsymbol{u}_i),\boldsymbol{v})_{\boldsymbol{V}}.$$

Hence,

$$\Delta_U(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v}) = |\det\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}\,|\,,$$

We conclude that

$$\int_{S(\boldsymbol{V})} \#\rho^{-1}(\boldsymbol{v})|dS(\boldsymbol{v})| = \int_M \left(\int_{E_{\boldsymbol{x}}} |\det \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}|\, |dS_{E_{\boldsymbol{x}}}(\boldsymbol{v})| \right) |dV_M(\boldsymbol{x})|.$$

This proves (1.3) □

The story is not yet over. We want to rewrite the right-hand side of (1.3) in a more computationally friendly form, preferably in terms of differential-integral invariants of the evaluation map. The starting point is the observation that the left-hand side of (1.3) is plainly independent of the metric g on M. This raises the hope that if we judiciously choose the metric on M we can obtain a more manageable expression for $\mu(M, \boldsymbol{V})$. One choice presents itself. Namely, we choose the metric $\bar{g}$ on M uniquely determined by requiring that the bundle morphism

$$\mathcal{A}^\dagger : (TM, \bar{g}) \to \boldsymbol{V} \times M$$

is an *isometric embedding*. Equivalently, $\bar{g}$ is the pullback to M of the metric on $\boldsymbol{V}$ via the immersion $\mathbf{ev} : M \to \boldsymbol{V}^\vee \cong \boldsymbol{V}$. More concretely, for any $\boldsymbol{x} \in M$ and any $X, Y \in T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M$, we have

$$\bar{g}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(X, Y) = \big(\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{x}} X, \mathcal{A}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{x}} Y \big)_{\boldsymbol{V}}.$$

With this choice of metric, Theorem 1.1 is precisely the main theorem of Chern and Lashof, [9].

Fix $\boldsymbol{x} \in M$ and a $\bar{g}$-orthonormal frame$(\boldsymbol{e}_i)_{1 \le i \le m}$ of TM defined in a neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of $\boldsymbol{x}$. Then the collection $\boldsymbol{u}_j = \mathcal{A}^\dagger \boldsymbol{e}_j$, $1 \le j$, is a local orthonormal frame of $\boldsymbol{K}^\perp$ on $\mathcal{N}$. The shape operator has the simple description

$$\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{e}_i, \boldsymbol{u}_j) = \big(D_{\boldsymbol{e}_i} \mathcal{A}^\dagger \boldsymbol{e}_j \big)^0.$$

Fix an orthonormal basis $(\Psi_\alpha)_{1 \le \alpha \le N}$ of $\boldsymbol{V}$ so that every $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{V}$ has a decomposition

$$\boldsymbol{v} = \sum_\alpha v_\alpha \Psi_\alpha, \quad v_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then, for any $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{N}$, we have

$$\mathcal{A}^\dagger \boldsymbol{e}_j(\boldsymbol{y}) = \sum_\alpha (\partial_{\boldsymbol{e}_j} \Psi_\alpha)_{\boldsymbol{y}} \Psi_\alpha, \quad D_{\boldsymbol{e}_i} \mathcal{A}^\dagger \boldsymbol{e}_j(\boldsymbol{y}) = \sum_\alpha (\partial^2_{\boldsymbol{e}_i \boldsymbol{e}_j} \Psi_\alpha)_{\boldsymbol{y}} \Psi_\alpha,$$

and

$$\big((D_{\boldsymbol{e}_i} \mathcal{A}^\dagger \boldsymbol{e}_j)_{\boldsymbol{y}}, \boldsymbol{v} \big)_{\boldsymbol{V}} = \sum_\alpha v_\alpha (\partial^2_{\boldsymbol{e}_i \boldsymbol{e}_j} \Psi_\alpha)_{\boldsymbol{y}} = \partial^2_{\boldsymbol{e}_i \boldsymbol{e}_j} \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{y}).$$

If $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$, then the Hessian of $\boldsymbol{v}$ at $\boldsymbol{x}$ is a well-defined, symmetric bilinear form $\mathrm{Hess}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v}) : T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M \times T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M \to \mathbb{R}$, i.e., an element of $T^*_{\boldsymbol{x}}M \otimes T^*_{\boldsymbol{x}}M$. Using the metric $\bar{g}$ we can identify it with a linear operator

$$\mathrm{Hess}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v}, \bar{g}) : T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M \to T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M.$$

If we fix a $\bar{g}$-orthonormal frame $(\boldsymbol{e}_i)$ of $T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M$, then the operator $\mathrm{Hess}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v}, \bar{g})$ is described by the symmetric $m \times m$ matrix with entries $\partial^2_{\boldsymbol{e}_i \boldsymbol{e}_j} \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x})$. We deduce that

$$|\det \boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}| = |\det \mathrm{Hess}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v}, \bar{g})\,|, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in E_{\boldsymbol{x}}.$$

In particular, we deduce that

$$\mu(M, \boldsymbol{V}) = \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{N-1}} \int_M \left(\int_{E_{\boldsymbol{x}}} |\det \mathrm{Hess}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v}, \bar{g})|\, |dS_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v})| \right) |dV_{\bar{g}}(\boldsymbol{x})|. \tag{1.10}$$

Finally, we want to express (1.10) entirely in terms of the adjunction map $\mathcal{A}$. For any $\boldsymbol{x} \in M$ and any $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$, we define the density

$$\rho_{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{v}} : \Lambda^m T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M \to \mathbb{R},$$

$$\rho_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v}}(X_1\wedge\cdots\wedge X_m)=\Bigl|\det\bigl(\,\partial^2_{X_iX_j}\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x})\,\bigr)_{1\leq i,j\leq m}\Bigr|\cdot\Bigl(\det\bigl(\,(\mathcal{A}^\dagger X_i,\mathcal{A}^\dagger X_j)_{\boldsymbol{V}}\,\bigr)_{1\leq i,j\leq m}\Bigr)^{-1/2}$$
$$=\Bigl|\det\bigl(\,\mathrm{Hess}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v})(X_i,X_j)\,\bigr)_{1\leq i,j\leq m}\Bigr|\cdot\Bigl(\det\bigl(\,\bar{g}(X_i,X_j)\,\bigr)_{1\leq i,j\leq m}\Bigr)^{-1/2}.$$

Observe that for any $\bar{g}$-orthonormal frame of $T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M$ we have

$$\rho_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v}}(\boldsymbol{e}_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\boldsymbol{e}_m)=|\det\mathrm{Hess}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v},\bar{g})\,|.$$

If we integrate $\rho_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v}}$ over $\boldsymbol{v}\in S(\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}})$, we obtain a density

$$|d\mu(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{V})|:\Lambda^mT_{\boldsymbol{x}}M\to\mathbb{R}$$

that varies smoothly with $\boldsymbol{x}$, and thus it defines a density $|d\mu(-,\boldsymbol{V})|$ on M. We want to emphasize that this density depends on the metric on $\boldsymbol{V}$ but *it is independent* on any metric on M. We will refer to it as *the density of* $\boldsymbol{V}$.

If we fix a different metric g on M, then we can express $|d\mu(-,\boldsymbol{V})|$ as a product

$$|d\mu(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{V})|=\rho_g(\boldsymbol{x})\cdot|dV_g(\boldsymbol{x})|,$$

where $\rho_g=\rho_{g,\boldsymbol{V}}:M\to\mathbb{R}$ is a smooth nonnegative function.

To find a more useful description of ρ_g, we choose local coordinates $(x^1,\dots,x^m)$ near $\boldsymbol{x}$ such that (∂_{x^i}) is a g*-orthonormal* basis of $T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M$. Then

$$\rho_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v}}(\partial_{x_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\partial_{x_m})=\Bigl|\det\bigl(\,\partial^2_{x_ix_j}\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x})\,\bigr)_{1\leq i,j\leq m}\Bigr|\cdot\Bigl(\det\bigl(\,(\mathcal{A}^\dagger\partial_{x_i},\mathcal{A}^\dagger\partial_{x_j})_{\boldsymbol{V}}\,\bigr)_{1\leq i,j\leq m}\Bigr)^{-1/2}.$$

Observe that the matrix $(\,\partial^2_{x_ix_j}\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x})\,)_{1\leq i,j\leq m}$ describes the Hessian operator

$$\mathrm{Hess}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v},g):T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M\to T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M$$

induced by the Hessian of $\boldsymbol{v}$ at $\boldsymbol{x}$ and the metric g.

The scalar $\Bigl(\det\bigl(\,(\mathcal{A}^\dagger\partial_{x_i},\mathcal{A}^\dagger\partial_{x_j})_{\boldsymbol{V}}\,\bigr)_{1\leq i,j\leq m}\Bigr)^{1/2}$ is precisely the Jacobian of the dual adjunction map $\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{x}}:T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M\to\boldsymbol{V}$ defined in terms of the metric g on $T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M$ and the metric on $\boldsymbol{V}$. We denote it by $J(\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{x}},g)$. We set

$$\Delta_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{V},g):=\int_{S(\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}})}|\det\mathrm{Hess}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v},g)|\,|dS_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v})|.$$

Since

$$|dV_g(\boldsymbol{x})|(\partial_{x_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\partial_{x_m})=1,$$

we deduce

$$\rho_{g,\boldsymbol{V}}(\boldsymbol{x})=\Delta_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{V},g)\cdot J(\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{x}},g)^{-1}.\tag{1.11}$$

We have thus proved the following result.

Corollary 1.3. *Suppose* (M,g) *is a compact, connected Riemann manifold and* $\boldsymbol{V}\subset C^\infty(M)$ *is a vector subspace of dimension* N. *Fix an Euclidean inner product* h *on* $\boldsymbol{V}$ *with norm* $|-|_h$. *Then*

$$\mu(M,g,\boldsymbol{V},h)=\frac{1}{\mathrm{area}\,(S(\boldsymbol{V})\,)}\int_{|\boldsymbol{v}|_h=1}\mu(\boldsymbol{v})\,|dS_h(\boldsymbol{v})|=\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{N-1}}\int_M\frac{\Delta_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{V},g)}{J(\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{x}},g,h)}\,|dV_g(\boldsymbol{x})|,\tag{1.12}$$

where $|dS_h|$ *denotes the area density on the unit sphere* $\{|\boldsymbol{v}|_h=1\}$, *and* $J(\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{x}},g,h)$ *denotes the Jacobian of the dual adjunction map* $\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{x}}:T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M\to\boldsymbol{V}$ *computed in terms of the metrics* g *on* $T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M$ *and* h *on* $\boldsymbol{V}$. □

We will refer to the quantity $\mu(M,g,\boldsymbol{V},h)$ as the *expectation* of the quadruple $(M,g,\boldsymbol{V},h)$.

Remark 1.4. Let us observe that we have proved a little bit more. To every Morse function $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{V}$ we associate the measure

$$\mu_{\boldsymbol{v}} = \sum_{d\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x})=0} \delta_{\boldsymbol{x}},$$

where $\delta_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ Denotes the Dirac measure concentrated at $\boldsymbol{x}$. For every continuous function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ we set

$$\mu(\boldsymbol{v}, f) := \int_M f d\mu_{\boldsymbol{v}} = \sum_{d\boldsymbol{v}(x)=0} f(\boldsymbol{x}),$$

and we denote by $\mu(\boldsymbol{v}, f)$ the expection of the random variable $S(\boldsymbol{V}) \ni \boldsymbol{v} \mapsto \mu(\boldsymbol{v}, f)$,

$$\mu(\boldsymbol{v}, f) = \frac{1}{\operatorname{area}\big(S(\boldsymbol{V})\big)} \int_{S(\boldsymbol{V})} \mu(\boldsymbol{v}, f)\, |dS(\boldsymbol{v})|.$$

Arguing exactly as in the proof of Corollary 1.3 we deduce that, for any Riemann metric g on M we have

$$\mu(\boldsymbol{v}, f) = \frac{1}{\operatorname{area}\big(S(\boldsymbol{V})\big)} \int_{|\boldsymbol{v}|_h=1} \mu(\boldsymbol{v})\, |dS_h(\boldsymbol{v})| = \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{N-1}} \int_M \frac{\Delta_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{V}, g)}{J(\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{x}}, g, h)}\, f(x) |dV_g(\boldsymbol{x})|. \tag{1.13}$$

The resulting density on M

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathbb{V}} &:= \frac{\Delta_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{V}, g)}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{N-1} J(\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{x}}, g, h)} |dV_g(x)| \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{N-m}{2}} J(\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{x}}, g, h)} \left(\int_{\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}} e^{-|\boldsymbol{u}|^2} |\det \operatorname{Hess}_x(\boldsymbol{u})|\, |dV_{\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}}(\boldsymbol{u})| \right) |dV_g(x)| \end{aligned}$$

is called the expected density of critical points of a function in $\boldsymbol{V}$. As explained in the introduction, if $\boldsymbol{V}$ is 2-jet ample, then the above Gaussian integral over $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ can be reduced to a Gaussian integral over $\mathbf{Sym}(T_{\boldsymbol{x}}M)$. In this case, the resulting formula is a special case of [8, Thm.4.2] that was obtained by a different approach, more probabilistic in nature. □

Remark 1.5 (***A Gauss-Bonnet type formula***)**.** With a little care, the above arguments lead to a Gauss-Bonnet type theorem. More precisely, if we assume that M is oriented, then, under appropriate orientation conventions, the Morse inequalities imply that the degree of the map $\rho : E_M \to S(\boldsymbol{V})$ is equal to the Euler characteristic of M. If instead of working with densities, we work with forms, then we conclude that

$$\chi(M) = \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{N-1}} \int_M \frac{\chi_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{V}, g)}{J(\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{x}}, g, h)}\, dV_g(\boldsymbol{x}),$$

where

$$\chi_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{V}, g) := \int_{S(\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}})} \det \operatorname{Hess}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v}, g)\, dS_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{v}).$$

When M is a submanifold of the Euclidean space $\boldsymbol{V}$, and we identify $\boldsymbol{V}$ with $\boldsymbol{V}^\vee \subset C^\infty(\boldsymbol{V})$, then the above argument yields the Gauss-Bonnet theorem for submanifolds of a Euclidean space. □

We say that a quadruple $(M, g, \boldsymbol{V}, h)$ as in Corollary 1.3 is *homogeneous* with respect to a compact Lie group G if the following hold.

- The group G acts transitively and isometrically on M.
- For any function $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{V}$, and any $g \in G$, the pullback $g^*\boldsymbol{v}$ is also a function in $\boldsymbol{V}$.
- The metric h is invariant with respect to the induced right action of G on $\boldsymbol{V}$ by pullback.

For homogeneous quadruples formula (1.12) simplifies considerably because in this case the function $\rho_{g,\boldsymbol{V}}$ is constant. We deduce that in this case we have

$$\mu(M,g,\boldsymbol{V},h)=\frac{\Delta_{\boldsymbol{x}_0}(\boldsymbol{V},g)}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{N-1}J(\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{x}_0},g,h)}\cdot \operatorname{vol}_g(M), \tag{1.14}$$

where $\boldsymbol{x}_0$ is an arbitrary point in M.

Let us observe that to any triple $(M,g,\boldsymbol{V})$, $\boldsymbol{V}\subset C^\infty(M)$, we can associate in a canonical fashion a quadruple $(M,g,\boldsymbol{V},h_g)$, where h_g is the inner product on $\boldsymbol{V}$ induced by the $L^2(M,|dV_g|)$ inner product on $C^\infty(M)$. The expectation of such a triple is, by definition, the expectation of the associated quadruple. We will denote it by $\mu(M,g,\boldsymbol{V})$. We say that a triple $(M,g,\boldsymbol{V})$ is *homogeneous* if the associated quadruple is so.

2. RANDOM POLYNOMIALS ON SPHERES

As is well known, the spectrum of the Laplacian on the unit sphere $(S^{d-1},g_d)\subset\mathbb{R}^d$ is

$$\big\{\lambda_n(d)=n(n+d-2);\;\; n\geq 0\big\}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{Y}_{n,d}$ the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda(d)$. As indicated in Appendix B, the space $\mathcal{Y}_{n,d}$ has dimension

$$M(n,d)=\frac{2n+d-2}{n+d-2}\binom{n+d-2}{d-2}\sim 2\frac{n^{d-2}}{(d-2)!}\;\;\text{as}\;\; n\to\infty,$$

and can be explicitly described as the space of restrictions to S^{d-1} of harmonic homogeneous polynomials of degree n on $\mathbb{R}^d$. For any positive integer ν, we set

$$\boldsymbol{V}_\nu=\boldsymbol{V}_\nu(d):=\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\nu}\mathcal{Y}_{n,d}.$$

The space $\boldsymbol{V}_\nu(d)$ can be identified with the space of restrictions to S^{d-1} of polynomials of degree $\leq\nu$ in d variables. Note that

$$N_\nu:=\dim\boldsymbol{V}_\nu(d)\sim\frac{2\nu^{d-1}}{(d-1)!}\;\;\text{as}\;\;\nu\to\infty. \tag{2.1}$$

The resulting triple $(S^{d-1},g_d,\boldsymbol{V}_\nu)$ is homogeneous, and we denote by $\mu(S^{d-1},\boldsymbol{V}_\nu)$ its expectation. The goal of this section is to describe the asymptotics of $\mu(S^{d-1},\boldsymbol{V}_\nu(d))$ as $\nu\to\infty$ in the case $d\geq 3$. The simpler case $d=2$ will be analyzed separately in Corollary 5.2.

Theorem 2.1. *For any $d\geq 3$ there exists a positive constant $K=K_d$ that depends only on d such that*

$$\mu(S^{d-1},\boldsymbol{V}_\nu(d))\sim K_d\dim\boldsymbol{V}_\nu(d)\;\;\textit{as}\;\;\nu\to\infty. \tag{2.2}$$

In particular,

$$\log\mu(S^{d-1},\boldsymbol{V}_\nu(d))\sim\log\dim\boldsymbol{V}_\nu(d)\;\;\textit{as}\;\;\nu\to\infty.$$

Proof. For simplicity, we will write $\boldsymbol{V}_\nu$ instead of $\boldsymbol{V}_\nu(d)$. We will rely on some classical facts about spherical harmonics surveyed in Appendix B. For any integer $d\geq 2$, we denote by $\mathcal{B}_{n,d}$ the canonical orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{Y}_{n,d}$ constructed by the inductive process outlined in Appendix B and described in more detail below.

According to Corollary 1.3, it suffices to describe the density of $\boldsymbol{V}_\nu$ at the North Pole $p_0 = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1) \in S^{d-1}$. Denote by $\boldsymbol{K}_\nu(p_0)$ the subspace of $\boldsymbol{V}_\nu$ consisting of functions for which p_0 is a critical point. Note that

$$\dim \boldsymbol{K}_\nu(p_0) = \dim \boldsymbol{V}_\nu - \dim S^{d-1} = N_\nu - (d-1).$$

Near p_0 we use $\boldsymbol{x}' = (x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})$ as local coordinates so that

$$x_d = \sqrt{(1 - |\boldsymbol{x}'|^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}|\boldsymbol{x}'|^2 + \text{higher order terms}. \tag{2.3}$$

Note that, at p_0, the tangent vectors $\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_{d-1}}$ form an *orthonormal* frame of $T_{p_0}S^{d-1}$.

For any function $f \in C^\infty(S^{d-1})$, we denote by $\operatorname{Hess}(f)$ the Hessian of f at p_0, i.e., the $(d-1) \times (d-1)$ symmetric matrix with entries

$$\operatorname{Hess}(f)_{ij} = \partial^2_{x_i x_j} f(p_0), \ \ 1 \leq i, j \leq d-1.$$

We set

$$B_{j,d} := \big\{ 1, \dots, M(j, d-1) \big\},$$

and we parametrize the basis $\mathcal{B}_{j,d-1}$ as

$$\mathcal{B}_{j,d-1} = \big\{ Y_{j,\beta}; \ \ \beta \in B_{j,d} \big\},$$

where $Y_{j,\beta}$ is a homogeneous harmonic polynomial of degree j in the variables $\boldsymbol{x}' = (x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})$. For any integers j, n, $0 \leq j \leq n$, and any $\beta \in B_{j,d}$, we define $Z_{n,j,\beta} \in C^\infty(S^{d-1})$ by

$$Z_{n,j,\beta}(\boldsymbol{x}) := C_{n,j,d} P^{(j)}_{n,d}(x_d) Y_{j,\beta}(\boldsymbol{x}'), \ \ \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in S^{d-1}, \tag{2.4}$$

where $P^{(j)}_{n,d}$ denotes the j-th order derivative of the Legendre polynomial $P_{n,d}$ defined by (B.2), while the universal constant $C_{n,j,d}$ is described in (B.4). Then, for fixed n, the collection of functions

$$\big\{ Z_{n,j,\beta} \in C^\infty(S^{d-1}); \ \ 0 \leq j \leq n, \ \ \beta \in B_{j,d} \big\}$$

is the orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B}_{n,d}$. Any $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{V}_\nu$ admits a decomposition

$$\boldsymbol{v} = \sum_{n=0}^{\nu} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{\beta \in B_{j,d}} v_{n,j,\beta} Z_{n,j,\beta}, \ \ v_{n,j,\beta} \in \mathbb{R},$$

so that

$$\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\nu} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{\beta \in B_{j,d}} v_{n,j,\beta} \operatorname{Hess}\big(Z_{n,j,\beta} \big).$$

From the description (2.4) we deduce that

$$\operatorname{Hess}(Z_{n,j,\beta}) = 0, \ \ \forall j \geq 3. \tag{2.5}$$

Next, we observe that when $j = 0$ we have $M(0, d-1) = 1$ and $\mathcal{B}_{0,d-1}$ consists of the constant function $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-2}^{-1/2}$. We deduce

$$\mathcal{B}_{0,d-1} = \{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-2}^{-1/2}\}, \ \ B_{j,d} = \{1\},$$

$$\operatorname{Hess}(Z_{n,0,1}) = C_{n,0,d}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-2}^{-1/2} \operatorname{Hess}\big(P_{n,d}(x_d) \big).$$

Using the equalities

$$P_{n,d}(t) = P_{n,d}(1) + P'_{n,d}(1)(t-1) + \text{higher order terms},$$

we deduce

$$P_{n,d}(x_d) = P_{n,d}(1) + P'_{n,d}(1)(z_d - 1) + \text{higher order terms}$$

$$\overset{(2.3)}{=} P_n(1) - \frac{P'_{n,d}(1)}{2}|\boldsymbol{x}'|^2 + \text{higher order terms}$$

$$\overset{(B.3)}{=} 1 - \frac{1}{4}(n+1)\left(n + \frac{d-3}{2}\right)|\boldsymbol{x}'|^2 + \text{higher order terms}.$$

This shows that

$$\operatorname{Hess}\big(\,P_{n,d}(x_d)\,\big) = -\frac{1}{2}(n+1)\left(n+\frac{d-3}{2}\right)\mathbb{1}_{d-1}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\mathbb{1}_{d-1}$ denotes the identity $(d-1)\times(d-1)$-matrix. Hence

$$Z_{n,0,d} \in \boldsymbol{K}_\nu(p_0), \tag{2.7}$$

$$\operatorname{Hess}\big(Z_{n,0,1}\,\big) = -\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-2}^{-1/2}C_{n,0,d}(n+1)\Big(\,n+\frac{d-3}{2}\,\Big)\mathbb{1}_{d-1}. \tag{2.8}$$

Similarly

$$P'_{n,d}(x_d) = P'_{n,d}(1) - \frac{1}{2}P^{(2)}_{n,d}(1)|\boldsymbol{x}'|^2 + \text{higher order terms},$$

which implies that

$$\operatorname{Hess}(Z_{n,1,\beta}) = 0,\;\; \forall n,\;\; \beta \in B_1 = \{1,\dots,d-1\}. \tag{2.9}$$

For any $\beta \in B_{2,d}$, we denote by H_β the Hessian of $Y_\beta(\boldsymbol{x}')$ at $\boldsymbol{x}' = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. We deduce that

$$Z_{n,2,\beta} \in \boldsymbol{K}_\nu(p_0), \tag{2.10}$$

$$\operatorname{Hess}\big(Z_{n,2,\beta}\big) = C_{n,2,d}P^{(2)}_{n,d}(1)H_\beta. \tag{2.11}$$

Using (2.5), (2.9) and (2.11) we conclude that

$$\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \sum_{n=2}^{\nu}\sum_{\beta\in B_{2,d}} v_{n,2,\beta}\operatorname{Hess}\big(Z_{n,2,\beta}\,\big) + \sum_{n=0}^{\nu} v_{n,0,1}\operatorname{Hess}\big(\,Z_{n,0,1}\,\big)$$

$$= \sum_{\beta\in B_{2,d}}\Big(\sum_{n=2}^{\nu} v_{n,2,\beta}C_{n,2,d}P^{(2)}_{n,d}(1)\,\Big)H_\beta$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-2}^{-1/2}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\nu} v_{n,0,1}C_{n,0,d}(n+1)\Big(\,n+\frac{d-3}{2}\,\Big)\right)\cdot\mathbb{1}_{d-1}.$$

The last equality can be rewritten in a more convenient form as follows. Define

$$\boldsymbol{a}_0 = \boldsymbol{a}_0(\nu) := -\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-2}^{-1/2}\sum_{n=0}^{\nu} C_{n,0,d}(n+1)\Big(\,n+\frac{d-3}{2}\,\Big)Z_{n,0,1} \in \boldsymbol{V}_\nu, \tag{2.12}$$

and for $\beta \in B_{2,d}$, set

$$\boldsymbol{a}_\beta = \boldsymbol{a}_\beta(\nu) := \sum_{n=2}^{\nu} C_{n,2,d}P^{(2)}_{n,d}(1)Z_{n,2,\beta} \in \boldsymbol{V}_\nu. \tag{2.13}$$

We deduce that

$$\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v}) = (\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{a}_0)\mathbb{1}_{d-1} + \sum_\beta(\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{a}_\beta)H_\beta.$$

Note that the vectors $\boldsymbol{a}_0, \boldsymbol{a}_\beta$ are mutually orthogonal, and they span a vector space $\boldsymbol{L}_\nu$ of dimension

$$\ell = \ell(d) = M(2,d-1) + 1 \overset{(B.1)}{=} \binom{d}{2}.$$

Moreover, the conditions (2.7) and (2.10) imply that $\boldsymbol{L}_\nu \subset \boldsymbol{K}_\nu(p_0)$. Define

$$\boldsymbol{e}_0 := \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{a}_0|}\boldsymbol{a}_0, \;\; \boldsymbol{e}_\beta := \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{a}_\beta|}\boldsymbol{a}_\beta, \;\; \beta \in B_{2,d}, \tag{2.14}$$

$$r_0 = r_0(\nu) = |\boldsymbol{a}_0|^2 = \frac{1}{4}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-2}^{-1}\sum_{n=0}^{\nu} C_{n,0,d}^2 (n+1)^2\Big(n + \frac{d-3}{2}\Big)^2,$$

$$r_\beta = r_\beta(\nu) = |\boldsymbol{a}_\beta|^2 = \sum_{n=2}^{\nu} C_{n,2,d}^2 P_{n,d}^{(2)}(1)^2, \;\; \beta \in B_{2,d}.$$

Note that the collection $\{\boldsymbol{e}_0, \; \boldsymbol{e}_\beta, \;\; \beta \in B_{2,d}\}$ is an *orthonormal* basis of $\boldsymbol{L}_\nu$. For any $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{K}_\nu(p_0)$, we denote by $\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}$ its orthogonal projection onto $\boldsymbol{L}_\nu$, and we set

$$\bar{v}_0 := (\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{e}_0), \;\; \bar{v}_\beta := (\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{e}_\beta), \;\; \beta \in B_{2,d}.$$

We deduce that for any $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{K}_\nu(p_0)$, we have

$$\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \operatorname{Hess}(\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}) = r_0^{1/2}\bar{v}_0 \mathbb{1}_{d-1} + \sum_\beta r_\beta^{1/2}\bar{v}_\beta H_\beta. \tag{2.15}$$

For $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{K}_\nu(p_0)$ we set

$$Q_\nu(\boldsymbol{v}) := \big|\det \operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v})\big|.$$

Note that $Q_\nu(\boldsymbol{v})$ is positively homogeneous of degree $d-1$. Using Lemma A.1 in the special case

$$n = \dim \boldsymbol{K}_\nu(p_0) = N_\nu - (d-1), \;\; n_1 = \dim \boldsymbol{L}_\nu = \ell, \;\; n_0 = N_\nu - (d-1) - \ell,$$

we deduce

$$\Delta_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{V}_\nu) = \int_{S(\boldsymbol{K}_\nu(p_0))} Q_\nu(\boldsymbol{v})\,|dS(\boldsymbol{v})| = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{N_\nu-\ell-d}\int_{B(\boldsymbol{L}_\nu)}(1-|\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}|^2)^{\frac{N_\nu-\ell-d-1}{2}}Q_\nu(\bar{\boldsymbol{v}})\,|dV(\bar{\boldsymbol{v}})|.$$

Using Lemma A.2 we deduce

$$\int_{B(\boldsymbol{L}_\nu)}(1-|\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}|^2)^{\frac{N_\nu-\ell-d-1}{2}}Q_\nu(\bar{\boldsymbol{v}})\,|dV(\bar{\boldsymbol{v}})| = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\ell+d-1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{N_\nu-\ell-d+1}{2})}{2\Gamma(\frac{N_\nu}{2})}\underbrace{\int_{S(\boldsymbol{L}_\nu)}Q_\nu(\bar{\boldsymbol{v}})\,|dS(\bar{\boldsymbol{v}})|}_{=:I_\nu}.$$

Using the equality (σ), we conclude

$$\int_{S(\boldsymbol{K}_\nu(p_0))}Q_\nu(\boldsymbol{v})\,|dS(\boldsymbol{v})| = \frac{\pi^{\frac{N_\nu-\ell-d+1}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{\ell+d}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{N_\nu}{2})}I_\nu. \tag{2.16}$$

Next, we compute the Jacobian of the adjunction map $\mathcal{A}^\dagger$ at p_0. We use the coordinates $\boldsymbol{x}'$ near p_0. For $i = 1, \dots, d-1$ we have

$$\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{p_0}\partial_{x_i} = \sum_{n=0}^{\nu}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\sum_{\beta\in B_{j,d}}\partial_{x_i}\big(Z_{n,j,\beta}(p_0)\big)Z_{n,j,\beta} = \sum_{n=1}^{\nu}\sum_{\beta\in B_{1,d}}\partial_{x_i}\big(Z_{n,1,\beta}(p_0)\big)Z_{n,j,\beta}.$$

Using (B.6), we deduce that $B_{1,d} = \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ and for any $\beta \in B_{1,d}$ we have

$$Y_\beta = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-3}^{-1/2}C_{1,0,d-1}x_\beta, \;\; Z_{n,1,\beta} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-3}^{-1/2}C_{1,0,d-1}C_{n,1,d}P'_{n,d}(x_d)x_\beta.$$

We deduce that

$$\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{p_0}\partial_{x_i} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-3}^{-1/2}C_{1,0,d-1}\sum_{n=1}^{\nu}C_{n,1,d}P'_{n,d}(1)Z_{n,1,i}. \tag{2.17}$$

This shows that the vectors $\mathcal{A}_{p_0}^{\dagger}\partial_{x_i}$, $i=1,\dots,d-1$, are mutually orthogonal and they have identical lengths

$$|\mathcal{A}_{p_0}^{\dagger}\partial_{x_i}| = r(\nu)^{1/2},\;\; r(\nu)=\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-3}^{-1}C_{1,0,d-1}^2\sum_{n=1}^{\nu}\big(C_{n,1,d}P_{n,d}'(1)\big)^2. \tag{2.18}$$

We deduce that the Jacobian of $\mathcal{A}_{p_0}^{\dagger}$ is

$$J_\nu = r(\nu)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}. \tag{2.19}$$

The equalities (1.14), (2.16) and (2.19) now imply that

$$\mu(S^{d-1},\boldsymbol{V}_\nu)=\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-1}}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{N_\nu-1}}\cdot\frac{\pi^{\frac{N-\ell-d+1}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{\ell+d}{2})}{r(\nu)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{N_\nu}{2})}I_\nu .$$

Using (σ) we can simplify this to

$$\mu(S^{d-1},\boldsymbol{V}_\nu)=\frac{\Gamma(\frac{\ell+d}{2})}{(\pi r(\nu))^{\frac{d-1}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}I_\nu . \tag{2.20}$$

To obtain the asymptotics of $\mu(S^{d-1},\boldsymbol{V}_\nu)$ as $\nu\to\infty$ we need to understand the asymptotics of the quantities

$$r(\nu),\;\; r_0(\nu),\;\; r_\beta(\nu),\;\; \beta\in B_{2,d-1}.$$

To achieve this, note first that (B.3) and (B.4) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{n,1,d}^2P_{n,1,d}'(1)^2 &= \frac{1}{2^{d-2}\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})^2}\frac{(2n+d-2)([n+d-3]_{d-3})^2}{[n+d-2]_{d-1}}\frac{(n+1)^2}{4}\left(n+\frac{d-3}{2}\right)^2\\ &=\frac{1}{2^{d-1}\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})^2}\frac{A_{2d-1}(n)}{B_{d-1}(n)}, \end{aligned} \tag{$\boldsymbol{r}$}$$

where $A_{2d-1}(x)$ (respectively $B_{d-1}(x)$) is a monic polynomial of degree $(2d-1)$ (respectively $d-1$). Using (S_∞), we deduce that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\nu}C_{n,1,d}^2P_{n,1,d}'(1)^2\sim\frac{1}{2^{d-1}(d+1)\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})^2}\nu^{d+1},\;\;\text{as}\;\;\nu\to\infty,$$

so that

$$r(\nu)\sim\frac{C_{1,0,d-1}^2}{2^{d-1}\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})^2\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-3}(d+1)}\nu^{d+1},\;\;\text{as}\;\;\nu\to\infty, \tag{2.21}$$

where

$$C_{1,0,d-1}^2=\frac{(d-1)(d-3)!}{2^{d-3}\Gamma(\frac{(d-2)}{2})^2}.$$

Invoking (B.3) and (B.4) again we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{n,2,d}^2P_{n,2,d}^{(2)}(1)^2 &= \frac{1}{2^{d-2}\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})^2}\frac{(2n+d-2)([n+d-3]_{d-3})^2}{[n+d-1]_{d+1}}\left(\frac{1}{4}\binom{n+2}{2}\left[n+\frac{d-3}{2}\right]_2\right)^2\\ &=\frac{1}{2^{d+3}\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})^2}\frac{A_{2d+3}(n)}{B_{d+1}(n)}, \end{aligned} \tag{$\boldsymbol{r}_\beta$}$$

where $A_{2d+3}(x)$ (respectively $B_{d-1}(x)$) is a monic polynomial of degree $(2d+3)$ (respectively $d+1$). Using (S_∞) we deduce that

$$\forall\beta\in B_{2,d-1};\ \ r_\beta(\nu)=\sum_{n=2}^{\nu}C_{n,2,d}^2P'_{n,2,d}(1)^2\sim\frac{1}{2^{d+3}(d+3)\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})^2}\nu^{d+3},\ \ \text{as}\ \ \nu\to\infty. \tag{2.22}$$

Using (B.4), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}C_{n,0,d}^2(n+1)^2\left(n+\frac{d-3}{2}\right)^2&=\frac{(2n+d-2)[n+d-3]_{d-3}}{2^{d-2}\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})^2}(n+1)^2\left(n+\frac{d-3}{2}\right)^2\\&=\frac{1}{2^{d-3}\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})^2}A_{d+2}(n),\end{aligned}\tag{$\boldsymbol{r}_0$}$$

where $A_{d+2}(x)$ denotes a monic polynomial of degree $d+2$. Invoking (S_∞) again we deduce that as $\nu\to\infty$ we have

$$r_0(\nu)=\frac{1}{4\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-2}}\sum_{n=0}^{\nu}C_{n,0,d}^2(n+1)^2\left(n+\frac{d-3}{2}\right)^2\sim\frac{1}{2^{d-1}\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})^2\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-2}(d+3)}\nu^{d+3}. \tag{2.23}$$

Define

$$\bar{r}_0=\lim_{\nu\to\infty}\nu^{-(d+3)}r_0(\nu),\ \ \bar{r}_\beta=\lim_{\nu\to\infty}\nu^{-(d+3)}r_\beta(\nu),\ \ \bar{r}=\lim_{\nu\to\infty}\nu^{-(d+1)}r(\nu).$$

The precise values of these constants can be read off (2.21)-(2.23). Denote by $\boldsymbol{L}_\infty$ the Euclidean space of dimension $\ell=\binom{d}{2}$ with Euclidean coordinates u_0, u_β, $\beta\in B_{2,d}$, and we set

$$A_\nu(\boldsymbol{u})=r_0(\nu)^{1/2}u_0\mathbb{1}_{d-1}+\sum_\beta r_\beta(\nu)^{1/2}u_\beta H_\beta,\ \ A_\infty(\boldsymbol{u})=\bar{r}_0^{1/2}u_0\mathbb{1}_{d-1}+\sum_\beta\bar{r}_\beta^{1/2}u_\beta H_\beta. \tag{2.24}$$

We can now rewrite (2.20) as follows

$$\mu(S^{d-1},\boldsymbol{V}_\nu)=\frac{\Gamma(\frac{\ell+d}{2})}{(r(\nu)\pi)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}\int_{S(\boldsymbol{L}_\infty)}|\det A_\nu(\boldsymbol{u})|\,|dS(\boldsymbol{u})|.$$

The estimates (2.22) and (2.23) show that as $\nu\to\infty$, we have

$$|\det A_\nu(\boldsymbol{u})|\sim\nu^{\frac{(d+3)(d-1)}{2}}|\det A_\infty(\boldsymbol{u})|,$$

uniformly with respect to $\boldsymbol{u}\in S(\boldsymbol{L}_\infty)$. We deduce that as $\nu\to\infty$, we have

$$\mu(S^{d-1},\boldsymbol{V}_\nu)\sim\frac{\Gamma(\frac{\ell+d}{2})}{(\pi\bar{r})^{\frac{d-1}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}\nu^{d-1}\int_{|\boldsymbol{u}|=1}|\det A_\infty(\boldsymbol{u})|\,|dS(\boldsymbol{u})|. \tag{2.25}$$

This proves (2.2) where

$$K_d=\frac{2\Gamma(\frac{\ell+d}{2})}{(\pi\bar{r})^{\frac{d-1}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})(d-1)!}\int_{|\boldsymbol{u}|=1}|\det A_\infty(\boldsymbol{u})|\,|dS(\boldsymbol{u})|,\ \ \ell=\binom{d}{2}.$$

□

Remark 2.2. We want to analyze what happens to the above expectation if we change the L^2-metric product on $\boldsymbol{V}_\nu(d)$ to a new Euclidean metric so that the resulting quadruple $(S^{d-1},g,\boldsymbol{V}_\nu(d),h)$ continues to be homogeneous with respect to the action of $SO(d)$.

To perform such changes we use the fact that each of the spaces $\mathcal{Y}_{n,d}$ is an irreducible representation of $SO(d)$. Any sequence $w=(w_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of positive real numbers determines a Euclidean metric $\|-\|_w$ on $\boldsymbol{V}_\nu(d)$ as follows. If

$$\boldsymbol{v}=\sum_{n=0}^{\nu}\boldsymbol{v}_n\in\boldsymbol{V}_\nu(d),\ \ \boldsymbol{v}_n\in\mathcal{Y}_{n,d},$$

then we set

$$\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_w^2:=\sum_{n=0}^{\nu}\frac{1}{w_n^2}\|\boldsymbol{v}_n\|^2_{L^2(S^{d-1})}.$$

In the sequel, we will choose the weights w of the form

$$w_{p,\nu}=(\nu+1)^p,\ \ p\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{2.26}$$

The corresponding metric $\|-\|_{w_p}$ is (equivalent to) the metric of the Sobolev Hilbert space H^{-p} consisting of distributions with "derivatives up to order $-p$ in L^2".

The quadruple $(S^{d-1},g,\boldsymbol{V}_\nu(d),\|-\|_w)$ is homogeneous and we denote by $\mu_p(S^{d-1},\boldsymbol{V}_\nu)$ its expectation. The collection

$$\big\{\,w_nZ_{n,j,\beta}\in C^\infty(S^{d-1});\ \ 0\leq j\leq n\leq\nu,\ \ \beta\in B_{j,d}\,\big\}$$

is an orthonormal basis of $\boldsymbol{V}_\nu$ with respect to the inner product h_w associated to $\|-\|_w$. Any $\boldsymbol{v}\in\boldsymbol{V}_\nu$ admits a decomposition

$$\boldsymbol{v}=\sum_{n=0}^{\nu}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\sum_{\beta\in B_{j,d}}v_{n,j,\beta}w_nZ_{n,j,\beta},\ \ v_{n,j,\beta}\in\mathbb{R}.$$

The arguments in the proof of Theorem 2.1 show that for $\boldsymbol{v}\in\boldsymbol{V}_\nu$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v})=&\sum_{\beta\in B_{2,d}}\Big(\sum_{n=2}^{\nu}w_nv_{n,2,\beta}C_{n,2,d}P^{(2)}_{n,d}(1)\Big)H_\beta\\&-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-2}^{-1/2}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\nu}w_nv_{n,0,1}C_{n,0,d}(n+1)\Big(n+\frac{d-3}{2}\Big)\right)\cdot\mathbb{1}_{d-1}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v})\neq 0$, then the North Pole is a critical point of $\boldsymbol{v}$, i.e., $\boldsymbol{v}\in\boldsymbol{K}_\nu(p_0)$. Define

$$\boldsymbol{a}_0=\boldsymbol{a}_0(\nu,w):=-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-2}^{-1/2}\sum_{n=0}^{\nu}w_nC_{n,0,d}(n+1)\Big(n+\frac{d-3}{2}\Big)Z_{n,0,1}\in\boldsymbol{V}_\nu, \tag{2.27}$$

and for $\beta\in B_{2,d}$ set

$$\boldsymbol{a}_\beta=\boldsymbol{a}_\beta(\nu,w):=\sum_{n=2}^{\nu}w_nC_{n,2,d}P^{(2)}_{n,d}(1)Z_{n,2,\beta}\in\boldsymbol{V}_\nu. \tag{2.28}$$

We deduce that

$$\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v})=(\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{a}_0)\mathbb{1}_{d-1}+\sum_\beta(\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{a}_\beta)H_\beta.$$

Define

$$\boldsymbol{e}_0:=\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{a}_0|}\boldsymbol{a}_0,\ \ \boldsymbol{e}_\beta:=\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{a}_\beta|}\boldsymbol{a}_\beta,\ \ \beta\in B_{2,d}, \tag{2.29}$$

$$r_0=r_0(\nu,w):=|\boldsymbol{a}_0|^2,\ \ r_\beta=r_\beta(\nu,w):=|\boldsymbol{a}_\beta|^2,\ \ \beta\in B_{2,d}.$$

For any $\boldsymbol{v}\in\boldsymbol{K}_\nu(p_0)$, we set

$$Q_\nu(\boldsymbol{v}):=|\det\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v})|,\ \ \bar{v}_0=(\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{e}_0),\ \ \bar{v}_\beta=(\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{e}_\beta),\ \ \beta\in B_{2,d},$$

and we deduce

$$\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \operatorname{Hess}(\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}) = r_0^{1/2}\bar{v}_0 \mathbb{1}_{d-1} + \sum_{\beta} r_\beta^{1/2}\bar{v}_\beta H_\beta, \tag{2.30}$$

$$\int_{S(\boldsymbol{K}_\nu(p_0))} Q_\nu(\boldsymbol{v})\,|dS(\boldsymbol{v})| = \frac{\pi^{\frac{N_\nu-\ell-d+1}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{\ell+d}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{N_\nu}{2})}\int_{S(\boldsymbol{L}_\nu)} Q_\nu(\bar{(}\boldsymbol{v}))\,|dS(\bar{\boldsymbol{v}})|, \tag{2.31}$$

where $\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}$ denotes the orthogonal projection of $\boldsymbol{v}$ onto the space $\boldsymbol{L}_\nu$ spanned by $\boldsymbol{e}_0, \boldsymbol{e}_\beta$. Similarly, we have

$$\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{p_0}\partial_{x_i} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-3}^{-1/2}C_{1,0,d-1}\sum_{n=1}^{\nu} w_n C_{n,1,d}P'_{n,d}(1)Z_{n,1,i}. \tag{2.32}$$

This shows again that the vectors $\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{p_0}\partial_{x_i}$, $i=1,\dots,d-1$, are mutually orthogonal and they have identical length

$$|\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{p_0}\partial_{x_i}| = r(\nu)^{1/2},\;\; r(\nu) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-3}^{-1}C^2_{1,0,d-1}\sum_{n=1}^{\nu}\big(w_nC_{n,1,d}P'_{n,d}(1)\big)^2. \tag{2.33}$$

We deduce that the Jacobian of $\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{p_0}$ is

$$J_\nu = r(\nu)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}. \tag{2.34}$$

If the exponent p in (2.26) is nonnegative, then using ($\boldsymbol{r}$), ($\boldsymbol{r}_\beta$), ($\boldsymbol{r}_0$) and the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula (S_∞), we deduce as before that as $\nu\to\infty$ we have

$$r(\nu,w)\sim K_1\nu^{d+1+2p},\;\; r_\beta(\nu,w)\sim K_2r^{d+1+2p},\;\; r_0(\nu,w)\sim K_3\nu^{d+3+2p}, \tag{2.35}$$

where above and in the sequel we will use the symbols $K_1, K_2,\dots,$ to denote positive constants that depend only on d and p. This shows that

$$\mu_p(S^{d-1},\boldsymbol{V}_\mu)\sim K_4\dim\boldsymbol{V}_\nu.$$

If the exponent p in (2.26) is $\ll 0$, then a similar argument shows that

$$\mu_p(S^{d-1},\boldsymbol{V}_\mu)\sim K_5,\;\;\text{as}\;\;\nu\to\infty. \qquad\square$$

We conclude this section with a computation suggested by the recent results of Nazarov-Sodin, [26].

Theorem 2.3. *We denote by* $\mathscr{Y}_n$ *the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue* $\lambda_n = n(n+1)$ *of the Laplacian on* S^2, *and we set* $\mu(\mathscr{Y}_n) := \mu(S^2,\mathscr{Y}_n)$. *Then*[2]

$$\mu(\mathscr{Y}_n)\sim\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}n^2 \;\;\textit{as}\;\; n\to\infty. \tag{2.36}$$

Proof. The computation is very similar to the computations in Theorem 2.1, but much simpler. We continue to use the notations in the proof of that theorem. In particular, $\boldsymbol{K}_n$ denotes the space of harmonic polynomials in $\mathscr{Y}_n$ that admit the North Pole $p_0=(0,0,1)\in\mathbb{R}^3$ as a critical point.

An orthonormal basis of $\mathscr{Y}_n$ is given by the

$$Z_{n,j,\beta},\;\; 0\le j\le n,\;\; \beta\in B_j := B_{j,3}.$$

---

[2] Let us point out that $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\approx 1.154$.

Any $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{Y}_n$ admits a decomposition

$$\boldsymbol{y} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_j} y_{j,\beta} Z_{n,j,\beta}.$$

We conclude as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 that

$$\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{y}) = \sum_{\beta \in B_2} y_{2,\beta} \operatorname{Hess}(Z_{n,2,\beta}) + y_{0,1} \operatorname{Hess}(Z_{n,0,1}). \tag{2.37}$$

We have

$$\operatorname{Hess}(Z_{n,0,1}) = -\frac{1}{2\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1^{1/2}} C_{n,0,3} n(n+1) \mathbb{1}_2 = -\frac{1}{2(2\pi)^{1/2}} n(n+1) \sqrt{n+\frac{1}{2}}\, \mathbb{1}_2.$$

In this case, the basis B_2 consists of two elements, $B_2 = \{1, 2\}$, and we have

$$Z_{n,2,1} = C_{n,2,3} P^{(2)}_{n,3}(x_3) Y_1(x_1, x_2), \quad Z_{n,2,2} = C_{n,2,3} P^{(2)}_{n,3}(x_3) Y_2(x_1, x_2),$$

where

$$Y_1(x_1, x_2) = C_1(x_1^2 - x_2^2), \quad Y_2(x_1, x_2) = C_2 x_1 x_2,$$

and the constants C_1, C_2 are found from the identities

$$1 = C_1^2 \int_{S^1} (x_1^2 - x_2^2)^2 |ds| = C_2^2 \int_{S^1} x_1^2 x_2^2 |ds|.$$

Note that

$$\int_{S^1} x_1^2 x_2^2 |ds| = \frac{1}{4} \int_{S^1} \sin^2(2\theta)\, |d\theta| = \frac{\pi}{4}, \ \text{ and } \ \int_{S^1} (x_1^2 - x_2^2)^2 |ds| = \int_{S^1} \cos^2(2\theta) |d\theta| = \pi,$$

so that

$$C_1 = \pi^{-1/2}, \ \text{ and } \ C_2 = \frac{2}{\pi^{1/2}}.$$

We set

$$H_1 := \operatorname{Hess}(Y_1) = \frac{2}{\pi^{1/2}} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array}\right], \quad H_2 := \operatorname{Hess}(Y_2) = \frac{2}{\pi^{1/2}} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right].$$

We deduce that

$$\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{y}) = y_{2,1} C_{n,2,3} P^{(2)}_{n,3}(1) H_1 + y_{2,2} C_{n,2,3} P^{(2)}_{n,3}(1) H_2 - y_{0,1} \frac{1}{2\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1^{1/2}} C_{n,0,3} n(n+1) \mathbb{1}_2$$

$$= \frac{2}{\pi^{1/2}} C_{n,2,3} P^{(2)}_{n,3}(1) \left(y_{2,1} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array}\right] + y_{2,2} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right] \right) - y_{0,1} \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^{1/2}} n(n+1) \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/2} \mathbb{1}_2.$$

We set

$$a(n) := C_{n,2,3} P^{(2)}_{n,3}(1) = \frac{1}{8} \left(\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) [n+2]_4 \right)^{1/2}, \quad b(n) := \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} n(n+1) \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/2}. \tag{2.38}$$

Note that

$$a(n)^2 \sim \frac{n^5}{64}, \quad b(n)^2 \sim \frac{n^5}{32} \ \text{ as } \ n \to \infty.$$

To ease the presentation, we set

$$u_1 := y_{2,1}, \quad u_2 := y_{2,2}, \quad u = y_{0,1},$$

and we deduce that

$$\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{2}{\pi^{1/2}} \left[\begin{array}{cc} au_1 - bu & au_2 \\ au_2 & -au_1 - bu \end{array}\right].$$

Denote by $\boldsymbol{L}$ the space spanned by $Z_{n,2,1}, Z_{n,2,2}, Z_{n,0,1}$, $\ell := \dim \boldsymbol{L} = 3$. It is contained in $\boldsymbol{K}_n$, and if $\boldsymbol{y} \perp \boldsymbol{L}$, then $\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{y}) = 0$. For

$$\boldsymbol{y} = u_1 Z_{n,2,1} + u_2 Z_{n,2,2} + u Z_{n,0,1} \in \boldsymbol{L},$$

we have

$$|\det \operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{y})| = \frac{4}{\pi}|b^2u^2 - a^2u_1^2 - a^2u_2^2| \sim \frac{n^5}{16\pi}|2u^2 - u_1^2 - u_2^2|, \quad n \to \infty.$$

Arguing as in (2.17), we deduce that

$$\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{p_0}\partial_{x_i} = (\partial_{x_i} Z_{n,1,i}(0)) Z_{n,1,i}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Recall that

$$Z_{n,1,i} = C_0 C_{n,1,3} P'_{n,3}(x_3) x_i, \quad 1 = C_0^2 \int_{S^1} x_i^2 |ds| = \pi C_0^2,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{p_0}\partial_{x_i}| = C_0 C_{n,1,3} P'_{n,3}(1) Z_{n,1,i} &\overset{(B.4)}{=} \pi^{-1/2}\left(\frac{2n+1}{2n(n+1)}\right)^{1/2} \times \frac{1}{2}n(n+1) \\ &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{1/2}}\left(\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)n(n+1)\right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$J(\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{p_0}) = \frac{n(n+1)(n+\frac{1}{2})}{4\pi} \sim \frac{n^3}{4\pi} \;\; \text{as} \;\; n \to \infty.$$

Putting together all of the above and invoking ($\boldsymbol{\mu}_2$), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu(\mathscr{Y}_n)}{n^2} &\sim \operatorname{area}(S^2) \times \pi^{-\frac{3+2}{2}} \times \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-(u^2+u_1^2+u_2^2)} |2u^2 - (u_1^2 + u_2^2)|\, |du du_1 du_2| \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-(u^2+u_1^2+u_2^2)} |2u^2 - (u_1^2 + u_2^2)|\, |du du_1 du_2|. \end{aligned}$$

Using cylindrical coordinates (u, r, θ), $u_1 = r\cos\theta$, $u_2 = r\sin\theta$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-(u^2+u_1^2+u_2^2)} \left|2u^2 - (u_1^2+u_2^2)\right| |du du_1 du_2| &= \int_0^{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty} e^{-(u^2+r^2)}|2u^2 - r^2| r dr du d\theta \\ = 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty} e^{-(u^2+r^2)}|2u^2 - r^2| r dr du &= 4\pi \underbrace{\int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty} e^{-(u^2+r^2)}|2u^2 - r^2| r dr du}_{=:I}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mu(\mathscr{Y}_n) \sim 4\pi^{-1/2} I n^2.$$

To proceed further, we use polar coordinates $u = t\cos\varphi$, $r = t\sin\varphi$, $0 < \varphi < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $t \geq 0$ and we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_0^{\infty}\left(\int_0^{\pi/2} |2\cos^2\varphi - \sin^2\varphi| \sin\varphi d\varphi\right) e^{-t^2} t^4 dt \\ &= \left(\int_0^{\infty} e^{-t^2} t^4 dt\right) \cdot \left(\int_0^{\pi/2} |3\cos^2\varphi - 1| \sin\varphi d\varphi\right) \end{aligned}$$

(use the substitutions $s = t^2$, $x = \cos\varphi$)

$$= \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_0^{\infty} e^{-s} s^{3/2} ds\right) \cdot \left(\int_0^1 |3x^2 - 1| dx\right) = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma(5/2) \cdot \frac{4}{3\sqrt{3}} = \frac{\pi^{1/2}}{2\sqrt{3}}.$$

Hence

$$\mu(\mathcal{Y}_n)\sim\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}n^2 \ \text{ as } \ n\to\infty.$$

$\square$

Remark 2.4. Let us observe that for n very large, a typical spherical harmonic $\boldsymbol{y}\in\mathcal{Y}_n$ is a Morse function on S^2 and 0 is a regular value. The nodal set $\{\boldsymbol{y}=0\}$ is disjoint union of smoothly embedded circles. According to the classical theorem of Courant [10, §VI.6], the complement of the nodal set has at most n^2 connected components called *nodal domains*. We denote by $\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{y}}$ the collection of nodal domain, and we set

$$\delta(\boldsymbol{y}):=\#\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{y}}\leq n^2,\ \ \delta_n:=\pi^{-\frac{\dim\mathcal{Y}_n}{2}}\int_{\mathcal{Y}_n}e^{-|\boldsymbol{y}|^2}\delta(\boldsymbol{y})\,|dV(\boldsymbol{y})|=\frac{1}{\operatorname{area}\left(S(\mathcal{Y}_n)\right)}\delta(\boldsymbol{y})\,|dS(\boldsymbol{y})|.$$

In [26], it is shown that there exists a positive constant $a>0$ such that

$$\delta_n\sim an^2 \ \text{ as } \ n\to\infty.$$

Additionally, for large n, with high probability, $\delta(\boldsymbol{y})$ is close to an^2 (see [26] for a precise statement).

Denote by $p(\boldsymbol{y})$ the number of local minima and maxima of $\boldsymbol{y}$, and by $s(\boldsymbol{y})$ the number of saddle points. Then

$$\mu(\boldsymbol{y})=p(\boldsymbol{y})+s(\boldsymbol{y}),\ \ p(\boldsymbol{y})-s(\boldsymbol{y})=\chi(S^2)=2.$$

This proves that

$$p(\boldsymbol{y})=\frac{1}{2}(\mu(\boldsymbol{y})+2).$$

For every nodal region D, we denote by $p(\boldsymbol{y},D)$ the number of local minima and maxima[3] of $\boldsymbol{y}$ on D. Note that $p(\boldsymbol{y},D)>0$ for any D and thus the number $p(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{D\in\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{y}}}p(\boldsymbol{y},D)$ can be viewed as a weighted count of nodal domains. We set

$$p(\mathcal{Y}_n):=\pi^{-\frac{\dim\mathcal{Y}_n}{2}}\int_{\mathcal{Y}_n}e^{-|\boldsymbol{y}|^2}p(\boldsymbol{y})\,|dV(\boldsymbol{y})|.$$

Theorem 2.3 implies that

$$p(\mathcal{Y}_n)\sim\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}n^2 \ \text{ as } \ n\to\infty.$$

Since $\delta(\boldsymbol{y})\leq p(\boldsymbol{y})$, this shows that $a\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. $\square$

Remark 2.5. We can use Remark 1.5 as a simple test for the accuracy of the computations in Theorem 2.3. As explained in Remark 1.5, the Euler characteristic of S^2 is described by a integral very similar to the one describing $\mu(\mathcal{Y}_n)$. More precisely, we should have

$$\pm 2=\pm\chi(S^2)=\underbrace{\operatorname{area}\left(S^2\right)\times\pi^{-\frac{3+2}{2}}\times\frac{1}{J(\mathcal{A}^{\dagger}_{p_0})}\times\int_{\boldsymbol{L}}e^{-|\boldsymbol{y}|^2}\det\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{y})\,|dV(\boldsymbol{y})|}_{=:\boldsymbol{J}}.$$

The term $\boldsymbol{J}$ can be computed as follows.

$$\boldsymbol{J}=\frac{16}{\pi^{1/2}n(n+1)(n+\frac{1}{2})}\int_{\boldsymbol{L}}e^{-|\boldsymbol{y}|^2}\det\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{y})\,|dV(\boldsymbol{y})|$$

---

[3] A simple application of the maximum principle shows that on each nodal domain, all the local extrema of $\boldsymbol{y}$ are of the same type: either all local minima or all local maxima. Thus $p(\boldsymbol{y},D)$ can be visualized as the number of *p*eaks of $|\boldsymbol{y}|$ on D.

$$= \frac{64}{\pi^{3/2} n(n+1)(n+\frac{1}{2})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-(u^2+u_1^2+u_2^2)} \big(b(n)^2 u^2 - a(n)^2 (\, u_1^2 + u_2^2 \,) \big) \, |du du_1 du_2|$$

$$\overset{(2.38)}{=} \frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-(u^2+u_1^2+u_2^2)} \big(2\beta(n) u^2 - \alpha(n) (\, u_1^2 + u_2^2 \,) \big) \, |du du_1 du_2|,$$

where

$$\beta(n) = n(n+1), \quad \alpha(n) = (n+2)(n-1).$$

Arguing exactly as in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we deduce

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-(u^2+u_1^2+u_2^2)} \big(2\beta(n) u^2 - \alpha(n) (\, u_1^2 + u_2 \,)^2 \, |du du_1 du_2|$$

$$= 4\pi \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-(u^2+r^2)} (2\beta(n) u^2 - \alpha(n) r^2) r |dr du|$$

$(u = t\cos\varphi,\, r = t\sin\varphi)$

$$= 4\pi \int_0^\infty e^{-t^2} \int_0^{\pi/2} e^{-t^2} t^2 \big(2\beta(n)\cos^2\varphi - \alpha(n)\sin^2\varphi \big) \sin\varphi |dt d\varphi|$$

$(x = \cos\varphi,\, s = t^2)$

$$= 4\pi \times \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-s} s^{\frac{3}{2}} ds \times \int_0^1 \Big(\big(2\beta(n) + \alpha(n) \big) x^2 - \alpha(n) \Big) dx$$

$$= \frac{3\pi^{3/2}}{2} \times \frac{2}{3} \big(\beta(n) - \alpha(n) \big) = 2\pi^{3/2}.$$

This confirms the prediction in Remark 1.5, namely, $J = \pm 2$. □

Remark 2.6. Most of the arguments in the proof of Theorem 2.3 work with minor changes for spherical harmonics of an arbitrary number of variables and lead to the conclusion

$$\mu(\mathcal{Y}_{n,d}) \sim \mathcal{Z}_d n^{d-1} \;\text{ as }\; n \to \infty,$$

but the constant $\mathcal{Z}_d$ is a bit more mysterious. Here are the details.

If

$$\boldsymbol{y} = \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{j,d}} y_{j,\beta} Z_{n,j,\beta} \in \mathcal{Y}_{n,d},$$

then

$$\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{y}) = \sum_{\beta \in B_{2,d}} y_{2,\beta} \operatorname{Hess}(Z_{n,2,\beta}) + y_{0,1} \operatorname{Hess}(Z_{n,0,1}).$$

From (2.8), we deduce

$$\operatorname{Hess}(Z_{n,0,1}) = -\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-2}^{-1/2} C_{n,0,d} (n+1) \Big(n + \frac{d-3}{2} \Big) \mathbb{1}_{d-1}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-2}^{-1/2} \times \left(\frac{(2n+d-2)[n+d-3]_{d-3}}{2^{d-2}} \right)^{1/2} \times \frac{(n+1)(n+\frac{d-3}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})} \mathbb{1}_{d-1}$$

$$\sim \underbrace{-\frac{1}{2^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-2}^{1/2}}}_{=:a(d)} \frac{n^{\frac{d+2}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})} \mathbb{1}_{d-1} \;\text{ as }\; n \to \infty.$$

As in (2.4), we have

$$Z_{n,2,\beta}(\boldsymbol{x}) := C_{n,j,d}P^{(2)}_{n,d}(x_d)Y_{2,\beta}(\boldsymbol{x}'),\ \ \boldsymbol{x} = (\boldsymbol{x}', x_d).$$

If we denote by H_β the Hessian of Y_β at $\boldsymbol{x}' = 0$, we deduce

$$\operatorname{Hess}(Z_{n,2,\beta}) = C_{n,2,d}P^{(2)}_{n,d}(1)H_\beta.$$

Using ($\boldsymbol{r}_\beta$), we deduce

$$\operatorname{Hess}(Z_{n,2,\beta}) \sim \underbrace{\frac{1}{2^{\frac{d+3}{2}}}}_{=:b(d)}\frac{n^{\frac{d+2}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})}H_\beta \ \text{ as }\ n\to\infty.$$

Arguing as in the proof of (2.17) we deduce

$$\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{p_0}\partial_{x_i} = \partial_{x_i}Z_{n,1,i}(P_0)Z_{n,1,i},$$

where

$$Z_{n,1,i} = C_{n,1,d}P'_{n,d}(x_d)\cdot C_d x_i,\ \ \int_{S^{d-2}} C_d^2 x_i^2\,|dS(\boldsymbol{x}')| = 1.$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{p_0}\partial_{x_i} = C_dC_{n,1,d}P'_{n,d}(1)Z_{n,1,i}.$$

We have

$$C_{n,1,d} \sim \frac{1}{2^{\frac{d-3}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})}n^{\frac{d-4}{2}}\ \text{ as }\ n\to\infty.$$

Using (B.3) we obtain

$$P'_{n,d}(1) \sim \frac{1}{2}n^2\ \text{ as }\ n\to\infty.$$

Using (B.8) we deduce

$$C_d^2 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}.$$

Hence,

$$|\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{p_0}\partial_{x_i}| \sim \frac{C_d}{2^{\frac{d-1}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})}n^{\frac{d}{2}}\ \text{ as }\ n\to\infty,$$

so that

$$J(\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{p_0}) \sim \left(\frac{C_d}{2^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}\right)^{d-1}\frac{n^{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})^{(d-1)}}.$$

Denote by $\boldsymbol{L}$ the subspace of $\mathcal{Y}_{n,d}$ spanned by the orthonormal collection of spherical harmonics

$$\big\{\,Z_{n,0,1},\ Z_{n,2,\beta},\ \ \beta\in B_{2,d}\,\big\}.$$

It has dimension

$$\dim\boldsymbol{L} = N_{d-1} := \dim\mathbf{Sym}(T_{p_0}S^{d-1}) = \binom{d}{2}.$$

Using ($\boldsymbol{\mu}_2$), Corollary 1.3 and the above computations we deduce

$$\frac{\mu(\mathcal{Y}_{n,d})}{n^{d-1}} \sim \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-1}\times\pi^{-\frac{N_{d-1}+d-1}{2}}\left(\frac{C_d}{2^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}\right)^{-(d-1)}\times\int_{\boldsymbol{L}} e^{-|\boldsymbol{y}|^2}|\det A_\infty(\boldsymbol{y})|\,|dV(\boldsymbol{y})|,$$

where for

$$\boldsymbol{y} = y_1Z_{N,0,1} + \sum_{\beta\in B_{2,d}} y_\beta Z_{n,2,\beta}\in\boldsymbol{L},$$

we have

$$\boldsymbol{A}_\infty(\boldsymbol{y}) = y_1 a(d)\mathbb{1}_{d-1} + \sum_\beta y_\beta b(d) H_\beta.$$

We interpret A_∞ as an isometry from $\boldsymbol{L}$ to the space $\mathbf{Sym}_{d-1} = \mathbf{Sym}(T_{p_0}S^{d-1}, g)$ such that the collection

$$a(d)\mathbb{1}_{d-1},\ \ b(d)H_\beta$$

is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbf{Sym}_{d-1}$. We denote by $g_{a,b}$ this $O(d-1)$-invariant metric on $\mathbf{Sym}_{d-1}$ and by $|d\mathcal{V}_{a,b}|$ the associated volume density. We deduce

$$\int_{\boldsymbol{L}} e^{-|\boldsymbol{y}|^2} |\det A_\infty(\boldsymbol{y})|\, |dV(\boldsymbol{y})| = \int_{\mathbf{Sym}_{d-1}} e^{-|A|^2_{a,b}} |\det(A)|\, |d\mathcal{V}_{a,b}(A)|.$$

On $\mathbf{Sym}_{k-1}$ we have a canonical $O(d-1)$ metric $|-|_*$ defined by

$$|A|_*^2 = \operatorname{tr} A^2.$$

We denote by $|d\mathcal{V}_*|$ the associated volume density. From (C.3), we deduce

$$|d\mathcal{V}_{a,b}| = \gamma_d |d\mathcal{V}_*|, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{|a|(d-1)^{1/2}(bR)^{N_{d-1}-1}}, \quad R^2 = \frac{4\Gamma(\frac{d+3}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}.$$

From (C.2), we deduce

$$|A|^2_{a,b} = \alpha \operatorname{tr} A^2 + \beta(\operatorname{tr} A)^2,$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{b^2R^2} = \frac{2^{d+1}\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d+3}{2})},$$

$$\beta = \frac{1}{d-1}\left(\frac{1}{(d-1)a^2} - \frac{1}{b^2R^2}\right) = \frac{1}{(d-1)}\left(\frac{2^{d-1}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-2}}{(d-1)} - \frac{2^{d+1}\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d+3}{2})}\right)$$

$$= \frac{2^d\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{(d-1)}\left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})(d-1)} - \frac{2}{\Gamma(\frac{d+3}{2})}\right) = \frac{2^d\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{(d-1)\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{(d+1)}\right)$$

$$= \frac{2^{d-1}\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}(d-7)}{(d^2-1)\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})} = \frac{d-7}{8(d-1)}\alpha.$$

We deduce

$$\int_{\mathbf{Sym}_{d-1}} e^{-|A|^2_{a,b}} |\det(A)|\, |d\mathcal{V}_{a,b}(A)| = \gamma_d \int_{\mathbf{Sym}_{d-1}} e^{-\alpha \operatorname{tr} A^2 - \beta(\operatorname{tr} A)^2} |\det A|\, |d\mathcal{V}_*(A)|.$$

As explained in Appendix C, the last integral can be further simplified to

$$\int_{\mathbf{Sym}_{d-1}} e^{-\alpha \operatorname{tr} A^2 - \beta(\operatorname{tr} A)^2} |\det A|\, |d\mathcal{V}_*(A)|$$

$$= \mathcal{Z}_d \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} e^{-\frac{|\boldsymbol{x}|^2}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2\alpha}(\operatorname{tr}\boldsymbol{x})^2} \prod_{i=1}^{d-1}|x_i| \cdot \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq d-1}|x_i - x_j|\, |dV(\boldsymbol{x})|}_{=:I_d},$$

where $\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{x}) := x_1 + \cdots + x_{d-1}$, and $\mathcal{Z}_d$ is a positive constant that can be determined explicitly. The integral I_d seems difficult to evaluate. The trick used in [18] does not work when $d > 7$, since in that case $\beta > 0$. The asymptotics of I_d as $d \to \infty$ are very intriguing. $\square$

3. RANDOM TRIGONOMETRIC POLYNOMIALS WITH GIVEN NEWTON POLYHEDRON

Fix a positive integer L and denote by $\mathbb{T}^L$ the L-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^L := \mathbb{R}^L/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})^L$ equipped with the induced flat metric. Let $\vec{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_L)$ denote the angular coordinates induced from the canonical Euclidean coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^L$. For any $\vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^L$ we set

$$p(\vec{m}) = \begin{cases} \frac{2^{1/2}}{(2\pi)^{L/2}}, & |\vec{m}| \neq 0 \\ & \\ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{L/2}}, & |\vec{m}| = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{A}}_{\vec{m}} := p(\vec{m}) \cos\left(\sum_{j=1}^L m_j\theta_j \right), \quad \widehat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{\vec{m}} := p(\vec{m}) \sin\left(\sum_{j=1}^L m_j\theta_j \right).$$

The lattice $\mathbb{Z}^L$ is equipped with the lexicographic order $\prec$, where we define $\vec{m} \prec \vec{n}$ if the first non zero element in the sequence $n_1 - m_1, \dots, n_L - m_L$ is positive. We define $\mathcal{C}_L$ to be the positive cone

$$\mathcal{C}_L := \bigl\{ \vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^L;\ \ \vec{0} \prec \vec{m} \bigr\}.$$

The collection

$$\bigl\{ \widehat{\boldsymbol{A}}_{\vec{0}} \bigr\} \cup \bigl\{ \widehat{\boldsymbol{A}}_{\vec{m}};\ \ \vec{m} \in \mathcal{C}_L \bigr\} \cup \bigl\{ \widehat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{\vec{m}}; \vec{m} \in \mathcal{C}_L \bigr\}$$

is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{T}^L)$. A finite set $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{C}_L$ is called *symmetric* if for any permutation φ of $\{1, \dots, L\}$ we have

$$(m_1, \dots, m_L) \in \mathcal{M} \Longleftrightarrow (m_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, m_{\varphi(L)}) \in \mathcal{M} \cup -\mathcal{M}.$$

For example, the set $\{(2,-1), (1,-1), (1,-2)\} \subset \mathcal{C}_2$ is symmetric.

For any finite set $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{C}_L$ we define

$$\boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M}) := \operatorname{span}\bigl\{ \widehat{\boldsymbol{A}}_{\vec{m}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{\vec{n}};\ \ \vec{m},\ \ \vec{n} \in \mathcal{M} \bigr\},$$

the scalars

$$a_{jk} = a_{jk}(\mathcal{M}) = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^L} \sum_{\vec{m} \in \mathcal{M}} m_j m_k, \tag{3.1}$$

and the vectors

$$\vec{a}_{jk} = \vec{a}_{jk}(\mathcal{M}) = -\frac{2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{L}{2}}} \sum_{\vec{m} \in \mathcal{M}} m_j m_k \widehat{\boldsymbol{A}}_{\vec{m}} \in \boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M}). \tag{3.2}$$

If $\mathcal{M}$ is symmetric then the scalars a_{jj} are independent of j and we denote their common value by $\alpha(\mathcal{M})$. Similarly, the scalars a_{jk}, $j \neq k$, are independent of $j \neq k$, and we denote their common value by $b(\mathcal{M})$.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{C}_L$ is a symmetric finite subset of cardinality $N > L$. We set*

$$a := a(\mathcal{M}),\ \ b := \beta(\mathcal{M}),\ \ \vec{a}_{ij} = \vec{a}_{ij}(\mathcal{M}).$$

Then the following hold.

(a) The sample space $\boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M})$ is ample if and only if $a \neq b$.
(b) Suppose that

$$\text{the vectors } \vec{a}_{ij} \text{ are linearly independent.} \tag{\#}$$

Denote by $\mathbf{Sym}_L$ *the* Euclidean space *of symmetric* $L\times L$ *matrices with* orthonormal basis $(H_{ij})_{1\leq i\leq j\leq L}$, *where* H_{jk} *is the symmetric* $L\times L$ *matrix with nonzero entries only in at locations* (j,k) *and* (k,j), *and those entries are* 1. *We denote by* $\langle -,-\rangle$ *the resulting inner product on* $\mathbf{Sym}_L$. *Then*

$$\mu(M)=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}\binom{L}{2}}(a-b)^{\frac{L-1}{2}}\big(a+(L-1)b\big)^{1/2}}\int_{\mathbf{Sym}_L}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \boldsymbol{C}^{-1}X,X\rangle}|\det X|\,|dX|, \tag{3.3}$$

where for $X=\sum_{i\leq j}x_{ij}H_{ij}$

$$|dX|=\left|\prod_{i\leq j}dx_{ij}\right|,$$

and $\boldsymbol{C}:\mathbf{Sym}_L\to\mathbf{Sym}_L$ *is the symmetric linear operator described in the orthonormal basis* (H_{ij}) *by the matrix*

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{ij;k\ell}=(\vec{a}_{ij},\vec{a}_{k\ell})=\frac{2}{(2\pi)^L}\sum_{\vec{m}\in\mathcal{M}}m_im_jm_km_\ell.$$

Proof. We will compute $\mu(\mathcal{M})$ via the identity (1.14). Observe first that $\boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M})$ is invariant under the action of $\mathbb{T}^L$ on itself, and the induced action on $\boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M})$ is by isometries. Let $p=(0,\dots,0)\in\mathbb{T}^d$, and denote by $\boldsymbol{K}_p$ the subspace of $\boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M})$ consisting of trigonometric polynomials that admit p as a critical point. Set $\partial_j:=\partial_{\theta_j}$, $\boldsymbol{f}_j:=\partial_j|_p$, $j=1,\dots,d$. We have

$$\mathcal{A}_p^\dagger\boldsymbol{f}_j=\sum_{\vec{m}\in\mathcal{M}}\Big(\partial_j\widehat{\boldsymbol{A}}_{\vec{m}}(\vec{\theta})\widehat{\boldsymbol{A}}_{\vec{m}}+\partial_j\widehat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{\vec{m}}(\vec{\theta})\widehat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{\vec{m}}\Big)\,|_{\vec{\theta}=\vec{0}}=\sum_{\vec{m}\in\mathcal{M}}m_jp(\vec{m})\widehat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{\vec{m}}.$$

We have

$$G_{jk}:=\big(\mathcal{A}_p^\dagger\boldsymbol{f}_j,\mathcal{A}_p^\dagger\boldsymbol{f}_k\big)=\frac{2}{(2\pi)^L}\sum_{\vec{m}\in\mathcal{M}}m_jm_k=a_{jk}(\mathcal{M})$$

Since $\mathcal{M}$ is symmetric we deduce that $\mathcal{A}_p\mathcal{A}_p^\dagger$ is described by the symmetric $L\times L$ matrix $G_L(a,b)$ whose diagonal entries are all equal to a, and all the off-diagonal entries are equal to b. We denote by $\Delta_L(a,b)$ its determinant. We deduce[4]

$$\Delta_L(a,b)=(a-b)^{L-1}\big(a+(L-1)b\big), \tag{3.4}$$

so that the Jacobian of $\mathcal{A}_p^\dagger$ is

$$J(\mathcal{A}_p^\dagger)=\Delta_d(a,b)^{1/2}. \tag{3.5}$$

Observe that $\boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M})$ is ample if and only if the Jacobian of the adjunction map $\mathcal{A}_p^\dagger$ is nonzero, i.e., if and only if $\Delta_L(a,b)\neq 0$. This proves part (a).

If

$$\boldsymbol{v}=\sum_{\vec{m}\in\mathcal{M}}\big(a_{\vec{m}}\widehat{\boldsymbol{A}}_{\vec{m}}+b_{\vec{m}}\widehat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{\vec{m}}\big),$$

then

$$\partial_j\partial_k\boldsymbol{v}(p)=-\frac{2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{L}{2}}}\sum_{\vec{m}\in\mathcal{M}}a_{\vec{m}}m_jm_k.$$

We deduce that

$$\operatorname{Hess}_p(\boldsymbol{v})=-\frac{2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{L}{2}}}\sum_{j\leq k}\left(\sum_{\vec{m}\in\mathcal{M}}a_{\vec{m}}m_jm_k\right)H_{jk} \tag{3.6}$$

---

[4] If C_L denotes the $L\times L$ matrix with all entries 1, then $G_L(a,b)=(a-b)\mathbb{1}_L+bC_L$. The matrix C_L has rank 1 and a single nonzero eigenvalue equal to L. This implies (3.4).

Using the notations (3.1) and (3.2) we can rewrite the equality (3.6) as

$$\mathrm{Hess}_p(\boldsymbol{v}) = \sum_{i\leq j} (\boldsymbol{v}, \vec{a}_{ij}) H_{ij}.$$

Using Lemma A.3 and the equality $\dim \boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M}) = 2N$ we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{S(\boldsymbol{K}_p)} |\det \mathrm{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v})|\,|dS(\boldsymbol{v})| &= \frac{2}{\Gamma(N)} \int_{\boldsymbol{K}_p} e^{-|\boldsymbol{v}|^2} |\det \mathrm{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v})|\,|dV(\boldsymbol{v})| \\ &= \frac{2^{-\frac{\dim \boldsymbol{K}_p + L}{2}+1}}{\Gamma(N)} \int_{\boldsymbol{K}_p} e^{-\frac{|\boldsymbol{v}|^2}{2}} |\det \mathrm{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v})|\,|dV(\boldsymbol{v})| \\ &= \frac{2^{-\frac{L}{2}+1}\pi^{\frac{\dim \boldsymbol{K}_p}{2}}}{\Gamma(N)} \underbrace{\int_{\boldsymbol{K}_p} |\det \mathrm{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v})| \frac{e^{-\frac{|\boldsymbol{v}|^2}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{\dim \boldsymbol{K}_p}{2}}} |dV(\boldsymbol{v})|}_{=:\boldsymbol{I}(\mathcal{M})}.\end{aligned}$$

We performed all this yoga to observe that $\boldsymbol{I}(\mathcal{M})$ is an integral with respect to a Gaussian density over $\boldsymbol{K}_p$. Denote by $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$ the linear map

$$\mathcal{T} : \boldsymbol{K}_p \to \mathbf{Sym}_L, \quad \boldsymbol{v} \mapsto \mathrm{Hess}_p(\boldsymbol{v}) = \sum_{i\leq j} (\boldsymbol{v}, \vec{a}_{ij}) H_{ij}.$$

Since the vectors $\vec{a}_{ij}$ are assumed to be linearly independent, the map $\mathcal{T}$ is surjective. Clearly, $\det \mathrm{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v})$ is constant along the fibers of $\mathcal{T}$. As is well known (see e.g. [20, §16]) the pushfowrad of a Gaussian measure via a surjective linear map is also a Gaussian measure. Thus the density

$$|d\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mathcal{M}}| := \mathcal{T}_* \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{|\boldsymbol{v}|^2}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{\dim \boldsymbol{K}_p}{2}}} |dV(\boldsymbol{v})| \right),$$

is a Gaussian density on $\mathbf{Sym}_L$. Since the density $\frac{e^{-\frac{|\boldsymbol{v}|^2}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{\dim \boldsymbol{K}_p}{2}}} |dV(\boldsymbol{v})|$ is centered, i.e., its expectation is trivial, we deduce that its pushforward by $\mathcal{T}$ is also centered. The Gaussian density $|d\gamma_{\mathcal{M}}|$ is thus determined by its covariance operator

$$\boldsymbol{C} = \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathbf{Sym}_L \to \mathbf{Sym}_L$$

described in the orthonormal basis (H_{ij}) by the matrix

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{ij;k\ell} = (\vec{a}_{ij}, \vec{a}_{k\ell}) = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^L} \sum_{\vec{m}\in\mathcal{M}} m_i m_j m_k m_\ell.$$

The symmetry condition on $\mathcal{M}$ imposes many relations between these numbers. We deduce

$$\boldsymbol{I}(\mathcal{M}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{s(L)}{2}} (\det \boldsymbol{C})^{1/2}} \int_{\mathbf{Sym}_L} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \boldsymbol{C}^{-1}X, X\rangle} |\det X|\,|dX|, \quad s(L) := \dim \mathbf{Sym}_L\,.$$

Using (1.14) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(\mathcal{M}) &= \frac{\mathrm{vol}\,(\mathbb{T}^L)}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{2N-1} \Delta_L(a,b)^{1/2}} \times \frac{2^{-\frac{L}{2}+1}\pi^{\frac{\dim \boldsymbol{K}_p}{2}}}{\Gamma(N)} \boldsymbol{I}(\mathcal{M}) \\ &= \frac{\mathrm{vol}\,(\mathbb{T}^L)}{\Delta_L(a,b)^{1/2}} \times \frac{2^{-\frac{L}{2}}\pi^{\frac{\dim \boldsymbol{K}_p}{2}}}{\pi^N} \boldsymbol{I}(\mathcal{M}) = \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{L}{2}}}{\Delta_L(a,b)^{1/2}} \boldsymbol{I}(\mathcal{M}).\end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.3). □

We will put the above theorem to work in several special cases. Let us observe that the assumption (#) is automatically satisfied if $\mathcal{M}$ contains the points

$$(1,0,\dots,0),\;\;(1,1,0,\dots,0).$$

Indeed, the symmetry of $\mathcal{M}$ implies that all the functions $\cos(\theta_i)$ and $\cos(\theta_i+\theta_j)$, $1\leq i\neq j\leq L$, belong to $\boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M})$ and the hessians of these functions span the whole space of $L\times L$ matrices.

Suppose now that $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}_\nu^L:=\Lambda_\nu^L\cap\mathcal{C}$, where ν is a (large) positive integer, and Λ_ν is the cube

$$\Lambda_\nu^L:=\bigl\{\vec{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^L;\;\;|m_j|\leq\nu,\;\;\forall j=1,\dots,L\,\bigr\}.$$

Let us observe that

$$\Lambda_\nu^L=\mathcal{M}_\nu^L\cup(-\mathcal{M}_\nu^L)\cup\{\vec{0}\}. \tag{3.7}$$

Among other things, this proves that $\mathcal{M}_\nu$ is symmetric. We want to investigate the behavior of $\mu(\mathcal{M}_\nu^L)$ as $\nu\to\infty$. To formulate our next result we need to introduce additional notation.

Let us observe that we have an orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathbf{Sym}_L=\mathcal{D}_L\oplus\mathcal{D}_L^\perp, \tag{3.8}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_L$ consits of diagonal matrices

$$\mathcal{D}_L=\operatorname{span}\bigl\{H_{ii};\;\;1\leq i\leq L\bigr\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}_L^\perp=\operatorname{span}\bigl\{H_{ij};\;\;1\leq i<j\leq L\,\bigr\}.$$

For any real numbers a,b we denote by $G_L(a,b)$ the $L\times L$-matrix with entries

$$g_{ij}=\begin{cases}a, & i=j\\ b, & i\neq j.\end{cases}.$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let*

$$\mathcal{M}_\nu^L:=\bigl\{\vec{m}\in\mathcal{C}_L;\;\;|m_j|\leq\nu,\;\;\forall 1\leq j\leq L\,\bigr\}.$$

Then, as $\nu\to\infty$ we have

$$\mu(\mathcal{M}_\nu^L)\sim\Bigl(\frac{\pi}{6}\Bigr)^{\frac{L}{2}}\bigl\langle\,|\det X|\,\bigr\rangle_{\overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_\infty}\dim\boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M}_\nu^L), \tag{3.9}$$

where $\bigl\langle\,|\det X|\,\bigr\rangle_{\overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_\infty}$ the expectation of $|\det X|$ with respect to the centered gaussian probability measure on $\mathbf{Sym}_L$ with covariance matrix that has the block description

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_\infty=G_L\left(\frac{9}{5},1\right)\oplus\mathbb{1}_{\binom{L}{2}}$$

with respect to the decomposition (3.8).

Proof. Let us first compute

$$a(\nu)=a(\mathcal{M}_\nu^L)=\frac{2}{(2\pi)^L}\sum_{\vec{m}\in\mathcal{M}_\nu}m_1^2\overset{(3.7)}{=}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^L}\sum_{\vec{m}\in\Lambda_\nu^L}m_1^2=\frac{|\Lambda_\nu^{L-1}|}{(2\pi)^L}\sum_{|m_1|\leq\nu}m_1^2|\Lambda_\nu^{L-1}|$$

$$=\frac{2(2\nu+1)^{L-1}}{(2\pi)^L}\sum_{k=1}^{\nu}k^2=\frac{2(2\nu+1)^{L-1}}{3(2\pi)^L}B_3(\nu+1)\sim\frac{1}{3\pi^L}\nu^{L+2}\;\;\text{as }\nu\to\infty.$$

Similarly, we have

$$b(\nu)=b(\mathcal{M}_\nu^L)=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^L}\sum_{\vec{m}\in\Lambda_\nu^L}m_1m_2.$$

The last sum is 0 due to the invariance of Λ_ν^L with respect to the reflection

$$(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_L) \longleftrightarrow (-m_1, m_2, \dots, m_L).$$

Thus, in this case

$$\Delta_L(a,b) = a(\nu)^L \sim \frac{1}{3^L \pi^{L^2}} \nu^{L(L+2)} \ \text{ as } \nu \to \infty. \tag{3.10}$$

To compute the covariance operator $\boldsymbol{C}$ we observe first that, in view of the symmetry of $\mathcal{M}_\nu$ it suffices to compute only the entries

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{11;ij}, \ \ i \leq j \ \text{ and } \ \boldsymbol{C}_{12;ij}, \ \ i < j.$$

We have

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{11;11} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^L} \sum_{\vec{m} \in \Lambda_\nu^L} m_1^4 = \frac{2|\Lambda_\nu^{L-1}|}{(2\pi)^L} \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} k^4 = \frac{2(2\nu+1)^{L-1}}{5(2\pi)^L} B_5(\nu+1) \sim \frac{1}{5\pi^L} \nu^{L+4}.$$

For $i > 1$ we have

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{11;ii} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^L} \sum_{\vec{m} \in \Lambda_\nu^L} m_1^2 m_i^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^L} \sum_{\vec{m} \in \Lambda_\nu^L} m_1^2 m_2^2 = \frac{|\Lambda_\nu^{L-2}|}{(2\pi)^L} \sum_{\vec{m} \in \Lambda_\nu^2} m_1^2 m_2^2$$

$$= \frac{|\Lambda_\nu^{L-2}|}{(2\pi)^L} \left(\sum_{|k| \leq \nu} k^2 \right)^2 = \frac{4(2\nu+1)^{L-2}}{(2\pi)^L} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\nu} k^2 \right)^2 = \frac{4(2\nu+1)^{L-2}}{9(2\pi)^L} B_3(\nu+1) \sim \frac{1}{9\pi^L} \nu^{L+4}.$$

Using the invariance of Λ_ν with respect to the reflections

$$(m_1, \dots, m_i, \dots, m_L) \longleftrightarrow (m_1, \dots, -m_i, \dots, m_L) \tag{3.11}$$

we deduce that for any $i < j$ we have

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{11,ij} = 0.$$

To summarize, we have shown that

$$x_\nu = \boldsymbol{C}_{ii;ii} = \boldsymbol{C}_{11;11} \sim \frac{1}{5\pi^L} \nu^{L+4} \tag{3.12a}$$

$$y_\nu = \boldsymbol{C}_{ii;jj} = \boldsymbol{C}_{11,jj} \sim \frac{1}{9\pi^L} \nu^{L+4} \ \ \forall 1 \leq i < j. \tag{3.12b}$$

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{ii;jk} = 0, \ \ \forall i, \ \ j < k. \tag{3.12c}$$

Next, we observe that

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{12;12} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^L} \sum_{\vec{m} \in \Lambda_\nu^L} m_1^2 m_2^2 = \frac{4(2\nu+1)^{L-2}}{9(2\pi)^L} B_3(\nu+1) = y_\nu \sim \frac{1}{9\pi^L} \nu^{L+4}.$$

Using the reflections (3.11) we deduce that

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{12;ij} = 0, \ \ \forall i < j, \ \ (i,j) \neq (1,2).$$

With respect to the decomposition (3.8) the covariance operator has a bloc decomposition

$$\boldsymbol{C} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathcal{G} & \mathcal{F} \\ \mathcal{F}^\dagger & \mathcal{H} \end{array} \right],$$

where $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{D}_L^\perp \to \mathcal{D}_L$. The above computations show that

$$\mathcal{F} = 0, \ \ \mathcal{H} = y_\nu \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{D}_L^\perp} = y_\nu \mathbb{1}_{\binom{L}{2}}.$$

The operator $\mathcal{G}$ is described in the basis (H_{ii}) of $\mathcal{D}_L$ by the matrix $G_L(x_\nu, y_\nu)$. We deduce that

$$\boldsymbol{C} = G_L(x_\nu, y_\nu) \oplus y_\nu \mathbb{1}_{\binom{L}{2}} = y_\nu \times \underbrace{\left(G_L(z_\nu, 1) \oplus \mathbb{1}_{\binom{L}{2}} \right)}_{=:\overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_\nu}, \;\; z_\nu = \frac{x_\nu}{y_\nu}.$$

Using (3.12a) and (3.12b) we deduce that

$$\lim_{\nu\to\infty} z_\nu = \frac{9}{5}.$$

We conclude that

$$\det \boldsymbol{C} \sim y_\nu^{\binom{L}{2}+L} \det G_L\left(\frac{9}{5}, 1\right) \sim \left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^{L-1} \left(\frac{4}{5} + L\right) y_\nu^{\binom{L}{2}+L}, \;\; \text{as } \nu \to \infty. \tag{3.13}$$

Using (3.3), (3.10) we deduce

$$\mu(\mathcal{M}_\nu^L) = \frac{3^{\frac{L}{2}} \pi^{\frac{L^2}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}\binom{L}{2}} \nu^{\frac{L(L+2)}{2}} y_\nu^{\frac{1}{2}\binom{L}{2}+\frac{L}{2}} (\det \overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_\nu)^{1/2}} \int_{\mathbf{Sym}_L} e^{-\frac{1}{2y_\nu}\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_\nu^{-1} X, X\rangle} |\det X| \, |dX|,$$

making the change in variables $X = y_\nu^{1/2} Y$ we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(\mathcal{M}_\nu^L) &= \frac{3^{\frac{L}{2}} \pi^{\frac{L^2}{2}} y_\nu^{\frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathbf{Sym}_L + L)}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}\binom{L}{2}} \nu^{\frac{L(L+2)}{2}} y_\nu^{\frac{1}{2}\binom{L}{2}+\frac{L}{2}} (\det \overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_\nu)^{1/2}} \int_{\mathbf{Sym}_L} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_\nu^{-1} Y, Y\rangle} |\det Y| \, |dY| \\ &= \frac{3^{\frac{L}{2}} \pi^{\frac{L^2}{2}} y_\nu^{\frac{L}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}\binom{L}{2}} \nu^{\frac{L(L+2)}{2}} (\det \overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_\nu)^{1/2}} \int_{\mathbf{Sym}_L} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_\nu^{-1} Y, Y\rangle} |\det Y| \, |dY|.\end{aligned}$$

As $\nu \to \infty$ we have

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_\nu \to \overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_\infty := G_L\left(\frac{9}{5}, 1\right) \oplus \mathbb{1}_{\binom{L}{2}}.$$

Using (3.12b) we deduce that as $\nu \to \infty$ we have

$$\mu(\mathcal{M}_\nu) \sim Z_L \nu^L, \;\; Z_L = \frac{1}{3^{\frac{L}{2}} (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}\binom{L}{2}} (\det \overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_\infty)^{1/2}} \int_{\mathbf{Sym}_L} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_\infty^{-1} Y, Y\rangle} |\det Y| \, |dY|.$$

Since

$$\dim \boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M}_\nu^L) \sim (2\nu)^L \;\; \text{as } \nu \to \infty,$$

we deduce

$$\mu(\mathcal{M}_\nu^L) \sim \left(\frac{\pi}{6}\right)^{\frac{L}{2}} \times \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{\dim \mathbf{Sym}_L}{2}} (\det \overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_\infty)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbf{Sym}_L} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_\infty^{-1} Y, Y\rangle} |\det Y| \, |dY|.$$

This proves (3.9). □

Let us apply the above result in the case $L = 1$. In this case $\mathcal{M}_\nu^1$ consists of trigonometric polynomials of degree $\leq \nu$ on S^1, and $\mathbf{Sym}_L = \mathbb{R}$. In this case we have

$$\langle \, |\det X| \, \rangle_{\overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_\infty} = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{5x^2}{18}} |x| dx = \frac{2\sqrt{5}}{3} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \underbrace{\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{5x^2}{18}} x dx}_{=\frac{9}{5}} = \left(\frac{6}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}.$$

We deduce the following result.

Corollary 3.3.

$$\mu(\mathcal{M}^1_\nu)\sim 2\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}\nu,\ \ \textit{as}\ \nu\to\infty. \tag{3.14}$$

When $L=2$, the computations are a bit more complicated, but we can still be quite explicit.

Corollary 3.4.

$$\mu(\mathcal{M}^2_\nu)\sim Z_2\dim \boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M}^2_\nu),\ \ Z_2\approx 0.4717,\ \ \textit{as}\ \nu\to\infty. \tag{3.15}$$

Proof. We decompose the operators $X\in\mathbf{Sym}_2$ as

$$X=xH_{11}+yH_{22}+zH_{12}$$

so that $\det X=(xy-z^2)$. We write $a:=\frac{9}{5}$, $b:=1$. Then

$$\frac{1}{2}\langle\overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_\infty^{-1}X,X\rangle=\frac{1}{2(a^2-b^2)}(ax^2+ay^2-2bxy)-\frac{1}{2}z^2,\ \ \det\boldsymbol{C}_\infty=(a^2-b^2)$$

$$\langle|\det X|\rangle_{\overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_\infty}=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}(a^2-b^2)^{1/2}}\underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}e^{-\frac{1}{2(a^2-b^2)}(ax^2+ay^2-2bxy)-\frac{1}{2}z^2}|xy-z^2||dxdydz|}_{=:\boldsymbol{I}(a,b)}.$$

As shown in Proposition A.4, the integral $\boldsymbol{I}(a,b)$ can be reduced to a 1-dimensional integral

$$\boldsymbol{I}(a,b)=\sqrt{2\pi(a^2-b^2)}\left(\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{2c^{3/2}}{(c+2)^{1/2}}d\theta-2\pi a+2\pi\right),$$

where

$$c(\theta):=(a-b\cos 2\theta).$$

We deduce

$$\langle|\det X|\rangle_{\overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_\infty}=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{2c^{3/2}}{(c+2)^{1/2}}d\theta-a+1\approx 1.7207...$$

and

$$\frac{\pi}{6}\times\langle|\det X|\rangle_{\overline{\boldsymbol{C}}_\infty}\approx 0.4717...$$

□

Remark 3.5. The antiderivative of $\frac{c^{3/2}}{(c+2)^{1/2}}$ can be expressed in a rather complicated fashion in terms of elliptic integrals. □

Still in the case $L=2$, suppose that

$$\mathcal{M}=\big\{(1,0),(0,1),(1,1)\big\}. \tag{3.16}$$

The space $\boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M})$ was investigated in great detail by V.I. Arnold, [4, 5, 6].

Theorem 3.6. *If $\mathcal{M}$ is given by (3.16), then*

$$\mu(\mathcal{M})=\frac{4\pi}{3}\approx 4.188.$$

Proof. We rely on Theorem 3.1, or rather its proof. In this case $L = 2$, $\dim \boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M}) = 6$. The collection $\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{A}}_{1,0}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{A}}_{1,1}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{A}}_{0,1}\}$ is an orthonormal system, and we denote by $\boldsymbol{L}$ the vector space they span. Note that $\boldsymbol{L} \subset \boldsymbol{K}_p$, and $\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v}) = 0$ if $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{L}^{\perp} \cap \boldsymbol{K}_p$. We have

$$a = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sum_{\vec{m} \in \mathcal{M}} m_1^2 = \frac{1}{\pi^2}, \quad b = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sum_{\vec{m} \in \mathcal{M}} m_1 m_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi^2}.$$

Then

$$a - b = \frac{1}{2\pi^2}, \quad a + (L-1)b = \frac{3}{2\pi^2}, \quad J(\mathcal{A}_p^{\dagger}) = (a-b)^{\frac{L-1}{2}} \big(a + (L-1)b\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi^2}.$$

We decompose $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{L}$ as

$$\boldsymbol{v} = x\widehat{\boldsymbol{A}}_{1,0} + y\widehat{\boldsymbol{A}}_{0,1} + z\widehat{\boldsymbol{A}}_{1,1}.$$

and we have

$$\operatorname{Hess}_p(\boldsymbol{v}) = -\frac{2^{1/2}}{2\pi} \begin{bmatrix} x+z & z \\ z & y+z \end{bmatrix},$$

$$|\det \operatorname{Hess}_p(\boldsymbol{v})| = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} |xy + yz + zx|, \quad |\boldsymbol{v}|^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2.$$

Using ($\boldsymbol{\mu}_2$) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\mathcal{M}) &= \frac{\operatorname{vol}(S^1 \times S^1)}{\pi^{5/2} J(\mathcal{A}_p^{\dagger})} \times \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-(x^2+y^2+z^2)} |xy + yz + zx| \, |dS(x,y,z))| \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi^{1/2}\sqrt{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-(x^2+y^2+z^2)} |xy + yz + zx| |dxdydz|. \end{aligned}$$

The quadratic form $Q(x,y,z) = xy + yz + zx$ can be diagonalized via an orthogonal change of coordinates. The matrix describing Q in the orthonormal coordinates x, y, z is the symmetric matrix

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

and its eigenvalues are $1, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$. Thus, for some Euclidean coordinates u, v, w, we have

$$Q = \frac{1}{2}(2u^2 - v^2 - w^2),$$

and therefore,

$$\mu(\mathcal{M}) = \frac{2}{\pi^{1/2}\sqrt{3}} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-(u^2+v^2+w^2)} |2u^2 - v^2 - w^2| \, |dudvdw|}_{=:I}.$$

The above integral can be computed using cylindrical coordinates (u, r, θ),

$$r = (v^2 + w^2)^{1/2}, \quad v = r\cos\theta, \quad w = r\sin\theta.$$

We deduce

$$I = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(u^2+r^2)} |2u^2 - r^2| r dr du d\theta = 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(u^2+r^2)} |2u^2 - r^2| r dr du$$

$(u = t\cos\varphi,\ r = t\sin\varphi\ 0 \leq \varphi \leq \pi,\ t \geq 0)$

$$= 2\pi \int_0^{\infty} \left(\int_0^{\pi} |2\cos^2\varphi - \sin^2\varphi| \sin\varphi d\varphi \right) e^{-t^2} t^4 dt$$

$$\overset{x=\cos\varphi}{=} 2\pi\left(\int_0^\infty e^{-t^2}t^4dt\right)\cdot\left(\int_{-1}^1|3x^2-1|dx\right)=\pi\left(\int_0^\infty e^{-s}s^{3/2}ds\right)\cdot\left(\int_{-1}^1|3x^2-1|dx\right)$$

$$=\pi\cdot\Gamma(5/2)\cdot\left(\frac{8\sqrt{3}}{9}\right)=\frac{2\pi^{3/2}\sqrt{3}}{3}.$$

Hence,

$$\mu(\mathcal{M})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}I=\frac{4\pi}{3}\approx 4.188.$$

□

Remark 3.7. The typical trigonometric polynomial $\boldsymbol{t}\in\boldsymbol{T}(\mathcal{M})$ is a Morse function on $S^1\times S^1$, and thus it has an even number of critical points. Morse inequalities imply that it must have at least 4 critical points. We see that the expected number of critical points of a polynomial in $\boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M})$ is very close to this minimum, and that $\boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M})$ must contain Morse functions with at least 6 critical points. Arnold proved in [6] that the typical function in $\boldsymbol{T}(\mathcal{M})$ has at most 8 critical points.

A later result of Arnold, [5, Thm. 1] states that a generic trigonometric polynomial in $\boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M})$ has at most 6 critical points. However, there is an elementary, but consequential error in the proof of this theorem. More precisely, a key concept in the proof is a (real) linear operator that associates to each holomorphic function $f:\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}$ a new function holomorphic function $\widehat{f}$ defined by $\widehat{f}(z):=\overline{f(\bar{z})}$. Arnold states that if $z_0\in\mathbb{C}$ is a critical point of f, i.e., $\frac{df}{dz}(z_0)=0$, then it is also a critical point of $\widehat{f}$. Clearly this is true only if z_0 is real. For example, $z_0=\boldsymbol{i}$ is a critical point of $f(z)=(z-\boldsymbol{i})^2$, but it is not a critical point of $\widehat{f}(z)=(z+\boldsymbol{i})^2$. □

4. A PRODUCT FORMULA

Suppose that $(M,g,\boldsymbol{V})$ is a homogeneous triple, $m=\dim M$. We say that it is *special* if it admits a *core*, i.e., a quadruple $(p,\underline{\boldsymbol{s}},\boldsymbol{L},\boldsymbol{w})$, where p is a point in M, $\underline{\boldsymbol{f}}=\{\boldsymbol{f}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{f}_m\}$ is an orthonormal frame of T_pM, $\boldsymbol{L}$ is a subspace of $\boldsymbol{V}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}\in\boldsymbol{V}$ such that the following hold.

- $\mathbf{P}_1$. The vectors $\mathcal{A}_p^\dagger\boldsymbol{f}_r,\quad r=1,\dots,m$ are mutually orthogonal. For any $\boldsymbol{v}\in\boldsymbol{V}$ we denote by $\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v})$ the Hessian of $\boldsymbol{v}$ at p computed using the frame $\underline{\boldsymbol{f}}$.
- $\mathbf{P}_2$. The subspace $\boldsymbol{L}$ is contained in $\boldsymbol{K}_p=\ker\mathcal{A}_p$, and for any $\boldsymbol{v}\in L^\perp$ we have $\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v})=0$.
- $\mathbf{P}_3$. $\boldsymbol{w}\in\boldsymbol{K}_p\cap\boldsymbol{L}^\perp$, $\boldsymbol{w}(p)\neq 0$ and $|\boldsymbol{w}|=1$. We set $\widehat{\boldsymbol{L}}:=\boldsymbol{L}\oplus\operatorname{span}(\boldsymbol{w})$.
- $\mathbf{P}_4$. $\widehat{L}^\perp\subset\ker\mathbf{ev}_p$.

Remark 4.1. (a) The importance of a core stems from the fact that in applications we often have

$$\dim\boldsymbol{L}\ll\dim\boldsymbol{V}.$$

We regard Hess as a linear map

$$\boldsymbol{K}_p\to\mathbf{Sym}(T_pM):=\text{symmetric linear maps } T_pM\to T_pM.$$

We observe that $\boldsymbol{L}\supset(\ker\operatorname{Hess})^\perp$, so we would expect the dimension of $\boldsymbol{L}$ to be at least as big as $\binom{m+1}{2}=\dim\mathbf{Sym}(T_pM)$. In many applications, $\dim\boldsymbol{L}$ is only slightly bigger than $\binom{m+1}{2}$.

(b) The conditions $\mathbf{P}_3$, $\mathbf{P}_4$ can be somewhat relaxed. We can define a core to be a subspace $\widehat{L}\subset\boldsymbol{K}_p$ that contains $\mathbf{ev}_p\in\boldsymbol{V}$ and satisfies $\mathbf{P}_2$. For example, if $\mathbf{ev}_p\in\boldsymbol{K}_p$, we can choose $\widehat{\boldsymbol{L}}$ to be the sum between the line spanned by $\mathbf{ev}_p$ and the orthogonal complement of $\ker\operatorname{Hess}$, but this space may be difficult to get a handle on in practice. For reasons having to do with the applications we have in mind, we prefer to work with the above more flexible definition. □

Suppose that $(p, \underline{\boldsymbol{s}}, \boldsymbol{L}, \boldsymbol{w})$ is a core of the special triple $(M, g, \boldsymbol{V})$. A basis of $\boldsymbol{V}$ is said to be *adapted to the core* if it can be represented as collection of functions $Y_j \in \boldsymbol{V}$, $j \in J$, where J is a set of cardinality $\dim V$ equipped with a partition

$$J = \{c\} \sqcup I \sqcup I^* \sqcup R_m$$

such that the following hold.

- The collection $(Y_j)_{j\in J}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\boldsymbol{V}$.
- The collection $(Y_j)_{j\in I}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\boldsymbol{L}$.
- $Y_c = \boldsymbol{w}$.
- The collection $\{Y_j;\ \ j \in \{c\} \cup I \cup I^*\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\boldsymbol{K}_p$.
- $R_m = \{1, \dots, m\}$ and
$$Y_r = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}_p^\dagger \boldsymbol{f}_r|}\mathcal{A}_p^\dagger \boldsymbol{f}_r, \ \ \forall r \in R_m.$$

For such a basis, we write $\hat{I} := \{c\} \cup I$, so that the collection $(Y_j)_{j\in\hat{I}}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{L}}$.

Proposition 4.2. *(a) Suppose that $(M, g, \boldsymbol{V})$ is a special triple and $(p, \underline{\boldsymbol{f}}, \boldsymbol{L}, \boldsymbol{w})$ is a core of this triple. Set $m := \dim M$, $\ell = \dim \boldsymbol{L}$ and $N = \dim \boldsymbol{V}$. Then*

$$\mu(M, g, \boldsymbol{V}) = \frac{\mathrm{vol}_g(M)\Gamma(\frac{\ell+m}{2})}{2\pi^{\frac{\ell+m}{2}}\prod_{r=1}^m |\mathcal{A}_p^\dagger \boldsymbol{f}_r|}\int_{S(\boldsymbol{L})} |\det H(\boldsymbol{v})|\,|dS(\boldsymbol{v})| \tag{4.1a}$$

$$= \frac{\mathrm{vol}_g(M)}{\pi^{\frac{\ell+m}{2}}\prod_{r=1}^m |\mathcal{A}_p^\dagger \boldsymbol{f}_r|}\int_{\boldsymbol{L}} e^{-|\boldsymbol{u}|^2}|\det H(\boldsymbol{u})|\,|dV(\boldsymbol{u})|. \tag{4.1b}$$

(b) Suppose $(M_\alpha, g_\alpha, \boldsymbol{V}_\alpha)$, $\alpha = 1, 2$, are special triples with cores $(p_\alpha, \underline{\boldsymbol{f}}^\alpha, \boldsymbol{L}_\alpha, \boldsymbol{w}_\alpha)$. Then the triple $(M_1 \times M_2, g_1 \oplus g_2, \boldsymbol{V}_1 \otimes \boldsymbol{V}_2)$ is special. The core is defined by the datum $(\,p, \underline{\boldsymbol{f}}, \boldsymbol{L}, \boldsymbol{w}\,)$, where

$$p := (p_1, p_2),\ \ \underline{\boldsymbol{f}} := \underline{\boldsymbol{f}}^1 \cup \underline{\boldsymbol{f}}^2,\ \ \boldsymbol{w}(x_1, x_2) := \boldsymbol{w}_1(x_1)\boldsymbol{w}_2(x_2),$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{L} := (\boldsymbol{L}_1 * \boldsymbol{L}_2) \oplus \boldsymbol{K}_{p_1}^\perp \otimes \boldsymbol{K}_{p_2}^\perp,$$

where $\boldsymbol{L}_1 * \boldsymbol{L}_2$ denotes is the orthogonal complement of $\boldsymbol{w}$ in $\widehat{\boldsymbol{L}}_1 \otimes \widehat{\boldsymbol{L}}_2$. Moreover

$$|\,\mathbf{ev}_{p_1,p_2}\,| = |\,\mathbf{ev}_{p_1}\,| \cdot |\,\mathbf{ev}_{p_2}\,|, \tag{4.2}$$

$$J(\mathcal{A}_{(p_1,p_2)}^\dagger) = J(\mathcal{A}_{p_1}^\dagger) \cdot J(\mathcal{A}_{p_2}^\dagger) \cdot \frac{(|\,\mathbf{ev}_{p_1}\,| \cdot |\,\mathbf{ev}_{p_1}\,|)^{m_1+m_2}}{|\,\mathbf{ev}_{p_1}\,|^{m_1} \cdot |\,\mathbf{ev}_{p_2}\,|^{m_2}}, \tag{4.3}$$

where $J(S)$ denotes the Jacobian of a linear map between two Euclidean vector spaces.

Proof. (a) Note that for any $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{K}_p$, the Hessian $H(\boldsymbol{v})$ of $\boldsymbol{v}$ at p depends only on the projection $\bar{\boldsymbol{v}}$ of $\boldsymbol{v}$ on $\boldsymbol{L}$. Using (A.2) we deduce

$$\int_{S(\boldsymbol{K}_p)} |\det H(\boldsymbol{v})|\,|dS(\boldsymbol{v})| = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{N-m-\ell-1}\int_{B(\boldsymbol{L})} (1 - |\boldsymbol{x}|^2)^{\frac{N-m-\ell-2}{2}}|\det H(\boldsymbol{x})|\,|dV(\boldsymbol{x})|$$

$$\overset{(A.3)}{=} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{N-m-\ell-1}\Gamma(\frac{\ell+m}{2})\Gamma(\frac{N-m-\ell}{2})}{2\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}\int_{S(\boldsymbol{L})} |\det H(\boldsymbol{x})|\,|dS(\boldsymbol{x})|$$

$$= \frac{\pi^{\frac{N-m-\ell}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{\ell+m}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}\int_{S(\boldsymbol{L})} |\det H(\boldsymbol{x})|\,|dS(\boldsymbol{x})|.$$

We deduce that

$$\mu(M,g,\boldsymbol{V}) = \frac{\operatorname{vol}_g(M)}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{N-1}\prod_{r=1}^m|\mathcal{A}_p^\dagger\boldsymbol{f}_r|}\int_{S(\boldsymbol{K}_p)}|\det H(\boldsymbol{v})|\,|dS(\boldsymbol{v})|$$

$$= \frac{\operatorname{vol}_g(M)\Gamma(\frac{\ell+m}{2})}{2\pi^{\frac{\ell+m}{2}}\prod_{r=1}^m|\mathcal{A}_p^\dagger\boldsymbol{f}_r|}\int_{S(\boldsymbol{L})}|\det H(\boldsymbol{v})|\,|dS(\boldsymbol{v})|.$$

(b) Choose an orthonormal basis $(Y_j^\alpha)_{j\in J_\alpha}$ of $\boldsymbol{V}_\alpha$ adapted to the core $(p_\alpha, \underline{\boldsymbol{f}}^\alpha, \boldsymbol{L}_\alpha, \boldsymbol{w}_\alpha)$, where

$$J_\alpha = \{c_\alpha\}\sqcup I_\alpha\sqcup I_\alpha^*\sqcup R_{m_\alpha}.$$

The collection

$$\{Y_{j_1}^1Y_{j_2}^2\}_{(j_1,j_2)\in J_1\times J_2}$$

is an orthonormal basis of $\boldsymbol{V}_1\otimes\boldsymbol{V}_2$.

Observe that $\operatorname{Hess}(Y_{j_1}^1Y_{j_2}^2)$, the Hessian of $Y_{j_1}^1Y_{j_2}^2$ at $p=(p_1,p_2)$, admits a block decomposition

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} Y_{j_2}^2(p_2)\operatorname{Hess}(Y_{j_1}^1) & A\\ A^t & Y_{j_1}^1(p_1)\operatorname{Hess}(Y_{j_2}^2)\end{array}\right],$$

where for any $(r_1,r_2)\in R$, the (r_1,r_2) entry of the matrix A is

$$A_{r_1r_2} = \partial_{\boldsymbol{f}_{r_1}^1}Y_{j_1}^1(p_1)\partial_{\boldsymbol{f}_{r_2}^2}Y_{j_2}^2(p_2),\ \ (r_1,r_2)\in R_{m_1}\times R_{m_2}.$$

The properties $\mathbf{P_2}$ and $\mathbf{P}_4$ show that if $\operatorname{Hess}(Y_{j_1}^1Y_{j_2}^2)\neq 0$, then

$$\text{either}\ \ (j_1,j_2)\in\hat{I}_1\times\hat{I}_2\setminus\{(c_1,c_2)\}\ \ \text{or}\ \ (j_1,j_2)\in R_{m_1}\times R_{m_2}.$$

This shows that if $\boldsymbol{v}\perp\boldsymbol{L}$, then $\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v})=0$ at (p_1,p_2). The condition $\boldsymbol{L}\subset\boldsymbol{K}_{p_1,p_2}$ follows from the properties $\mathbf{P}_2$ and $\mathbf{P}_4$ of special triples.

Next observe that for any $r_1\in R_{m_1}$, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{(p_1,p_2)}^\dagger\boldsymbol{f}_{r_1}^1 = \sum_{j_1\in J_1}\sum_{j_2\in J_2}\partial_{\boldsymbol{f}_{r_1}^1}Y_{j_1}^1(p_1)Y_{j_2}^2(p_2)Y_{j_1}^1Y_{j_2}^2$$

$$= \sum_{j_2\in J_2}\big(\,\partial_{\boldsymbol{f}_{r_1}^1}Y_{r_1}^1(p_1)\,\big)Y_{j_2}^2(p_2)Y_{r_1}^1Y_{j_2}^2.$$

This proves that $\mathcal{A}_{(p_1,p_2)}^\dagger\boldsymbol{f}_{r_1}^1(p_1,p_2)=0$ (since $Y_{r_1}^1(p_1)=0$ by $\mathbf{P}_4$) and

$$|\mathcal{A}_{(p_1,p_2)}^\dagger\boldsymbol{f}_{r_1}^1| = |\partial_{\boldsymbol{f}_{r_1}^1}Y_{r_1}^1(p_1)|^2\sum_{j_2=0}^{k_2}|Y_{j_2}^2(p_2)|^2$$

$$= |\mathcal{A}_{p_1}^\dagger\boldsymbol{f}_{r_1}^1|^2\sum_{j_2=0}^{k_2}|Y_{j_2}^2(p_2)|^2 = |\mathcal{A}_{p_1}^\dagger\boldsymbol{f}_{r_1}^1|^2\cdot|\,\mathbf{ev}_{p_2}|^2.$$

We have an analogous formula for $\mathcal{A}_{(p_1,p_2)}^\dagger\boldsymbol{f}_{r_2}^2$, $r_2\in R_{m_2}$. The conclusions of part (b) of Proposition 4.2 are now obvious. □

Example 4.3. An important example of special triple is $(S^{d-1},g_d,\boldsymbol{V}_\nu)$, $d\geq 3$, where (S^{d-1},g_d) is the round sphere of radius 1, and $\boldsymbol{V}_\nu$ is the space spanned by the eigenfunctions of the Laplacian corresponding to eigenvalues $\lambda_n = n(n+d-2)$, $n\leq\nu$. A core can be constructed as follows.

As distinguished point, we choose the North Pole $p_0 = (0,\dots,0,1)$. Near p_0 we use $\boldsymbol{x}' = (x_1,\dots,x_{d-1})$ as local coordinates, and we set

$$\boldsymbol{f}_r = \partial_{x_r} \in T_{p_0}S^{d-1}.$$

We choose $\boldsymbol{w}$ to be the constant function $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-1}^{-1/2}$. Finally, the subspace $\boldsymbol{L}$ is the space spanned by the functions $\boldsymbol{e}_0, \boldsymbol{e}_\beta$ defined by (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14), so that

$$I = \{0\} \cup B_{2,d}.$$

The properties $\mathbf{P}_1$, $\mathbf{P}_2$ and $\mathbf{P}_3$ of a core are obvious. To prove property $\mathbf{P}_4$, we have to show that if a function $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{V}_\nu$ is orthogonal to $\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{e}_0, \dots, \boldsymbol{e}_\beta$, $\beta \in B_{2,d}$, then $\boldsymbol{v}(p_0) = 0$. The function $\boldsymbol{v}$ admits a decomposition

$$\boldsymbol{v} = \sum_{n=0}^{\nu}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\sum_{\beta\in B_{j,d}} v_{n,j,\beta} Z_{n,j,\beta}.$$

Since $Z_{n,j,\beta}(p_0) = 0$ if and only if $j > 0$, we deduce that

$$\boldsymbol{v}(p_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\nu} v_{n,0,1} Z_{n,0,1}(p_0).$$

Note that $\boldsymbol{w} = Z_{0,0,1}$. Since $\boldsymbol{v} \perp \boldsymbol{w}$, we deduce $v_{0,0,1} = 0$, and therefore,

$$\boldsymbol{v}(p_0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\nu} v_{n,0,1} Z_{n,0,1}(p_0).$$

We now remark that (2.12) can be rewritten as

$$\boldsymbol{a}_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{\nu} Z_{n,0,1}(p_0) Z_{n,0,1}.$$

We deduce that

$$0 = -(\boldsymbol{v}, 2\boldsymbol{a}_0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\nu} v_{n,0,1} Z_{n,0,1}(p_0) = \boldsymbol{v}(p_0).$$

Note that (2.15) implies

$$\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{e}_0) = r_0(\nu)^{1/2}\mathbb{1}_{d-1}, \;\; \operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{e}_\beta) = r_\beta(\nu)^{1/2} H_\beta. \tag{4.4}$$

From (2.17) we deduce

$$\mathcal{A}_{p_0}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{f}_r = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-3}^{-1/2} C_{1,0,d-1}\sum_{n=1}^{\nu} C_{n,1,d} P'_{n,d}(1) Z_{n,1,r}. \tag{4.5}$$

Using (2.18) and (2.21), we deduce that this triple has the additional property that

$$|\mathcal{A}_{p_0}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{f}_1|^2 = \cdots = |\mathcal{A}_{p_0}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{f}_{d-1}|^2 = r(d,\nu) \sim \underbrace{\frac{1}{2^{d-1}\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})^2\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-3}(d+1)}}_{=:\bar{r}(d)} \nu^{d+1} \;\; \text{as} \;\; \nu\to\infty. \tag{4.6}$$

Let us compute the length of the evaluation functional $\mathbf{ev}_{p_0} = \mathbf{ev}_{p_0,\nu} : \boldsymbol{V}_\nu \to \mathbb{R}$. We will use the notations in the proof of Theorem 2.1. We have

$$|\,\mathbf{ev}_{p_0,\nu}\,|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\nu}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\sum_{\beta\in B_{j,d}} \big|\, C_{n,j,d} P_{n,d}^{(j)}(1) Y_{j,\beta}(0)\,\big|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\nu}\sum_{\beta\in B_{0,d}} \big|\, C_{n,0,d} P_{n,d}(1) Y_{0,\beta}(0)\,\big|^2.$$

In this case, the basis $\mathcal{B}_{0,d-1}$ consists of a single constant function $Y_0 = Y_{0,\beta} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-2}^{-1/2}$ and

$$P_{n,d}(1) = 1,\;\; C^2_{n,0,d} \overset{(B.6)}{=} \frac{(2n+d-2)[n+d-3]_{d-3}}{2^{d-2}\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})}.$$

Hence,

$$Y_{0,\beta}(0)^2 C^2_{n,0,d} = \frac{(2n+d-2)[n+d-3]_{d-3}}{(2\pi)^{d-1}},$$

and we conclude that

$$|\,\mathbf{ev}_{p_0,\nu}\,|^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d-1}}\sum_{n=0}^{\nu}(2n+d-2)[n+d-3]_{d-3} \sim \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{d-1}(d-1)}\nu^{d-1} \;\text{ as }\; \nu\to\infty. \tag{4.7}$$

For $r = 1,\dots,d-1$, we set

$$U_r := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{p_0}\boldsymbol{f}_r|}\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{p_0}\boldsymbol{f}_r. \tag{4.8}$$

The computations in the proof of Theorem 2.1 imply that

$$\partial_{x_1}U_1(p_0) = \partial_{x_2}U_2(p_0) = \dots = \partial_{x_{d-1}}U_{d-1}(p_0) = c(d,\nu) = r(d,\nu)^{1/2}. \tag{4.9a}$$

$$\partial_{x_i}U_j(p_0) = 0,\;\; \forall i,j = 1,\dots,d-1,\;\; i\neq j. \tag{4.9b}$$

□

Theorem 4.4. *Assume* $d_1, d_2 \geq 3$ *and fix* $r\geq 1$. *Then there exists a positive constant* K *that depends only on* d_1 *and* d_2 *such that,*

$$\mu\big(S^{d_1-1}\times S^{d_2-1}, \boldsymbol{V}_{\nu_1}(d_1)\otimes\boldsymbol{V}_{\nu_2}(d_2)\big) \sim K\big(\dim \boldsymbol{V}_{\nu_1}(d_1)\otimes\boldsymbol{V}_{\nu_2}(d_2)\big)^{\varpi(d_1,d_2,r)}$$

if $\nu_1,\nu_2\to\infty$ *and* $\nu_1\nu_2^{-r}$ *converges to a positive constant. The exponent* $\varpi(d_1,d_2,r)$ *is described in* (**ϖ**),

$$\varpi(d_1,d_2,r) = \frac{2(d_1-3)r+2d_2+2}{2(d_1-1)r+2d_2-2}.$$

Proof. Choose cores $(p_\alpha, \underline{\boldsymbol{f}}^\alpha, \boldsymbol{L}_\alpha, \boldsymbol{w}_\alpha)$ of $(S^{d_\alpha-1}, g_0, \boldsymbol{V}_{\nu_\alpha})$ as indicated in Example 4.3. Next, choose bases adapted to these cores

$$(Y^\alpha_j)_{j\in J_\alpha},\;\; J_\alpha = \{c_\alpha\}\sqcup I_\alpha\sqcup I^*_\alpha\sqcup R_{d_\alpha-1},\;\; I_\alpha = \{0\}\cup B_{2,d_\alpha},$$

and set

$$S = \big(\hat{I}_1\times\hat{I}_2\big)\setminus\{(c_1,c_2)\},\;\; R = R_{d_1-1}\times R_{d_2-1},\;\; I = S\cup R.$$

Recall that for $i_\alpha\in I_\alpha = \{0\}\cup B_{2,d_\alpha}$, we have

$$Y^\alpha_{i_\alpha} = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{e}_0(\nu_\alpha), & i_\alpha = 0\\ \boldsymbol{e}_\beta(\nu_\alpha), & i_\alpha = \beta\in B_{2,d_\alpha},\end{cases}$$

where the functions $\boldsymbol{e}_0$, $\boldsymbol{e}_\beta$ are defined by (2.14). Moreover, for $r_\alpha\in R_{d_\alpha-1} = \{1,\dots,d_\alpha-1\}$, we have $Y^\alpha_{r_\alpha} = U_{r_\alpha}$, where U_r is defined by (4.8).

We construct a core $(p, \underline{\boldsymbol{f}}, \boldsymbol{L}, \boldsymbol{w})$ of $(S^{d_1-1}\times S^{d_2-1}, \boldsymbol{V}_{\nu_1}\otimes\boldsymbol{V}_{\nu_2})$ as in Proposition 4.2. Note that the collection

$$\big\{\, Y_{i_1,j_2} := Y^1_{i_1}Y^2_{i_2};\;\; (i_1,i_2)\in I\,\big\}$$

is an orthonormal basis of $\boldsymbol{L}$. For $\boldsymbol{v}\in\boldsymbol{V}_{\nu_1}\otimes\boldsymbol{V}_{\nu_2}$ we denote by $\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v})$ the Hessian matrix of $\boldsymbol{v}$ at p computed using the frame $\underline{\boldsymbol{f}}$. Note that if $(i_1,i_2)\in S$, then

$$\operatorname{Hess}(Y_{i_1,i_2})=\begin{bmatrix} Y^2_{i_2}(p_2)\operatorname{Hess}(Y^1_{i_1}) & 0\\ 0 & Y^1_{i_1}(p_1)\operatorname{Hess}(Y^2_{i_2})\end{bmatrix}.$$

Using (4.9a) and (4.9b) we deduce that for $(r_1,r_2)\in R$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Hess}(Y_{r_1,r_2})&=\begin{bmatrix} 0 & c(d_1,\nu_1)c(d_2,\nu_2)\Delta_{r_1,r_2}\\ c(d_1,\nu_1)c(d_2,\nu_2)\Delta^\dagger_{r_1,r_2} & 0\end{bmatrix}\\ &=c(d_1,\nu_1)c(d_2,\nu_2)\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Delta_{r_1,r_2}\\ \Delta^\dagger_{r_1,r_2} & 0\end{bmatrix}}_{=:\widehat{\Delta}_{r_1,r_2}},\end{aligned}$$

where Δ_{r_1,r_2} denotes the $(d_1-1)\times(d_2-1)$ matrix whose entry on the (r_1,r_2) position is 1, while the other entries are 0. Thus, if

$$\boldsymbol{v}=\sum_{(i_1,i_2)\in I}v_{i_1,i_2}Y_{i_1,i_2}\in\boldsymbol{L},$$

then

$$\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v})=\sum_{(i_1,i_2)\in S}v_{i_1,i_2}\operatorname{Hess}(Y_{i_1,i_2})+c(d_1,\nu_1)c(d_2\nu_2)\sum_{(r_1,r_2)\in R}v_{r_1,r_2}\widehat{\Delta}_{r_1,r_2}. \tag{4.10}$$

To make further progress, we need to choose the basis $(Y^\alpha_{i_\alpha})_{i_\alpha\in I_\alpha}$ of $\boldsymbol{L}_\alpha$ as indicated in Example 4.3. Using the notations in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we let

$$I_\alpha=\{0\}\cup B_{2,d_\alpha}$$

and the functions Y^α_0 respectively Y^α_β, $\beta\in B_{2,d_\alpha}$ are equal to the functions $\boldsymbol{e}_0$ and respectively $\boldsymbol{e}_\beta$ defined by (2.14), (2.12), (2.13). More precisely, for $\alpha=1,2$, we have

$$Y^\alpha_0=\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{a}^\alpha_0|}\boldsymbol{a}^\alpha_0,$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{a}^\alpha_0=-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{-1/2}_{d_\alpha-2}\sum_{n=0}^{\nu_\alpha}C_{n,0,d_\alpha}n\Big(n+\frac{d_\alpha-3}{2}\Big)Z_{n,0,1},$$

and for $\beta\in B_{2,d_\alpha}$, we have

$$Y^\alpha_\beta=\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{a}^\alpha_\beta|}\boldsymbol{a}^\alpha_\beta,$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{a}^\alpha_\beta=\sum_{n=2}^{\nu_\alpha}C_{n,2,d}P^{(2)}_{n,d}(1)Z_{n,2,\beta}.$$

We set

$$r_0(\nu_\alpha):=|\boldsymbol{a}^\alpha_0|^2,\;\; r_\beta(\nu_\alpha):=|\boldsymbol{a}^\alpha_\beta|^2.$$

Using (2.22) and (2.23) we deduce that for any $d \geq 2$ there exist explicit positive constants $\bar{r}_0(d)$, $\bar{r}_1(d)$ such that

$$r_0(\nu_\alpha) \sim r_0(d_\alpha)\nu_\alpha^{d_\alpha+3}, \text{ as } \nu_\alpha \to \infty, \tag{4.11a}$$

$$r_\beta(\nu_\alpha) \sim r_1(d_\alpha)\nu_\alpha^{d_\alpha+3}, \text{ as } \nu_\alpha \to \infty. \tag{4.11b}$$

Let us observe that for any $\beta \in B_{2,d_\alpha}$ we have

$$Y^\alpha_\beta(p_\alpha) = 0,\ \operatorname{Hess}(Y^\alpha_\beta) \overset{(4.4)}{=} r_\beta(\nu_\alpha)^{1/2} H_\beta \sim r_1(d_\alpha)\nu_\alpha^{\frac{d_\alpha+3}{2}} H_\beta, \text{ as } \nu_\alpha \to \infty. \tag{4.12}$$

Moreover,

$$\operatorname{Hess}(Y^\alpha_0) = r_0(\nu_\alpha)^{1/2}\mathbb{1}_{d_\alpha-1} \sim r_0(d_\alpha)^{1/2}\nu_\alpha^{\frac{d_\alpha+3}{2}}\mathbb{1}_{d_\alpha-1}, \text{ as } \nu_\alpha \to \infty. \tag{4.13}$$

Next,

$$Y^\alpha_0(p_\alpha) = -\frac{1}{2r_0(\nu_\alpha)^{1/2}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d_\alpha-2}^{-1/2}\sum_{n=0}^{\nu_\alpha} C_{n,0,d_\alpha} n\Big(n+\frac{d_\alpha-3}{2}\Big) Z_{n,0,1}(p_0),$$

where

$$Z_{n,0,1}(p_\alpha) \overset{(2.4)}{=} C_{n,0,d_\alpha}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d_\alpha-2}^{-1/2}.$$

We deduce

$$Y^\alpha_0(p_\alpha) = -\frac{1}{2r_0(\nu_\alpha)^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d_\alpha-2}}\sum_{n=0}^{\nu_\alpha} C^2_{n,0,d_\alpha} n\Big(n+\frac{d_\alpha-3}{2}\Big)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2^{d-1}r_0(\nu_\alpha)^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d_\alpha-2}\Gamma(\frac{d_\alpha-1}{2})}\sum_{n=0}^{\nu_\alpha} n\Big(n+\frac{d_\alpha-3}{2}\Big)(2n+d_\alpha-2)[n+d_\alpha-3]_{d_\alpha-3}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2(4\pi)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}r_0(\nu_\alpha)^{1/2}}\sum_{n=0}^{\nu_\alpha} n\Big(n+\frac{d_\alpha-3}{2}\Big)(2n+d_\alpha-2)[n+d_\alpha-3]_{d_\alpha-3}.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\nu_\alpha} n\Big(n+\frac{d_\alpha-3}{2}\Big)(2n+d_\alpha-2)[n+d_\alpha-3]_{d_\alpha-3} \sim \frac{2}{d_\alpha+1}\nu_\alpha^{d_\alpha+1} \text{ as } \nu_\alpha \to \infty.$$

Using (4.11a), we deduce that

$$Y^\alpha_0(p_\alpha) \sim -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^{\frac{d_\alpha-1}{2}}(d_\alpha+1)r_0(d_\alpha)^{1/2}}\nu_\alpha^{\frac{d_\alpha-1}{2}} \text{ as } \nu_\alpha \to \infty. \tag{4.14}$$

For $i \in I_\alpha$, we define the symmetric $(d_\alpha-1)\times(d_\alpha-1)$–matrix

$$H^\alpha_i := \begin{cases} \mathbb{1}_{d-1}, & i = 0 \\ H_\beta, & i = \beta \in B_{2,d_\alpha}. \end{cases} \tag{4.15}$$

Putting together all of the above, we deduce that for $(i_1,i_2) \in S$ and $\nu_1,\nu_2 \to \infty$ we have

$$Y^2_{i_2}(p_2)\operatorname{Hess}(Y^1_{i_1}) \sim \begin{cases} 0, & i_2 \in B_{2,d_2} \text{ or } i_1 = c_1 \\ A\nu_1^{\frac{d_1+3}{2}} H^1_{i_1}, & i_2 = c_2 \text{ and } i_1 \in I_1 \\ B_{i_1}\nu_2^{\frac{d_2-1}{2}}\nu_1^{\frac{d_1+3}{2}} H^1_{i_1}, & i_2 = 0 \text{ and } i_1 \in I_1, \end{cases} \tag{4.16a}$$

$$Y^1_{i_1}(p_1)\,\mathrm{Hess}(Y^2_{i_2}) \sim \begin{cases} 0, & i_1 \in B_{2,d_1} \text{ or } i_2 = c_2 \\ C\nu_2^{\frac{d_2+3}{2}} H^1_{i_2}, & i_1 = c_1 \text{ and } i_2 \in I_2 \\ D_{i_2}\nu_1^{\frac{d_1-1}{2}}\nu_2^{\frac{d_2+3}{2}} H^2_{i_2}, & i_1 = 0 \text{ and } i_2 \in I_2, \end{cases} \tag{4.16b}$$

where A, C are nonzero constants that depend only on d_1 and d_2, B_{i_1} is a nonzero constant that depends on $i_1 \in I_1, , d_1, d_2$, and D_{i_2} is a nonzero constant that depends on $i_2 \in I_2, d_1, d_2$.

Similarly, using the estimate (4.6) we deduce that for $(r_1, r_2) \in R$ and $\nu_1, \nu_2 \to \infty$, there exists a nonzero constant $E = E(d_1, d_2)$ such that

$$\mathrm{Hess}(Y_{r_1,r_2}) \sim E\nu_1^{\frac{d_1+1}{2}}\nu_2^{\frac{d_2+1}{2}}\widehat{\Delta}_{r_1,r_2}. \tag{4.17}$$

Using (4.3), (4.7) and (4.6) we deduce that the Jacobian $J(\nu_1, \nu_2)$ of $\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{(p_1,p_2)}$ satisfies the asymptotic estimate

$$J(\nu_1,\nu_2) = J(\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{p_1})\cdot J(\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{p_2})\cdot|\,\mathbf{ev}_{p_1}|^{d_2-1}\cdot|\,\mathbf{ev}_{p_1}|^{d_1-1} \sim CF\nu_1^{\frac{(d_1^2-1)}{2}}\nu_2^{\frac{(d_2^2-1)}{2}}(\nu_1\nu_2)^{\frac{(d_1-1)(d_2-1)}{2}},$$

where F is a positive constant. Assume now that

$$\nu_1 \sim t^{2\kappa_1},\;\; \nu_2 \sim t^{2\kappa_2},\;\; t \to \infty,$$

i.e., ν_1, ν_2 go to infinity in such a fashion that

$$\frac{\nu_1}{\nu_2^r} \to 1,\;\; r := \frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2}.$$

The assumption $r \geq 1$ implies that

$$\kappa_1 \geq \kappa_2 > 0. \tag{4.18}$$

We have

$$\begin{gathered} \nu_\alpha^{(d_\alpha+3)/2} \sim t^{p_\alpha},\;\; p_\alpha := 3\kappa_\alpha d_\alpha + 3\kappa_\alpha,\;\; \alpha = 1, 2, \\ \nu_2^{\frac{d_2-1}{2}}\nu_1^{\frac{d_1+3}{2}} \sim Ct^{\omega_{11}},\;\; \omega_{11} = \kappa_1 d_1 + \kappa_2 d_2 + 3\kappa_1 - \kappa_2, \\ \nu_1^{\frac{d_2-1}{2}}\nu_2^{\frac{d_1+3}{2}} \sim Ct^{\omega_{22}},\;\; \omega_{22} = \kappa_1 d_1 + \kappa_2 d_2 + 3\kappa_2 - \kappa_1, \\ \nu_1^{\frac{d_1+1}{2}}\nu_2^{\frac{d_2+1}{2}} \sim Ct^{\omega_{12}},\;\; \omega_{12} = \kappa_1 d_1 + \kappa_2 d_2 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2, \\ \nu_1^{\frac{(d_1^2-1)}{2}}\nu_2^{\frac{(d_2^2-1)}{2}}(\nu_1\nu_2)^{\frac{(d_1-1)(d_2-1)}{2}} \sim Ct^q, \end{gathered}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} q &= \kappa_1(d_1^2-1) + \kappa_2(d_2^2-1) + (\kappa_1+\kappa_2)(d_1-1)(d_2-1) \\ &= \kappa_1 d_1^2 + \kappa_2 d_2^2 + (\kappa_1+\kappa_2)(d_1d_2 - d_1 - d_2). \end{aligned}$$

From (4.18) we deduce

$$\begin{gathered} \omega_{22} - \omega_{11} = -4(\kappa_1-\kappa_2) \leq 0,\;\; \omega_{12} - \omega_{11} = -2(\kappa_1-\kappa_2) \leq 0, \\ p_2 - \omega_{11} = -(d_1+3)\kappa_1 + 4\kappa_2 < -4(\kappa_1-\kappa_2), \\ p_1 - \omega_{11} = -\kappa_2(d_2-1) < 0. \end{gathered}$$

Using (4.10), (4.15), (4.16a), (4.16b) and (4.17) we deduce that

$$H(\boldsymbol{v}) \sim t^{\omega_{11}}\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \big(\boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{v}) + o(1)\big) & t^{\omega_{12}-\omega_{11}}E\Big(\sum_{r_1,r_2} v_{r_1,r_2}\Delta_{r_1,r_2} + o(1)\Big) \\ & \\ Et^{\omega_{12}-\omega_{11}}\Big(\sum_{r_1,r_2} v_{r_1,r_2}\Delta_{r_1,r_2} + o(1)\Big) & D_0 v_{0,0}t^{\omega_{22}-\omega_{11}}\big(\mathbb{1}_{d_2-1} + o(1)\big) \end{bmatrix}}_{=:A(t)},$$

where $o(1)$ denotes a quantity that converges to 0 as $t\to\infty$, *uniformly* with respect to $\boldsymbol{v}\in S(\boldsymbol{L})$, and

$$\boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{v})=\sum_{i_1}B_{i_1}v_{i_1,0}H^1_{i_1},$$

where B_{i_1} are defined as in (4.16a). We set

$$S(t):=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathbb{1}_{d_1-1} & 0\\ 0 & t^{-2(\kappa_1-\kappa_2)}\end{array}\right].$$

Observe that

$$A(t)=S(t)\cdot\left[\begin{array}{cc}\big(\boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{v})+o(1)\big) & E\Big(\sum_{r_1,r_2}v_{r_1,r_2}\Delta_{r_1,r_2}+o(1)\Big)\\ E\Big(\sum_{r_1,r_2}v_{r_1,r_2}\Delta_{r_1,r_2}+o(1)\Big) & D_0v_{0,0}\big(\mathbb{1}_{d_2-1}+o(1)\big)\end{array}\right]\cdot S(t).$$

We deduce that

$$\det H(\boldsymbol{v})\sim t^{(d_1+d_2-2)\omega_{11}}|\det S(t)|^2\cdot\det\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{cc}\boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{v}) & E\sum_{r_1,r_2}v_{r_1,r_2}\Delta_{r_1,r_2}\\ E\sum_{r_1,r_2}v_{r_1,r_2}\Delta_{r_1,r_2} & D_0v_{0,0}\mathbb{1}_{d_2-1}\end{array}\right]}_{=:H_\infty(\boldsymbol{v})}$$

$$=t^{(d_1+d_2-2)\omega_{11}-4d_2(k_1-k_2)}\det H_\infty(\boldsymbol{v}).$$

Let us point out that $\det H_\infty(\boldsymbol{v})$ is not identically zero. To see this, it suffices to choose $\boldsymbol{v}$ such that $v_{0,0}=1$ and all the other coordinates v_{i_1,i_2} are trivial. In this case (4.15) and (4.16a) imply that

$$H_\infty(\boldsymbol{v})=\left[\begin{array}{cc}B_0\mathbb{1}_{d_1-1} & 0\\ 0 & D_0\mathbb{1}_{d_2-1}\end{array}\right].$$

It follows that

$$\mu(S^{d_1-1}\times S^{d_2-1},\boldsymbol{V}_{t^{2\kappa_1}}\otimes\boldsymbol{V}_{t^{2\kappa_2}})\sim Ct^{(d_1+d_2)\omega_{11}-4d_2(k_1-k_2)-q}.$$

An elementary computation shows that

$$(d_1+d_2-2)\omega_{11}-4d_2(k_1-k_2)-q=2d_1\kappa_1+2d_2\kappa_2-6\kappa_1+2\kappa_2.$$

On the other hand,

$$\dim\boldsymbol{V}_{t^{2\kappa_1}}\otimes\boldsymbol{V}_{t^{2\kappa_2}}=\dim\boldsymbol{V}_{t^{2\kappa_1}}\times\dim\boldsymbol{V}_{t^{2\kappa_2}}\overset{(2.1)}{\sim}K_{d_1,d_2}t^{2\kappa_1d_1+2\kappa_2d_2-2\kappa_1-2\kappa_2}.$$

The desired conclusion follows by observing that

$$\frac{2d_1\kappa1+2d_2\kappa_2-6\kappa_1+2\kappa_2}{2\kappa_1d_1+2\kappa_2d_2-2\kappa_1-2\kappa_2}=\frac{2(d_1-3)r+2d_2+2}{2(d_1-1)r+2d_2-2}=\varpi(d_1,d_2,r),\quad r=\frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2}.$$

□

5. RANDOM POLYNOMIALS ON $S^1 \times S^{d-1}$, $d \geq 3$

For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ define $X_m : S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Phi_m(\theta) = \begin{cases} (2\pi)^{-1/2}, & m = 0 \\ \pi^{-1/2}\cos(m\theta), & m < 0 \\ \pi^{-1/2}\sin(m\theta), & m > 0. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

The collection $(\Phi_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal Hilbert basis of $L^2(S^1, d\theta)$. For any positive integer $\nu > 0$, we set

$$\boldsymbol{T}_\nu := \operatorname{span}\big\{\Phi_m;\ |m| \leq \nu\big\}.$$

In other words, $\boldsymbol{T}_\nu$ is the space of trigonometric polynomials of degree $\leq \nu$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let g_0 denote the natural metric on S^1 of length 2π. Then the triple $(S^1, g_0, \boldsymbol{T}_\nu)$ is special. Moreover*

$$|\,\mathbf{ev}_0\,|^2 = \frac{1}{\pi}\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right). \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. As base point we choose $p_0 = 0$ and the frame is $\underline{\boldsymbol{f}} = \{\partial_\theta\}$. We denote by $\boldsymbol{K}_0$ the space of trigonometric polynomials that have 0 as a critical point. Also, for any trigonometric polynomial $\boldsymbol{t} \in \boldsymbol{T}_\nu$ we denote by $\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{t})$ the Hessian of $\boldsymbol{t}$ at 0, i.e., the 1×1 matrix $\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{t}) := \partial_\theta^2\boldsymbol{t}(0)\mathbb{1}$. Note that

$$\mathcal{A}_0^\dagger\partial_\theta = -\pi^{-1/2}\sum_{m>0} m\Phi_m.$$

In particular,

$$J_\nu := |\mathcal{A}_0^\dagger\partial_\theta| = \pi^{-1/2}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\nu} m^2\right)^{1/2} \sim (3\pi)^{-1/2}\nu^{3/2} \ \text{ as } \ \nu \to \infty. \tag{5.3}$$

We set

$$\boldsymbol{p}_\nu := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}_0^\dagger\partial_\theta|}\mathcal{A}_0^\dagger\partial_\theta, \tag{5.4}$$

A simple computation shows that

$$\partial_\theta\boldsymbol{p}_\nu(0) = J_\nu. \tag{5.5}$$

Next observe that

$$\operatorname{Hess}(\Phi_m) = \begin{cases} 0, & m \geq 0 \\ -m^2\Phi_m(0)\mathbb{1}, & m < 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, if

$$\boldsymbol{t} = \sum_{|m|\leq\nu} t_m\Phi_m \in \boldsymbol{T}_\nu,$$

then

$$\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{t}) = -\pi^{-1/2}\Big(\sum_{m<0} m^2 t_m\Big)\mathbb{1}.$$

We now introduce

$$\boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{a}_\nu = -\pi^{-1/2}\sum_{m<0} m^2\Phi_m, \quad \boldsymbol{e} = \boldsymbol{e}_\nu = \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{a}_\nu|}\boldsymbol{a}_\nu,$$

so that

$$\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{t}) = (\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{a})\mathbb{1} = |\boldsymbol{a}_\nu|(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{e}_\nu)\mathbb{1}. \tag{5.6}$$

We set

$$r_\nu := |\boldsymbol{a}_\nu|^2 = \frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{m=1}^{\nu} m^4 = \frac{1}{5\pi}B_5(\nu+1) \sim \frac{1}{5\pi}\nu^5 \;\;\text{as}\;\; \nu\to\infty,$$

and we observe that

$$\det \operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{e}_\nu) = r_\nu^{1/2} = (5\pi)^{-1/2}B_5(\nu+1)^{1/2} \sim (5\pi)^{-1/2}\nu^{5/2} \;\;\text{as}\;\; \nu\to\infty. \tag{5.7}$$

We set $c(\nu) = \boldsymbol{e}_\nu(0)$, and we observe that

$$c(\nu) = -\frac{1}{(\pi r_\nu)^{1/2}}\sum_{m<0} m^2\Phi_m(0) \sim -\frac{5^{1/2}}{3\pi^{1/2}}\nu^{1/2} \;\;\text{as}\;\; \nu\to\infty. \tag{5.8}$$

We see that we can choose as core the quadruple $(p_0, \partial_\theta, \boldsymbol{L}_\nu, Y_0)$, where $\boldsymbol{L}_\nu$ denotes the 1-dimensional space spanned by $\boldsymbol{e}_\nu$. The equality (5.2) follows from the identity $\mathbf{ev}_0 = \sum_{m\leq 0}\Phi_m(0)\Phi_m$. □

Corollary 5.2. *There exists a universal positive constant K such that*

$$\mu(S^1, \boldsymbol{T}_\nu) \sim 2\nu\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \sim \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}\dim \boldsymbol{T}_\nu \;\;\textit{as}\;\; \nu\to\infty.$$

This agrees with our previous estimate (3.14).

Proof. We use Proposition 4.2(a), and we have

$$\mu(S^1, \boldsymbol{T}_\nu) = \frac{\operatorname{vol}(S^1)\Gamma(1)}{2\pi|\mathcal{A}^\dagger\partial_\theta|}\cdot 2|\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{e}(\nu))|$$

(use (5.3) and (5.7))

$$\sim 2\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}\nu \;\;\text{as}\;\; \nu\to\infty.$$

□

Remark 5.3. Let us mention that, according to J. Dunnage, [15], the expected number of real zeros of a random trigonometric polynomial in

$$\boldsymbol{T}^0_\nu = \operatorname{span}\bigl\{\Phi_m;\;\; 0<|m|\leq\nu\bigr\}.$$

equipped with the L^2-metric is $\sim \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\nu$ as $\nu\to\infty$. The number of zeros of such a polynomial is a random variable ζ_ν, and its asymptotic behavior as $\nu\to\infty$ has been recently investigated in great detail by A. Granville and I. Wigman, [19]. Let us observe the operator ∂_θ induces a linear isomorphism

$$\partial_\theta : \boldsymbol{T}^0_\nu \to \boldsymbol{T}^0_\nu.$$

From this point of view we see that the expected number of critical points of a random trig polynomial in $\boldsymbol{T}^0_\nu$ equipped with the L^2-metric is equal to the expected number of critical zeros of a random trig polynomial in $\boldsymbol{T}^0_\nu$, *equipped with the Sobolev norm* $\|u\|_{H^{-1}}$. In Section 6 we will describe the asymptotic behavior as $\nu\to\infty$ of the variance of the number of critical points of a random trigonometric polynomial in $\boldsymbol{T}^0_\nu$. □

Example 5.4 (Approximation regimes with large upper complexity)**.** Suppose

$$\varphi : \{0,1,2,\dots,\} \to \{0,1,2,\dots\}$$

is a bijection such that $\varphi(0)=0$. Define

$$\boldsymbol{T}^\varphi_\nu := \operatorname{span}\bigl\{\Phi_{\pm\varphi(m)};\;\; 0\leq m\leq\nu\bigr\}.$$

We denote by $\mu(\boldsymbol{T}_\nu^\varphi)$ the expected number of critical points of a random trigonometric polynomial in $\boldsymbol{T}_\nu^\varphi$. A simple modification of the arguments used in the proofs of Lemma 5.1 shows that

$$\mu(\boldsymbol{T}_\nu^\varphi) = 2\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^\nu \varphi(k)^4}{\sum_{k=1}^\nu \varphi(k)^2}\right)^{1/2}. \tag{5.9}$$

We want to construct[5] a permutation φ such that

$$\limsup_{\nu\to\infty}\frac{\log\mu(\boldsymbol{T}_\nu^\varphi)}{\log\dim\boldsymbol{T}_\nu^\varphi}=\infty.$$

To do this we fix a very fast increasing sequence of positive integers $(\ell_n)_{n\geq 0}$ such that

$$\ell_0=0,\;\;\frac{\ell_{n+1}}{\ell_n}=2^n,\;\;\forall n\geq 1.$$

For $n\geq 0$ we set

$$S_n:=\{\ell_n+1,\ell_n+2,\dots,\ell_{n+1}\}.$$

We consider the bijection $\phi:\{0,1,2,\dots,\}\to\{0,1,2,\dots\}$ uniquely determined by the following requirements.

- $\phi(0)=0$
- $\phi(S_n)=S_n$, $\forall n\geq 0$.
- The restriction of φ to S_n is strictly decreasing so that

$$\phi(\ell_n+1)=\ell_{n+1},\;\;\phi(\ell_n+2)=\ell_{n+1}-1\;\;\text{etc}.$$

We set

$$\nu_n:=\ell_n+1,\;\;\boldsymbol{W}_n:=\boldsymbol{T}_{\nu_n}^\phi.$$

Note that the collection $(\boldsymbol{W}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is an approximation regime in the sense defined in the introduction, and

$$\dim\boldsymbol{W}_n=2\ell_n+3.$$

We claim that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\log(\mu(\boldsymbol{W}_n)}{\log\dim\boldsymbol{W}_n)}=\infty. \tag{5.10}$$

Indeed, for any positive integer k, we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\nu_n}\phi(m)^k=\sum_{m=1}^{\ell_n}m^k+\ell_{n+1}^k=P_{k+1}(\ell_n)+\ell_n^{k\cdot 2^n},$$

where, according to (S),

$$P_k(x)=\frac{1}{k+1}\big(B_{k+1}(x)-B_{k+1}\big)$$

is a universal polynomial of degree $k+1$. Using (5.9) we deduce

$$\frac{1}{4}\mu(\boldsymbol{W}_n)^2=\frac{P_5(\ell_n)+\ell_n^{2^{n+2}}}{P_3(\ell_n)+\ell_n^{2^{n+1}}}\sim\ell_n^{2^{n+1}}\;\;\text{as}\;\;n\to\infty.$$

Hence,

$$\log\mu(\boldsymbol{W}_n)\sim 2^n\log\ell_n,$$

which proves the claim (5.10). □

---

[5] This construction was worked out during a lively conversation with my colleague Richard Hind.

Remark 5.5. Let us observe that for any positive ν, the space

$$\boldsymbol{T}_\nu^L := \underbrace{\boldsymbol{T}_\nu \otimes \cdots \boldsymbol{T}_\nu}_{L} \subset C^\infty(\underbrace{S^1 \times \cdots \times S^1})$$

contains the space $\boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M}_\nu^L)$ of Section 3 as a codimension one subspace. The orhogonal complement of $\boldsymbol{V}(\mathcal{M}_\nu^L)$ in $\boldsymbol{T}_\nu^L$ is the 1-dimensional space spanned by the constant functions. □

Theorem 5.6. *For any $d \geq 3$ there exists a universal positive constant $K = K_d$ such that*

$$\mu(S^1 \times S^{d-1}, \boldsymbol{T}_\rho \otimes \boldsymbol{V}_\nu(d)) \sim K_d(\dim \boldsymbol{T}_\rho \otimes \boldsymbol{V}_\nu(d)) \;\; \textit{as} \;\; \rho, \nu \to \infty.$$

Proof. We will again rely on Proposition 4.2. We consider the core $(0, \partial_\theta, \boldsymbol{L}_\rho, \boldsymbol{w}_\rho)$ of $(S_1, g_{S^1}, \boldsymbol{T}_\rho)$ described in Lemma 5.1 and the core $(p_0, \underline{\boldsymbol{f}}, \boldsymbol{L}_\nu, \boldsymbol{w}_\nu)$ of $(S^{d-1}, g_{S^{d-1}}, \boldsymbol{V}_\nu)$ described in Example 4.3. We form the core $(p, \underline{\boldsymbol{f}}', \boldsymbol{L}_{\rho,\nu}, \boldsymbol{w})$ of $(S^1 \times S^{d-1}, g_{S^1} + g_{S^{d-1}}, \boldsymbol{T}_\rho \otimes \boldsymbol{V}_\nu)$ following the prescriptions in the proof of Proposition 4.2. We have

$$\boldsymbol{L}_\rho = \operatorname{span}\{\boldsymbol{e}_\rho\}, \;\; \boldsymbol{e}_\rho = \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{a}_\rho|}, \;\; \boldsymbol{a}_\rho = -\pi^{-1/2} \sum_{m=-1}^{-\rho} m^2 \Phi_m(\theta), \;\; \boldsymbol{w}_\rho(\theta) = (2\pi)^{-1/2}.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 4.4, we choose a basis

$$(Y_j)_{j \in J}, \;\; J_\alpha = \{*\} \sqcup I \sqcup I^* \sqcup R_{d-1}, \;\; I = \{0\} \cup B_{2,d_\alpha},$$

adapted to the core $(p_0, \underline{\boldsymbol{f}}, \boldsymbol{L}_\nu, \boldsymbol{w}_\nu)$. We have

$$Y_{*_d} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-1}^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For $i \in I = \{0\} \cup B_{2,d_\alpha}$, we have

$$Y_i = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{e}_0(\nu), & i = 0 \\ \boldsymbol{e}_\beta(\nu), & i = \beta \in B_{2,d}, \end{cases}$$

where the functions $\{\boldsymbol{e}_0(\nu), \boldsymbol{e}_\beta(\nu)$ are defined by (2.14). For $r \in R_{d-1} = \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ we have

$$Y_r = U_r := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}_{p_0}^\dagger \partial_{x_r}|} \mathcal{A}_{p_0}^\dagger \partial_{x_r}, \;\; \mathcal{A}_{p_0}^\dagger \partial_{x_r} \overset{(4.5)}{=} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-3}^{-1/2} C_{1,0,d-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\nu} C_{n,1,d} P_{n,d}'(1) Z_{n,1,r}.$$

We can now write down an orthonormal basis of $\boldsymbol{L}_{\rho,\nu}$,

$$\bigl\{ A_{i,1} := \boldsymbol{w}_\rho Y_i; \;\; i \in I \bigr\} \cup \bigl\{ Z := \boldsymbol{e}_\rho Y_* \bigr\} \cup \bigl\{ A_{i,2} := \boldsymbol{e}_\rho Y_i; \;\; i \in I \bigr\} \cup \bigl\{ B_r := \boldsymbol{p}_\rho Y_r; \;\; r \in R_{d-1} \bigr\},$$

where $\boldsymbol{p}_\rho$ is given by (5.4). For any function $v \in \boldsymbol{V} := \mathbb{T}_\rho \otimes \boldsymbol{V}_\nu$ we denote by $\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v})$ its Hessian at $(0, p_0)$. We have

$$\operatorname{Hess}(A_{i,1}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ & \\ 0 & (2\pi)^{-1/2} \operatorname{Hess}(Y_i) \end{bmatrix}, \;\; \operatorname{Hess}(Z) = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-1}^{-1/2} \operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{e}_\rho) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\operatorname{Hess}(A_{i,2}) = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{e}_\rho) Y_i(p_0) & 0 \\ & \\ 0 & \boldsymbol{e}_\rho(0) \operatorname{Hess}(Y_i) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\operatorname{Hess}(p_\rho Y_r) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{p}_\rho'(0) \partial_{x_r} Y_r(p_0) \Delta_r \\ & \\ \boldsymbol{p}_\rho'(0) \partial_{x_r} Y_r(p_0) \Delta_r^\dagger & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where Δ_r denotes the $1\times(d-1)$ matrix with a single nonzero entry equal to 1 in the r-th position, and $\Delta_r^\dagger$ denotes its transpose. In the sequel, the symbols $C_0, C_1, \dots,$ will indicate positive constants that depend only on d.

Using(5.5), (5.7) and (5.8) we deduce that as $\rho\to\infty$, we have

$$\boldsymbol{p}_\rho'(0)\sim C_0\rho^{3/2},\quad \operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{e}_\rho)\sim C_1\rho^5,\quad \boldsymbol{e}_\rho(0)\sim -C_2\rho^{1/2}.$$

Using (2.22), (2.23) and (4.4) we deduce that as $\nu\to\infty$, we have

$$\operatorname{Hess}(Y_0)\sim C_3\nu^{\frac{d+3}{2}}\mathbb{1}_{d-1},\quad \operatorname{Hess}(Y_\beta)\sim C_4\nu^{\frac{d+3}{2}}H_\beta\ \ \beta\in B_{2,d}.$$

For $i\in I$ we set

$$H_i:=\begin{cases}\mathbb{1}_{d-1}, & i=0,\\ H_\beta, & i=\beta\in B_{2,d}.\end{cases}$$

We have

$$Y_\beta(p_0)=0,\ \ \forall\beta\in B_{2,d},$$

while (4.14) implies that as $\nu\to\infty$, we have

$$Y_0(p_0)\sim -C_5\nu^{\frac{d-1}{2}}.$$

Finally, using (2.18) and (4.9a), we deduce that as $\nu\to\infty$ we have

$$\partial_{x_r}Y_r(p_0)\sim C_6\nu^{\frac{d+1}{2}}.$$

Putting together all of the above, we deduce that if

$$\boldsymbol{v}=\sum_{i\in I}v_{i,1}A_{i,1}+\sum_{i\in I}v_{i,2}A_{i,1}+zZ+\sum_{r\in R}v_rB_r\in\boldsymbol{L}_{\rho,\nu},$$

then, as $\rho,\nu\to\infty$, we have

$$\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v})\sim\begin{bmatrix}-C_7v_{0,2}\rho^5\nu^{\frac{d-1}{2}}+C_8z\rho^5 & C_9\sum_{r\in R}\rho^{3/2}\nu^{\frac{d+1}{2}}v_r\Delta_r\\ & \\ C_9\sum_{r\in R}\rho^{3/2}\nu^{\frac{d+1}{2}}v_r\Delta_r^\dagger & \nu^{\frac{d+3}{2}}\big(\boldsymbol{B}_0(\boldsymbol{v})+\rho^{1/2}\boldsymbol{B}_1(\boldsymbol{v})\big)\end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{B}_0(\boldsymbol{v}):=C_{10}v_{0,1}\mathbb{1}_{d-1}+\sum_{i\in B_{2,d}}C_{11}v_{i,1}H_i,\ \ \boldsymbol{B}_1(\boldsymbol{v}):=C_{10}''v_{0,2}\mathbb{1}_{d-1}-\sum_{i\in B_{2,d}}C_{11}'v_{i,2}H_i.$$

Factoring out $\nu^{\frac{(d-1)}{2})}$ and then $\rho^{5/2}$ from the first row and the first column, we deduce that

$$\det\operatorname{Hess}(\boldsymbol{v})\sim\nu^{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}}\rho^5\det\begin{bmatrix}-C_7v_{0,2}+C_8z & C_9\sum_{r\in R}\rho^{-1}\nu v_r\Delta_r\\ & \\ C_9\sum_{r\in R}\rho^{-1}\nu v_r\Delta_r^\dagger & \nu^2\big(\boldsymbol{B}_0(\boldsymbol{v})+\rho^{1/2}\boldsymbol{B}_1(\boldsymbol{v})\big)\end{bmatrix}$$

(factor out ν from the last $(d-1)$ rows and the last $(d-1)$ columns)

$$=\nu^{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}+2(d-1)}\rho^5\det\begin{bmatrix}-C_7v_{0,2}+C_8z & C_9\sum_{r\in R}\rho^{-1}v_r\Delta_r\\ & \\ C_9\sum_{r\in R}\rho^{-1}v_r\Delta_r^\dagger & \boldsymbol{B}_0(\boldsymbol{v})+\rho^{1/2}\boldsymbol{B}_1(\boldsymbol{v})\end{bmatrix}$$

(factor out $\rho^{1/4}$ from the last $(d-1)$ rows and the last $(d-1)$ columns)

$$=\nu^{\frac{(d+4)(d-1)}{2}}\rho^{5+\frac{d-1}{2}}\det\begin{bmatrix}-C_7v_{0,2}+C_8z & C_9\sum_{r\in R}\rho^{-5/4}v_r\Delta_r\\ & \\ C_9\sum_{r\in R}\rho^{-5/4}v_r\Delta_r^\dagger & \boldsymbol{B}_1(\boldsymbol{v})+\rho^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{B}_0(\boldsymbol{v})\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sim \nu^{\frac{(d+4)(d-1)}{2}}\rho^{5+\frac{d-1}{2}}\det\left[\begin{array}{cc} -C_7v_{0,2}+C_8z & 0\\ & \\ 0 & \boldsymbol{B}_1(\boldsymbol{v})\end{array}\right].$$

To compute the Jacobian $J_{\rho,\nu}$ of the adjunction map $\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{0,p_0}:T_{(0,p_0)}(\,S^1\times S^{d-1}\,)\to\boldsymbol{T}_\rho\otimes\boldsymbol{V}_\nu$ we use Proposition 4.2(a). We will denote by $K_0,K_1,\dots$ positive constants that depend only on d.

According to (5.2) and (5.3), the Jacobian J_ρ of $\mathcal{A}^\dagger_0:T_0S^1\to\boldsymbol{T}_\rho$, and the evaluation functional $\mathbf{ev}^\rho_0:\boldsymbol{T}_\rho\to\mathbb{R}$ satisfy the $\rho\to\infty$ asymptotics

$$|\,\mathbf{ev}^\rho\,|\sim K_0\rho^{1/2},\;\;J_\rho\sim K_1\rho^{3/2}.$$

Using (4.6) and (4.7) we deduce that the Jacobian J_ν of $\mathcal{A}^\dagger_{p_0}:T_{p_0}S^{d-1}\to\boldsymbol{T}_\rho$ and the evaluation functional $\mathbf{ev}^\nu=\mathbf{ev}^\nu_{p_0}:\boldsymbol{V}_\nu\to\mathbb{R}$ satisfy the $\nu\to\infty$ asymptotics

$$J_\nu\sim K_2\nu^{\frac{(d-1)(d+1)}{2}},\;\;|\,\mathbf{ev}^\nu\,|\sim K_3\nu^{\frac{d-1}{2}}.$$

Using (4.3) ,we conclude that

$$J_{\rho,\boldsymbol{u}}=J_\rho\cdot J_\nu\cdot|\,\mathbf{ev}^\rho\,|^{d-1}\cdot|\,\mathbf{ev}^\nu\,|\sim K_4\rho^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{(d-1)}{2}}\nu^{\frac{(d-1)(d+2)}{2}}.$$

We conclude that as $\rho,\nu\to\infty$, we have

$$\mu(S^1\times S^{d-1},\boldsymbol{T}_\rho\otimes\boldsymbol{V}_\nu)\sim K_5\rho\nu^{d-1}\sim K_6\dim(\boldsymbol{T}_\rho\otimes\boldsymbol{V}_\nu).$$

□

6. THE VARIANCE OF THE NUMBER OF CRITICAL POINTS OF A RANDOM TRIGONOMETRIC POLYNOMIAL

The statistics of the zero set of a random trigonometric polynomial is equivalent with the statistics of the zero set of the gaussian field

$$\eta_\nu(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\nu}}\sum_{m=1}^\nu(a_m\cos mt+b_m\sin mt),$$

where a_m,b_m are independent normally distributed random variables with mean 0 and variance 1. This is a stationary gaussian process with covariance function

$$\sigma_\nu(t)=\frac{1}{\pi\nu}\sum_{m=1}^\nu\cos mt.$$

The statistics of the critical set of a random sample function of the above process is identical to the statistics of the zero set of a random sample function of the stationary gaussian process

$$\xi_\nu(t)=\frac{d\eta_\nu((t)}{dt}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\nu}}\sum_{m=1}^\nu(-ma_m\sin mt+mb_m\cos mt).$$

Equivalently, consider the gaussian process

$$\Phi_\nu(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\nu^3}}\sum_{m=1}^n\left(mc_m\cos\left(\frac{t}{\nu}\right)+md_m\sin\left(\frac{t}{\nu}\right)\right),$$

where c_m,d_m are independent random variables with identical standard normal distribution, and the random variable

$$Z_\nu:=\text{the number of zeros of }\Phi_\nu(t)\text{ in the interval }[-\pi\nu,\pi\nu].$$

Note that the expectation of Z_ν is precisely the expected number of critical points of a random trigonometric polynomial in the space

$$\operatorname{span}\Big\{\cos(m\theta),\ \sin(m\theta);\ \ m=1,\dots\nu\Big\},$$

equipped with the inner product

$$(u,v)=\int_0^{2\pi}u(\theta)v(\theta)d\theta.$$

The covariance function of Φ_ν is

$$R_\nu(t)=\frac{1}{\pi\nu^3}\sum_{m=1}^{\nu}m^2\cos\left(\frac{mt}{\nu}\right).$$

The Rice formula, [11, Eq. (10.3.1)], implies that the expectation of Z_ν is

$$\boldsymbol{E}(Z_\nu)=2\nu\left(\frac{\lambda_2(\nu)}{\lambda_0(\nu)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where

$$\lambda_0(\nu)=R_\nu(0)=\frac{1}{\pi\nu^3}\sum_{m=1}^{\nu}m^2\ \text{ and }\ \lambda_2(\nu)=-R_\nu''(0)=\frac{1}{\pi\nu^5}\sum_{m=1}^{\nu}m^4.$$

This is in perfect agreement with our earlier computations. We let $\boldsymbol{E}(\zeta)$, and respectively $\boldsymbol{var}(\zeta)$, denote the expectation, and respectively the variance, of a random variable ζ. The following is the main result of this section.

Theorem 6.1. *Set*

$$\bar{\lambda}_0:=\lim_{\nu\to\infty}\lambda_0(\nu)=\frac{1}{3},\ \ \bar{\lambda}_0:=\lim_{\nu\to\infty}\lambda_2(\nu):=\frac{1}{5},$$

Then for any $t\in\mathbb{R}$ *the limit* $\lim_{\nu\to\infty}R_\nu(t)$ *exists, it is equal to*

$$R_\infty(t):=\frac{1}{t^3}\int_0^t\tau^2\cos\tau d\tau=\int_0^1\lambda^2\cos(\lambda t)d\lambda,\ \ \forall t\in\mathbb{R},$$

and

$$\lim_{\nu\to\infty}\frac{1}{\nu}\boldsymbol{var}(Z_\nu)=\delta_\infty:=\frac{2}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(f_\infty(t)-\frac{\bar{\lambda}_2}{\bar{\lambda}_0}\right)dt+2\sqrt{\frac{\bar{\lambda}_2}{\bar{\lambda}_0}},\tag{6.1}$$

where

$$f_\infty(t)=\frac{(\bar{\lambda}_0^2-R_\infty^2)\bar{\lambda}_2-\bar{\lambda}_0(R_\infty')^2}{(\lambda_0^2-R_\infty^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(\sqrt{1-\rho_\infty^2}+\rho_\infty\arcsin\rho_\infty\right),$$

and

$$\rho_\infty=\frac{R_\infty''(\bar{\lambda}_0^2-R_\infty^2)+(R_\infty')^2R_\infty}{(\bar{\lambda}_0^2-R_\infty^2)\bar{\lambda}_2-\bar{\lambda}_0(R_\infty')^2}.$$

Moreover, the constant δ_∞ *is positive.*[6]

Proof. We follow a strategy inspired from [19]. The variance of Z_ν can be computed using the results in [11, §10.6]. We introduce the gaussian field

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi}(t_1,t_2):=\left[\begin{array}{c}\Phi_\nu(t_1)\\ \Phi_\nu(t_2)\\ \Phi_\nu'(t_1)\\ \Phi_\nu'(t_2)\end{array}\right].$$

---

[6] Numerical experiments indicate that $\delta_\infty\approx 0.35$.

Its covariance matrix depends only on $t = t_2 - t_1$. We have (compare with [19, Eq. (17)])

$$\Xi(t) = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} \lambda_0 & R_\nu(t) & 0 & R'_\nu(t) \\ R_\nu(t) & \lambda_0 & -R'_\nu(t) & 0 \\ 0 & -R'_\nu(t) & \lambda_2 & -r''_\nu(t) \\ R'_\nu(t) & 0 & -R''_\nu(t) & \lambda_2 \end{array}\right] =: \left[\begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ B^\dagger & C \end{array}\right].$$

As explained in [30], to apply [11, §10.6] we only need that $\Xi(t)$ is nondegerate. This is established in the next result whose proof can be found in Appendix D.

Lemma 6.2. *The matrix $\Xi(t)$ is nonsingular if and only if $t \notin 2\pi\nu\mathbb{Z}$.* □

For any vector

$$\boldsymbol{x} := \left[\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^4$$

we set

$$p_{t_1,t_2}(\boldsymbol{x}) := \frac{1}{4\pi^2(\det \Xi)^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\Xi^{-1}\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x})}.$$

Then, the results in [9, §10.6] show that

$$\boldsymbol{E}(Z_\nu^2) - \boldsymbol{E}(\mathcal{Z}_\nu) = \int_{\boldsymbol{I}_\nu \times \boldsymbol{I}_\nu} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |y_1 y_2| \cdot p_{t_1,t_2}(0,0,y_1,y_2) |dy_1 dy_2| \right) |dt_1 dt_2|. \tag{6.2}$$

As in [19] we have

$$\Xi(t)^{-1} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} * & * \\ * & \Omega^{-1} \end{array}\right], \Omega = C - B^\dagger A^{-1} B.$$

More explicitly,

$$\Omega = C - B^\dagger A^{-1} B$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{cc} \lambda_2 & -R''_\nu(t) \\ -R''_\nu(t) & \lambda_2 \end{array}\right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{\lambda_0^2 - R_\nu(t)^2} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -R'_\nu(t) \\ R'_\nu(t) & 0 \end{array}\right] \cdot \left[\begin{array}{cc} \lambda_0 & -R_\nu(t) \\ -R_\nu(t) & \lambda_0 \end{array}\right] \cdot \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & R'_\nu(t) \\ -R'_\nu(t) & 0 \end{array}\right]$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{cc} \lambda_2 & -R''_\nu \\ -R''_\nu & \lambda_2 \end{array}\right] - \frac{(R'_\nu)^2}{\lambda_0^2 - R_\nu^2} \left[\begin{array}{cc} \lambda_0 & R_\nu \\ R_\nu & \lambda_0 \end{array}\right] = \mu \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & -\rho \\ -\rho & 1 \end{array}\right],$$

where

$$\mu = \mu_\nu = \frac{(\lambda_0^2 - R_\nu^2)\lambda_2 - \lambda_0 (R'_\nu)^2}{\lambda_0^2 - R_\nu^2}, \quad \rho = \rho_\nu = \frac{R''_\nu(\lambda_0^2 - R_\nu^2) + (R'_\nu)^2 R_\nu}{(\lambda_0^2 - R_\nu^2)\lambda_2 - \lambda_0 (R'_\nu)^2}.$$

We want to emphasize, that in the above equalities the constants λ_0 and λ_2 do depend on ν, although we have not indicated this in our notation.

Remark 6.3. The nondegeneracy of Ξ implies that $\mu_\nu(t) \neq 0$ and $|\rho_\nu(t)| < 1$, for all $t \notin 2\pi\nu\mathbb{Z}$. □

We obtain as in [19, Eq. (24)]

$$\det \Xi = \det A \cdot \det \Omega = \mu^2(\lambda_0^2 - R_\nu^2)(1 - \rho^2), \quad \Omega^{-1} = \frac{1}{\mu(1 - \rho^2)} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{array}\right].$$

We can now rewrite the equality (6.2) as ($t = t_2 - t_1$)

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{E}(Z_\nu^2) - \boldsymbol{E}(Z_\nu) &= \int_{\boldsymbol{I}_\nu\times\boldsymbol{I}_\nu}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|y_1y_2|e^{-\frac{y_1^2+2\rho y_1y_2+y_2^2}{2\mu(1-\rho^2)}}\frac{|dy_1dy_2|}{4\pi^2}\right)\frac{|dt_1dt_2|}{\mu\sqrt{(\lambda_0^2-R_\nu^2)(1-\rho^2)}}\\ &= \int_{\boldsymbol{I}_\nu\times\boldsymbol{I}_\nu}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|y_1y_2|e^{-\frac{x_1^2+2\rho x_1x_2+x_2^2}{2(1-\rho^2)}}\frac{|dy_1dy_2|}{4\pi^2}\right)\frac{\mu|dt_1dt_2|}{\sqrt{(\lambda_0^2-R_\nu^2)(1-\rho^2)}}.\end{aligned}$$

From [7, Eq. (A.1)] we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|y_1y_2|e^{-\frac{x_1^2+2\rho x_1x_2+x_2^2}{2(1-\rho^2)}}\frac{|dy_1dy_2|}{4\pi^2} = \frac{1-\rho^2}{\pi^2}\left(1+\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}\arcsin\rho\right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{E}(Z_\nu^2) - \boldsymbol{E}(Z_\nu) &= \frac{1}{\pi^2}\int_{\boldsymbol{I}_\nu\times\boldsymbol{I}_\nu}\frac{\mu}{(\lambda_0^2-R_\nu^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(\sqrt{1-\rho^2}+\rho\arcsin\rho\right)|dt_1dt_2|\\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^2}\int_{\boldsymbol{I}_\nu\times\boldsymbol{I}_\nu}\underbrace{\frac{(\lambda_0^2-R_\nu^2)\lambda_2-\lambda_0(R_\nu')^2}{(\lambda_0^2-R_\nu^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(\sqrt{1-\rho^2}+\rho\arcsin\rho\right)}_{=:f_\nu(t)}|dt_1dt_2|. \qquad (6.3)\end{aligned}$$

The function $f_\nu(t) = f_\nu(t_2-t_1)$ is doubly periodic with periods $2\pi\nu$, $2\pi\nu$ and we conclude that

$$\boldsymbol{E}([Z_\nu]_2) := \boldsymbol{E}(Z_\nu^2) - \boldsymbol{E}(Z_\nu) = \frac{2\nu}{\pi}\int_{-\pi\nu}^{\pi\nu}f_\nu(t)dt. \qquad (6.4)$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{var}(Z_\nu) &= \boldsymbol{E}([Z_\nu]_2) + \boldsymbol{E}(Z_\nu) - \big(\boldsymbol{E}(Z_\nu)\big)^2 = \boldsymbol{E}([Z_\nu]_2) - \big[\boldsymbol{E}(Z_\nu)\big]_2\\ &= \frac{2\nu}{\pi}\int_{-\pi\nu}^{\pi\nu}\left(f_\nu(t)-\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_0}\right)dt + 2\nu\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_0}}\end{aligned} \qquad (6.5)$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 6.1 we need to investigate the integrand in (6.4). This requires a detailed understanding of the behavior of R_ν as $\nu\to\infty$. It is useful to consider more general sums of the form

$$A_{\nu,r}(t) = \frac{1}{\nu^{r+1}}\sum_{m=1}^{\nu}m^r\cos\frac{mt}{\nu},\ \ B_{\nu,r}(t) = \frac{1}{\nu^{r+1}}\sum_{m=1}^{\nu}m^r\sin\frac{mt}{\nu}, r\geq 1.$$

Note that if we set $z := \cos\frac{mt}{\nu} + \boldsymbol{i}\sin\frac{mt}{\nu}$. We have

$$A_{\nu,r}(t) + \boldsymbol{i}B_{\nu,r}(t) = \frac{1}{\nu^{r+1}}\underbrace{\sum_{m=1}^{\nu}m^rz^m}_{=:C_{\nu,r}(t)}.$$

Observe that

$$R_\nu^{(k)}(t) = -\frac{1}{\pi\nu^{k+3}}\mathbf{Re}\left(\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{i}^{k+2}}C_{\nu,k+2}(t)\right). \qquad (6.6)$$

We set

$$A_{\infty,r}(t) = \frac{1}{t^{r+1}}\int_0^t\tau^r\cos\tau d\tau,\ \ B_{\infty,r}(t) := \frac{1}{t^{r+1}}\int_0^t\tau^r\sin\tau d\tau.$$

Observe that $R_\nu = A_{\nu,2}$ and $R_\infty = A_{\infty,2}$. We have the following result.

Lemma 6.4.

$$|A_{\nu,r}(t)-A_{\infty,r}(t)|+|B_{\nu,r}(t)-B_{\infty,r}(t)|=O\left(\frac{\max(1,t)}{\nu}\right),\;\;\forall t\geq 0, \tag{6.7}$$

where, above and in the sequel, the constant implied by the O-symbol is independent of t and ν. In particular

$$\lim_{\nu\to\infty}\frac{1}{\nu^{r+1}}C_{\nu,r}(t)=C_r(t):=\frac{1}{t^{r+1}}\int_0^t\tau^r e^{\boldsymbol{i}\tau}d\tau,\;\;\forall t\geq 0. \tag{6.8}$$

Proof. We have

$$A_\nu(t)=\frac{1}{\nu^{r+1}}\sum_{m=1}^{\nu}m^r\cos\left(\frac{mt}{\nu}\right)=\frac{1}{t^{r+1}}\underbrace{\sum_{m=1}^{\nu}\left\{\left(\frac{mt}{\nu}\right)^r\cos\left(\frac{mt}{\nu}\right)\right\}\cdot\left(\frac{t}{\nu}\right)}_{=:S_\nu(t)}.$$

The term $S_\nu(t)$ is a Riemann sum corresponding to the integral

$$\int_0^t f(\tau)d\tau,\;\;f(\tau):=\tau^r\cos\tau,$$

and the subdivision

$$0<\frac{t}{\nu}<\cdots<\frac{(\nu-1)t}{\nu}<t.$$

of the interval $[0,t]$. A simple application of the mean value theorem implies that there exist points

$$\theta_m\in\left[\frac{(m-1)t}{\nu},\frac{mt}{\nu}\right]$$

such that

$$\int_0^t f(\tau)d\tau=\sum_{m=1}^{\nu}f(\theta_m)\frac{t}{m}.$$

We deduce that

$$\int_0^t f(\tau)d\tau-S_\nu(t)=\frac{t}{\nu}\sum_{m=1}^{\nu}\left(f(\theta_m)-f\left(\frac{mt}{\nu}\right)\right)$$

Now set

$$M(t):=\sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t}|f'(\tau)|.$$

Observe that

$$M(t)=\begin{cases}O(t^{r-1}), & 0\leq t\leq 1\\ O(t^r), & r>1.\end{cases}$$

We deduce

$$\left|S_\nu(t)-\int_0^t f(\tau)d\tau\right|\leq M(t)\cdot\frac{t^2}{\nu}.$$

This, proves the A-part of (6.7). The B-part is completely similar. □

We need to refine the estimates (6.7). Recall that $[m]_r:=m(m-1)\cdots(m-r+1)$, $r\geq 1$. We will express $C_{\nu,r}(t)$ in terms of the sums

$$D_{\nu,r}(t):=\sum_{m=1}^{\nu}[m]_r z^m=z^r\frac{d^r}{dz^r}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\nu}z^k\right)=z^r\frac{d^r}{dz^r}\left(\frac{z-z^{\nu+1}}{1-z}\right)=z^r\frac{d^r}{dz^r}\left(\frac{1-z^{\nu+1}}{1-z}\right).$$

Using the classical formula

$$m^r = \sum_{k=1}^{r} S(r,k)[m]_k,$$

where $S(r,k)$ are the Stirling numbers of the second kind, we deduce,

$$C_{\nu,r}(\zeta) = \sum_{k=1}^{r} S(r,k) D_{\nu,k}(\zeta) = \sum_{k=1}^{r} S(r,k) z^r \frac{d^k}{dz^k}\left(\frac{1-z^{\nu+1}}{1-z}\right). \tag{6.9}$$

Lemma 6.5. *Set* $\theta := \frac{t}{2\nu}$*, and* $f(\theta) = \frac{\sin\theta}{\theta}$*. Then*

$$\frac{t^{r+1}}{\nu^{r+1}} D_{\nu,r}(t) = \boldsymbol{i}^r r! \left(\frac{2\sin\left(\frac{(\nu+1)t}{2\nu}\right)}{f(\theta)^{r+1}} \cdot e^{\frac{\boldsymbol{i}(\nu+r)t}{2\nu}} - e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \boldsymbol{i}^{1-j} \binom{\nu+1}{j} t^j \cdot \left(\frac{e^{\boldsymbol{i}\theta}}{f(\theta)}\right)^{r+1-j} \right) \tag{6.10}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\nu,r}(t) &= z^r \sum_{j=0}^{r} \binom{r}{j} \frac{d^j}{dz^j}(1-z^{\nu+1}) \frac{d^{r-j}}{dz^{r-j}}(1-z)^{-1} \\ &= r! \frac{z^r(1-z^{\nu+1})}{(1-z)^{r+1}} - \sum_{j=1}^{r} \binom{r}{j} [\nu+1]_j (r-j)! \frac{z^{\nu+1+r-j}}{(1-z)^{1+r-j}} \\ &= r! \frac{z^r(1-z^{\nu+1})}{(1-z)^{r+1}} - z^\nu r! \sum_{j=1}^{r} \binom{\nu+1}{j} \left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)^{r+1-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the identity

$$1 - e^{\boldsymbol{i}\alpha} = \frac{2}{\boldsymbol{i}} \sin\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) e^{\frac{\boldsymbol{i}\alpha}{2}}$$

we deduce

$$\frac{z}{1-z} = \frac{\boldsymbol{i} e^{\frac{\boldsymbol{i}t}{2\nu}}}{2\sin\left(\frac{t}{2\nu}\right)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\nu,r}(t) &= \boldsymbol{i}^r \frac{r!}{2^r} \frac{\sin(\frac{(\nu+1)t}{2\nu}) e^{\frac{\boldsymbol{i}(\nu+1+2r)t}{2\nu}}}{\sin^{r+1}(\frac{t}{2\nu}) e^{\frac{(r+1)\boldsymbol{i}t}{2\nu}}} - e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} r! \sum_{j=1}^{r} \boldsymbol{i}^{r+1-j} \binom{\nu+1}{j} \frac{e^{\boldsymbol{i}(r+1-j)\theta}}{(2\sin\theta)^{r+1-j}} \\ &= \boldsymbol{i}^r r! \left(\frac{2\sin\left(\frac{(\nu+1)t}{2\nu}\right)}{(2\sin\theta)^{r+1}} \cdot e^{\frac{\boldsymbol{i}(\nu+r)t}{2\nu}} - e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \boldsymbol{i}^{1-j} \binom{\nu+1}{j} \left(\frac{e^{\boldsymbol{i}\theta}}{2\sin\theta}\right)^{1+r-j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of the above equality by $\left(\frac{t}{\nu}\right)^{r+1}$ we get (6.10). □

Lemma 6.5 coupled with the fact that the function $f(\theta)$ is bounded on $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ yield the following estimate.

$$\frac{t^{r+1}}{\nu^{r+1}} D_{\nu,r}(t) = O(1), \quad \forall \nu, \quad 0 \le t \le \pi\nu. \tag{6.11}$$

Using (6.11)and the identity $S(r,1)=1$ in (6.9) we deduce that there exists $K=K_r>0$ such that for any $\nu>0$ and any $t\in[0,\pi\nu]$ we have

$$\left|\frac{t^{r+1}}{\nu^{r+1}}\Big(C_{\nu,r}(t)-D_{\nu,r}(t)\Big)\right|\leq K_r\sum_{j=0}^{r-1}\left|\frac{t^{r+1}}{\nu^{r+1}}D_{\nu,j}(t)\right|\leq K_r\sum_{j=0}^{r-1}\left(\frac{t}{\nu}\right)^{r-j}\leq K_r\frac{t}{\nu},$$

so that

$$\left|\frac{1}{\nu^{r+1}}\Big(C_{\nu,r}(t)-D_{\nu,r}(t)\Big)\right|\leq K_r\frac{1}{\nu t^r} \tag{6.12}$$

Using Lemma 6.5 we deduce

$$\lim_{\nu\to\infty}\frac{t^{r+1}}{\nu^{r+1}}\,\mathbf{Re}\,D_{\nu,r}(t)=I_r(t):=\boldsymbol{i}^r r!\left(2\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\cdot e^{\frac{\boldsymbol{i}t}{2}}-e^{\boldsymbol{i}t}\sum_{j=1}^{r}\boldsymbol{i}^{1-j}\frac{t^j}{j!}\right). \tag{6.13}$$

uniformly for t on compacts. The estimate (6.12) implies that

$$\lim_{\nu\to\infty}\frac{t^{r+1}}{\nu^{r+1}}\,\mathbf{Re}\,D_{\nu,r}(t)=I_r(t).$$

We have the following crucial estimate whose proof can be found in Appendix D.

Lemma 6.6. *For every $r\geq 0$ there exists $C_r>0$ such that for any $\nu>0$ we have*

$$\left|\frac{1}{\nu^{r+1}}D_{\nu,r}(t)-\frac{1}{t^{r+1}}I_r(t)\right|\leq\frac{C_r}{\nu}\frac{t^{r+1}-1}{t^r(t-1)},\quad\forall 0<t\leq\pi\nu.$$

□

Using Lemma 6.6 in (6.12) we deduce

$$\left|\frac{1}{\nu^{r+1}}C_{\nu,r}(t)-\frac{1}{t^{r+1}}I_r(t)\right|\leq\frac{C_r}{\nu}\frac{t^{r+1}-1}{t^r(t-1)},\quad\forall 0<t\leq\pi\nu. \tag{6.14a}$$

$$I_r(t)=t^{r+1}C_r(t)=\int_0^t\tau^r e^{\boldsymbol{i}\tau}d\tau. \tag{6.14b}$$

Using (6.7) and (6.14a) we deduce that for any nonnegative integer r there exists a positive constant $K=K_r>0$ such that

$$|C_{\nu,r}(t)-C_r(t)|\leq\frac{K_r}{\nu}\frac{t^{r+1}-1}{t^r(t-1)}. \tag{6.15}$$

Coupling the above estimates with (6.7) we deduce

$$C_{\nu,r}(t)=C_r(t)+O\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right),\quad\forall 0\leq t\leq\nu, \tag{6.16}$$

where the constant implied by the symbol O depends on k, but it is independent of ν. The last equality coupled with (6.6) implies that

$$R_\nu^{(k)}(t)=R_\infty^{(k)}(t)+O\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right),\quad\forall 0\leq t\leq\nu. \tag{6.17}$$

We deduce that, for any $t>0$ we have

$$\lim_{\nu\to\infty}f_\nu(t)=f_\infty(t)$$

where f_ν is the function defined in (6.3), while

$$f_\infty(t)=\frac{(\bar\lambda_0^2-R_\infty^2)\bar\lambda_2-\bar\lambda_0(R_\infty')^2}{(\bar\lambda_0^2-R_\infty^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(\sqrt{1-\rho_\infty^2}+\rho_\infty\arcsin\rho_\infty\right), \tag{6.18}$$

where

$$\bar{\lambda}_0 = \lambda_0(\infty) = \lim_{\nu\to\infty} \lambda_0(\nu) = \frac{1}{3}, \;\; \bar{\lambda}_2 = \lim_{\nu\to\infty} \lambda_2(\nu) = \frac{1}{5},$$

$$\rho_\infty(t) = \lim_{\nu\to\infty} \rho_\nu(t) = \frac{R''_\infty(\bar{\lambda}_0^2 - R_\infty^2) + (R'_\infty)^2 R_\infty}{(\bar{\lambda}_0^2 - R_\infty^2)\bar{\lambda}_2 - \bar{\lambda}_0 (R'_\infty)^2}.$$

We have the following result whose proof can be found in Appendix D.

Lemma 6.7.

$$|R_\infty(t)| < R_\infty(0), |R''_\infty(t)| < |R''_\infty(0)|, \;\; \forall t > 0, \tag{6.19a}$$

$$R_\infty(t), \;\; R'_\infty(t), \;\; R''_\infty(t) = O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \;\; \textit{as} \;\; t\to\infty. \tag{6.19b}$$

$$(\bar{\lambda}_0^2 - R_\infty^2)\bar{\lambda}_2 - \bar{\lambda}_0(R'_\infty)^2 > 0, \;\; \forall t > 0. \tag{6.19c}$$

$$R_\infty(t) = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{10}t^2 + \frac{1}{168}t^4 + O(t^6), \;\; R'_\infty(t) = -\frac{1}{5}t + \frac{1}{42}t^3 + O(t^5), \;\; \textit{as} \;\; t\to 0. \tag{6.19d}$$

□

We set

$$\delta(t) := \max(t,1), \;\; t \geq 0.$$

We find it convenient to introduce new functions

$$G_\nu(t) := \frac{1}{R_\nu(0)} R_\nu(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda_0(\nu)} R_\nu(t), \;\; H_\nu(t) = \frac{1}{R''_\nu(0)} R''_\nu(t) = -\frac{1}{\lambda_2(\nu)} R''_\nu(t).$$

Using these notations we can rewrite (6.19c) as

$$\underbrace{(1 - G_\infty^2) - \frac{\bar{\lambda}_0}{\bar{\lambda}_2}(G'_\infty)^2}_{=:\eta(t)} > 0, \;\; \forall t > 0. \tag{6.20}$$

The equalities (6.19d) imply that

$$\eta(t) = \frac{3}{4375}t^4 + O(t^6), \;\; \forall |t| \ll 1. \tag{6.21}$$

Then

$$f_\nu(t) = \frac{\lambda_2(\nu)}{\lambda_0(\nu)} \times \mathcal{C}_\nu(t) \times \left(\sqrt{1-\rho_\nu^2} + \rho_\nu \arcsin \rho_\nu\right),$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_\nu(t) := \frac{(1 - G_\nu(t)^2) - \frac{\lambda_0(\nu)}{\lambda_2(\nu))}(G'_\nu(t))^2}{(1 - G_\nu(t)^2)^{3/2}},$$

and

$$\rho_\nu = \frac{R''_\nu(\lambda_0(\nu)^2 - R_\nu^2) + (R'_\nu)^2 R_\nu}{(\lambda_0^2(\nu) - R_\nu^2)\lambda_2(\nu) - \lambda_0(R'_\nu)^2} = \frac{-H_\nu(1 - G_\nu^2) + \frac{\lambda_0(\nu)}{\lambda_2(\nu)}(G'_\nu)^2 G_\nu}{(1 - G_\nu^2) - \frac{\lambda_0(\nu)}{\lambda_2(\nu)}(G'_\nu)^2}.$$

Lemma 6.8. *Let* $\kappa \in (0,1)$. *Then*

$$\mathcal{C}_\nu(t) = O(t), \;\; \forall 0 \leq t \leq \nu^{-\kappa},$$

where the constant implied by O*-symbol is independent of* ν *and* $t \in [0, \nu^{-\kappa})$*, but it could depend on* κ.

Proof. Observe that for $t \in [0, \nu^{-\kappa}]$ we have

$$G_\nu(t) = 1 - \frac{\lambda_2(\nu)}{2\lambda_0(\nu)} t^2 + O(t^4), \;\; G'_\nu(t) = -\frac{\lambda_2(\nu)}{\lambda_0(\nu)} t + O(t^3)$$

so that

$$\bigl(\, 1 - G_\nu(t)^2 \,\bigr)^{-3/2} = \left(\frac{\lambda_2(\nu)}{\lambda_0(\nu)} t \right)^{-3} \times (1 + O(t)\,),$$

and

$$(1 - G_\nu(t)^2) - \frac{\lambda_0(\nu}{\lambda_2(\nu)} (G'_\nu) = O(t^4)$$

so that $\mathcal{C}_\nu(t) = O(t)$. □

Lemma 6.9. *Let* $\kappa \in (0,1)$. *Then*

$$\mathcal{C}_\nu = \mathcal{C}_\infty \times \left(1 + O\left(\frac{(G'_\infty)^2}{\nu\eta(t)} + \frac{1}{\nu\gamma(t)\delta(t)} + \frac{1}{\nu\delta(t)\eta(t)} + \frac{1}{\nu^2\eta(t)} \right) \right), \tag{6.22}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_\nu(t) = \mathcal{C}_\infty(t) + O\left(\frac{(G'_\infty)^2}{\nu\gamma(t)^{3/2}} + \frac{\eta(t)}{\nu\delta(t)\gamma(t)^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{\nu\delta(t)\gamma(t)^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{\nu^2\gamma(t)^{3/2}} \right), \tag{6.23}$$

where

$$\eta(t) := (1 - G_\nu^2) - \frac{\bar{\lambda}_0}{\bar{\lambda}_2} (G'_\nu)^2 \;\; \textit{and} \;\; \gamma(t) = 1 - G_\infty(t)^2.$$

Proof. Observe that

$$G_\nu^2 = \left(G_\infty + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right) \right)^2 \overset{(6.19b)}{=} G_\infty^2 + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu\delta(t)} \right), \tag{6.24}$$

so that

$$1 - G_\infty(t)^2(t) = \bigl(1 - G_\infty(t)^2\,\bigr) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu\delta(t)\gamma(t)} \right) \right).$$

For $t > \nu^{-\kappa}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{\nu\delta(t)\gamma(t)} = O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{1-\kappa}} \right) = o(1) \;\; \text{uniformly in } t > \nu^{-\kappa} \text{ as } \nu \to \infty.$$

Hence

$$\bigl(1 - G_\nu^2\bigr)^{-3/2} = \bigl(1 - G_\infty^2\bigr)^{-3/2} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu\delta(t)\gamma(t)} \right) \right), \;\; \gamma(t) := 1 - G_\infty^2(t). \tag{6.25}$$

Next observe that

$$\lambda_0(\nu) = \frac{B_3(\nu+1)}{3\nu^3} = \frac{1}{3} + \nu^{-1} + O(\nu^{-2}), \;\; \lambda_2(\nu) = \frac{B_5(\nu+1)}{5\nu^5} = \frac{1}{5} + \nu^{-1} + O(\nu^{-2}) \tag{6.26}$$

and

$$\frac{\lambda_0(\nu)}{\lambda_2(\nu)} = \frac{\frac{1}{3} + \nu^{-1} + O(\nu^{-2})}{\frac{1}{5} + \nu^{-1} + O(\nu^{-2})} = \frac{5}{3} - \frac{10}{3}\nu^{-1} + O(\nu^{-2}).$$

Using (6.24) and the above estimate we deduce

$$(1-G_\nu(t)^2)-\frac{\lambda_0(\nu)}{\lambda_2(\nu))}(G_\nu')^2=\underbrace{(1-G_\infty^2)-\frac{\bar\lambda_0}{\bar\lambda_2}(G_\infty')^2}_{\eta(t)}+\frac{10}{3\nu}(G_\infty')^2+O\left(\frac{1}{\nu\delta(t)}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^2}\right)$$
$$=\left((1-G_\infty^2)-\frac{\bar\lambda_0}{\bar\lambda_2}(G_\infty')^2\right)\cdot\left(1+O\left(\frac{(G_\infty')^2}{\nu\eta(t)}+\frac{1}{\nu\delta(t)\eta(t)}+\frac{1}{\nu^2\eta(t)}\right)\right). \tag{6.27}$$

Using (6.25) we deduce (6.22). The estimate (6.23) follows (6.22) by invoking the definitions of $\gamma(t)$ and $\eta(t)$. □

Lemma 6.10. *Let* $\kappa\in(0,\frac{1}{4})$. *Then*

$$\rho_\nu=\rho_\infty+O\left(\frac{1}{\nu\eta(t)}+\frac{(G_\infty')^2}{\nu\eta(t)\delta(t)}+\frac{1}{\nu\delta(t)^2}+\frac{1}{\nu\delta(t)^2\eta(t)}+\frac{1}{\nu^2\eta(t)\delta(t)}\right),\quad\forall t>\nu^{-\kappa},\tag{6.28}$$

where the constant implied by O-symbol is independent of ν *and* $t>\nu^{-\kappa}$, *but it could depend on* κ.

Proof. The estimates (6.19b), (6.19d) and (6.21) imply that for $t>\nu^{-\kappa}$ we have

$$\frac{(G_\infty')^2}{\nu\eta(t)}+\frac{1}{\nu\delta(t)\eta(t)}+\frac{1}{\nu^2\eta(t)}=O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{1-4\kappa}}\right)=o(1),$$

uniformly in $t>\nu^{-\kappa}$. We conclude from (6.27) that

$$\left((1-G_\nu(t)^2)-\frac{\lambda_0(\nu)}{\lambda_2(\nu))}(G_\nu')^2\right)^{-1}=\left((1-G_\infty^2)-\frac{\bar\lambda_0}{\bar\lambda_2}(G_\infty')^2\right)^{-1}$$
$$\times\left(1+O\left(\frac{(G_\infty')^2}{\nu\eta(t)}+\frac{1}{\nu\delta(t)\eta(t)}+\frac{1}{\nu^2\eta(t)}\right)\right).\tag{6.29}$$

Since $H_\nu=H_\infty+O(\nu^{-1})$ we deduce

$$-H_\nu(1-G_\nu)^2+\frac{\lambda_0(\nu)}{\lambda_2(\nu)}(G_\nu')^2G_\nu=-H_\infty(1-G_\infty)^2+\frac{\bar\lambda_0}{\bar\lambda_2}(G_\infty')^2G_\infty+O\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)$$

Recalling that

$$\rho_\nu=\frac{-H_\nu(1-G_\nu^2)+\frac{\lambda_0(\nu)}{\lambda_2(\nu)}(G_\nu')^2G_\nu}{(1-G_\nu^2)-\frac{\lambda_0(\nu)}{\lambda_2(\nu)}(G_\nu')^2}$$

and $-H_\infty(1-G_\infty)^2+\frac{\bar\lambda_0}{\bar\lambda_2}(G_\infty')^2G_\infty=O(\delta^{-1})$ we see that (6.28) follows from (eq: est22). □

Consider the function

$$A(u)=\sqrt{1-u^2}+u\arcsin u,\quad|u|\leq 1.$$

Observe that

$$\frac{dA}{du}=O(1),\quad\forall|u|\leq 1,\tag{6.30a}$$

$$\frac{dA}{du}=\arcsin u=O(u)\ \text{ as } u\to 0.\tag{6.30b}$$

Now fix an exponent $\kappa\in(0,\frac{1}{4})$. We discuss separately two cases.

1. If $\nu^k < t < \pi\nu$. Then in this range we have

$$\frac{1}{\delta},\ \ \rho_\infty = O(t^{-1}),\ \ \rho_\nu = \rho_\infty + o(1),\ \ \frac{1}{\eta(t)} = O(1),$$

and using (6.30b) and (6.28) we deduce

$$A(\rho_\nu) = A(\rho_\infty) + A'(\rho_\infty)(\rho_\nu - \rho_\infty) + O\big(\,(\rho_\nu - \rho_\infty)^2\,\big) = A(\rho_\infty) + O\left(\frac{1}{t\nu} + \frac{1}{\nu^2}\right). \tag{6.31}$$

2. $\nu^{-\kappa} < t < \nu^\kappa$. The equality (6.21) shows that in this range we have

$$\frac{1}{\eta(t)} = O(\nu^{-4\kappa}),\ \ \frac{1}{\delta(t)} = O(1)$$

so that and (6.28) implies that

$$\rho_\nu - \rho_\infty = O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{1-4k}}\right).$$

Using (6.30a) we deduce

$$A(\rho_\nu) - A(\rho_\infty) = O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{1-4k}} + \frac{1}{\nu^{1-4\kappa}\delta(t)^2} + \frac{1}{\nu^{2-8\kappa}\delta(t)}\right). \tag{6.32}$$

Set

$$\Delta_\nu := \mathcal{C}_\nu A(\rho_\nu) - \mathcal{C}_\infty A(\rho_\infty),\ \ q_\nu := \left(\frac{\lambda_2(\nu)}{\lambda_0(\nu)}\right),\ \ q_\infty = \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}_2}{\bar{\lambda}_0}\right).$$

Then using (6.26) we deduce that

$$q_\nu - q_\infty = \frac{6}{5}\nu^{-1} + O(\nu^{-2}),\ \ q_\nu = q_\infty\left(1 + 2\nu^{-1} + O(\nu^{-2})\,\right).$$

Then

$$\begin{gathered}\Big(\,f_\nu(t) - q_\nu\,\Big) - \Big(\,f_\infty(t) - q_\infty\,\Big) = \\ = q_\nu\big(\,\mathcal{C}_\infty A_\infty(\rho_\infty) - 1 + \Delta_\nu\,\big) - q_\infty\big(\,\mathcal{C}_\infty A(\rho_\infty) - 1\big) \\ = (q_\nu - q_\infty)\big(\,\mathcal{C}_\infty A(\rho_\infty) - 1\big) + q_\infty \Delta_\nu\end{gathered}$$

To prove (6.1) we need to prove the following equality.

$$\big(q_\nu - q_\infty\big)\int_0^{\pi\nu}\big(\,\mathcal{C}_\infty(t)A(\rho_\infty(t)) - 1\big)dt,\ \ q_\infty\int_0^{\pi\nu}\Delta_\nu(t)dt = o(1)\ \ \text{as } \nu \to \infty. \tag{6.33}$$

We can dispense easily of the first integral above since $\mathcal{C}_\infty(t)A(\rho_\infty(t)) - 1$ is absolutely integrable on $[0,\infty)$ and $q_\nu - q_\infty = O(\nu^{-1})$.

The second integral requires a bit of work. More precisely, we will show the following result.

Lemma 6.11. *If* $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{5}$, *then*

$$\int_0^{\nu^{-\kappa}}\Delta_\nu(t)dt,\ \ \int_{\nu^{-\kappa}}^{\nu^\kappa}\Delta_\nu(t)dt,\ \ \int_{\nu^\kappa}^{\pi\nu}\Delta_\nu(t)dt = o(1)\ \ \textit{as } \nu \to \infty. \tag{6.34}$$

Proof. We will discuss each of the three cases separately.

1. $0 < t < \nu^{-\kappa}$. The easiest way to prove that $\int_0^{\nu^{-\kappa}}\Delta_\nu(t)dt \to 0$ is to show that

$$\mathcal{C}_\nu(t)A(\rho_\nu(t)) = O(1),\ \ 0 < t < \nu^{-k}.$$

This follows using Lemma 6.8 and observing that the function $A(u)$ is bounded.

2. $\nu^{-\kappa} < t < \nu^{\kappa}$. In this range we have

$$\frac{1}{\eta} = O(\nu^{-4\kappa}),\quad \frac{1}{\gamma(t)} = O(\nu^{-2\kappa}),\quad \frac{1}{\delta(t)} = O(1),\quad G'_\infty(t) = O(1).$$

Using (6.23), (6.32) we deduce

$$\mathcal{C}_\nu(t) = \mathcal{C}_\infty(t) + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{1-3\kappa}}\right),\quad A(\rho_\nu) = A(\rho_\infty) + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{1-4\kappa}}\right).$$

Hence

$$\Delta_\nu = O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{1-4\kappa}}\right) \text{ and } \int_{\nu^{-\kappa}}^{\nu^\kappa} \Delta_\nu(t)dt = O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{1-5\kappa}}\right) = o(1).$$

3. $\nu^\kappa < t < \pi\nu$. For these values of t we have

$$\eta(t), \frac{1}{\eta(t)} = O(1),\quad \frac{1}{\delta(t)} = O(t^{-1}).$$

Using (6.23) and (6.31) we deduce

$$\mathcal{C}_\nu(t) = \mathcal{C}_\infty(t) + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu t} + \frac{1}{\nu^2}\right),\quad A(\rho_\nu) = A(\rho_\infty) + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu t} + \frac{1}{\nu^2}\right)$$

so that

$$\Delta_\nu(t) = O\left(\frac{1}{\nu t} + \frac{1}{\nu^2}\right),\quad \int_{\nu^{-\kappa}}^{\pi\nu} \Delta_\nu(t)\, dt = O\left(\frac{1}{\nu} + \frac{\log\nu}{\nu}\right) = o(1).$$

□

The fact that δ_∞ defined as in (6.1) is positive follows by arguing exactly as in [19, §3.2]. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.1. □

Remark 6.12. The proof of Lemma 6.11 shows that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\boldsymbol{var}(Z_\nu) = \nu\delta_\infty + O(\nu^\varepsilon) \text{ as } \nu \to \infty. \tag{6.35}$$

Numerical experiments suggest that $\delta_\infty \approx 0.35$. □

APPENDIX A. SOME ELEMENTARY INTEGRALS

Suppose $\boldsymbol{W}$ is an oriented Euclidean vector space equipped with an orthogonal decomposition

$$\boldsymbol{W} = \boldsymbol{W}_0 \oplus \boldsymbol{W}_1,\quad \dim \boldsymbol{W}_i = n_i,\quad i = 0,1,\quad \dim \boldsymbol{W} = n = n_0 + n_1.$$

For any $\boldsymbol{w} \in \boldsymbol{W}$ we denote by $\boldsymbol{w}_i$ its orthogonal projection on $\boldsymbol{W}_i$, $i = 0,1$, so that $\boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{w}_0 + \boldsymbol{w}_1$. We set $r_i(\boldsymbol{w}) := |\boldsymbol{w}_i|$, $i = 0,1$.

Lemma A.1. *Let $\varphi_i : \boldsymbol{W}_i \to \mathbb{R}$, $i = 0,1$, be locally integrable functions, such that φ_0 is positively homogeneous of degree $k_0 \geq 0$, and set $\varphi(\boldsymbol{w}_0, \boldsymbol{w}_1) := \varphi_0(\boldsymbol{w}_0)\varphi_1(\boldsymbol{w}_1)$. Then*

$$\int_{S(\boldsymbol{W})} \varphi(\boldsymbol{w})\, |dS(\boldsymbol{w})| = \int_{S(\boldsymbol{W}_0)} \varphi_0(\boldsymbol{w}_0)\, |dS(\boldsymbol{w}_0)| \times \int_{B(\boldsymbol{W}_1)} \varphi_1(\boldsymbol{w}_1)(1 - r_1^2)^{\frac{k_0+n_0-2}{2}}\, |dV(\boldsymbol{w}_1)|. \tag{A.1}$$

In particular, if $\varphi_0 = 1$, then

$$\int_{S(\boldsymbol{W})} \varphi(\boldsymbol{w})\, |dS(\boldsymbol{w})| = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n_0-1} \int_{B(\boldsymbol{W}_1)} \varphi(\boldsymbol{w}_1)(1 - r_1^2)^{\frac{n_0-2}{2}}\, |dV(\boldsymbol{w}_1)|. \tag{A.2}$$

Proof. The key trick behind the equality (A.2) is the *co-area formula*. Denote by π the orthogonal projection onto $\boldsymbol{W}_1$, i.e.,

$$\pi : \boldsymbol{W} \to \boldsymbol{W}_1, \;\; \boldsymbol{w}_0 + \boldsymbol{w}_1 \mapsto \boldsymbol{w}_1.$$

This induces a smooth map $\pi : S(\boldsymbol{W}) \to B(\boldsymbol{W}_1)$. We denote by $\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{w}_1}$ the fiber of this map over $\boldsymbol{w}_1$. We observe that $\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{w}_1}$ is the sphere in $\boldsymbol{W}_0$ of radius $(1 - r_1^2)^{1/2}$ and center 0. Denote by $J_\pi : S(\boldsymbol{W}) \to \mathbb{R}$ the relative Jacobian of the map π defined as in [22, §5.1.1].

Fix a point $\boldsymbol{w} = (\boldsymbol{w}_0, \boldsymbol{w}_1) \in \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{w}_1}$. Next, choose an orthonormal basis $\boldsymbol{e}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{e}_{n_1}$ of $\boldsymbol{W}_1$ such that $\boldsymbol{e}_1 = \frac{1}{r_1}\boldsymbol{w}_1$.

The orthogonal complement $N_{\boldsymbol{w}}\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{w}_1}$ of $T_{\boldsymbol{w}}\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{w}_1}$ in $T_{\boldsymbol{w}}S(\boldsymbol{W})$ consists of vectors that are orthogonal on $\boldsymbol{W}_0$ and on the unit vector $\boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{w}_0 + \boldsymbol{w}_1$. We deduce that the collection

$$\boldsymbol{f}_1 = -r_1^2 w_0 + r_0^2 \boldsymbol{w}_1, \;\; \boldsymbol{f}_2 = \boldsymbol{e}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{f}_{n_1} = \boldsymbol{e}_{n_1},$$

is an orthogonal basis of $N_{\boldsymbol{w}}\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{w}_1}$. Note that

$$|\boldsymbol{f}_1| = r_1^4 r_0^2 + r_0^4 r_1^2 = r_1^2 r_2^2.$$

We obtain an orthonormal basis by replacing $\boldsymbol{f}_1$ with the vector

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{f}}_1 = \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{f}_1|}\boldsymbol{f}_1 = -\frac{r_1}{r_0}\boldsymbol{w}_0 + \frac{r_0}{r_1}\boldsymbol{w}_1.$$

The orthogonal projection onto $\boldsymbol{W}_1$ of the orthonormal basis $\bar{\boldsymbol{f}}_1, \boldsymbol{f}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{f}_{n_1}$ is the orthogonal basis

$$r_0 \boldsymbol{e}_1, \boldsymbol{e}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{e}_{n_1},$$

whose determinant is r_0. This shows that

$$J_\pi(\boldsymbol{w}) = r_0(\boldsymbol{w}) = (1 - r_1(\boldsymbol{w})^2)^{1/2}, \;\; \forall \boldsymbol{w} \in S(\boldsymbol{W}).$$

The coarea formula [22, Thm. 5.3.9] implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{S(\boldsymbol{W})} \varphi(\boldsymbol{w})\, |dS(\boldsymbol{w})| &= \int_{B(\boldsymbol{W}_1)} \left(\int_{\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{w}_1}} \frac{1}{J_\pi(\boldsymbol{w}_0, \boldsymbol{w}_1)} \varphi(\boldsymbol{w}_0, \boldsymbol{w}_1)\, |dS(\boldsymbol{w}_1)| \right) \\
&= \left(\int_{S(\boldsymbol{W}_0)} \varphi_0(\boldsymbol{w}_0)\, |dS(\boldsymbol{w}_0)| \right) \int_{B(\boldsymbol{W}_1)} \varphi_1(\boldsymbol{w}_1) r_0^{k_0+n_0-2}\, |dV(\boldsymbol{w}_1)| \\
&= \left(\int_{S(\boldsymbol{W}_0)} \varphi_0(\boldsymbol{w}_0)\, |dS(\boldsymbol{w}_0)| \right) \int_{B(\boldsymbol{W}_1)} \varphi_1(\boldsymbol{w}_1)(1 - r_1^2)^{\frac{k_0+n_0-2}{2}}\, |dV(\boldsymbol{w}_1)|.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Suppose that $\boldsymbol{L}$ is a Euclidean vector space of dimension ℓ, and $Q : \boldsymbol{L} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous, positively homogeneous function of degree $k > 0$. For any positive integer n we set

$$I_n(Q) := \int_{B(\boldsymbol{L})} |Q(\boldsymbol{x})|(1 - |x|^2)^{n/2}\, |dV(\boldsymbol{x})|, \;\; J_n(Q) := \int_{S(\boldsymbol{L})} |Q(\boldsymbol{x})|\, |dS(\boldsymbol{x})|,$$

where $S(\boldsymbol{L})$ denotes the unit sphere in $\boldsymbol{L}$ centered at the origin, and $B(\boldsymbol{L})$ denotes the unit ball in $\boldsymbol{L}$ centered at the origin.

Lemma A.2.

$$I_n(Q) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\ell+k}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+1)}{2\Gamma(\frac{n+\ell+k}{2}+1)} J_n(Q). \tag{A.3}$$

Proof. We have

$$I_n(Q) = J_n(Q)\int_0^1 r^{\ell+k-1}(1-r^2)^{n/2}dr = \frac{1}{2}J_n(Q)\int_0^1 s^{\frac{\ell+k}{2}-1}(1-s)^{n/2}ds$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}J_n(Q)B\left(\frac{\ell+k}{2}, \frac{n}{2}+1\right),$$

where $B(p,q)$ denotes the Eulerian integral

$$B(p,q) = \int_0^1 (1-s)^{p-1}s^{q-1}ds = \frac{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(p+q)}.$$

□

The integrals of homogeneous functions over the unit sphere can be reduced to gaussian integrals of these polynomials. More precisely we have the following result.

Lemma A.3. *Suppose that $\boldsymbol{W}$ is an Euclidean space of dimension N and $f : \boldsymbol{W} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a locally integrable positively homogeneous function of degree $\ell \geq 0$. Then*

$$\int_{S(\boldsymbol{W})} f(\boldsymbol{x})\,|dS(\boldsymbol{x})| = \frac{2}{\Gamma(\frac{N+\ell}{2})}\int_{\boldsymbol{W}} e^{-|\boldsymbol{x}|^2}f(\boldsymbol{x})\,|dV(\boldsymbol{x})|, \tag{A.4}$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{area} S(\boldsymbol{W})}\int_{S(\boldsymbol{W})} f(\boldsymbol{x})\,|dS(\boldsymbol{x})| = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{N+\ell}{2})}\int_{\boldsymbol{W}} f(\boldsymbol{x})\frac{e^{-|\boldsymbol{x}|^2}}{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}\,|dV(\boldsymbol{x})|. \tag{A.5}$$

Proof. We have

$$\int_{\boldsymbol{W}} e^{-|\boldsymbol{x}|^2}f(\boldsymbol{x})\,|dV(\boldsymbol{x})| = \int_0^\infty\left(\int_{S(\boldsymbol{W})} f(\boldsymbol{x})\,|dS(\boldsymbol{x})|\right)e^{-r^2}r^{N+\ell-1}dr$$
$$= \left(\int_{S(\boldsymbol{W})} f(\boldsymbol{x})\,|dS(\boldsymbol{x})|\right)\int_0^\infty e^{-s}s^{\frac{N+\ell}{2}-1}\frac{ds}{2} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N+\ell}{2})}{2}\int_{S(\boldsymbol{W})} f(\boldsymbol{x})\,|dS(\boldsymbol{x})|.$$

□

Proposition A.4. *Suppose a and b are nonnegative real numbers such that $a > b$. Then*

$$\boldsymbol{I}(a,b) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\frac{1}{2(a^2-b^2)}(ax^2+ay^2-2bxy)-\frac{1}{2}z^2}|xy-z^2||dxdydz|$$
$$= \sqrt{2\pi(a^2-b^2)}\left(\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{2c^{3/2}}{(c+2)^{1/2}}d\theta - 2\pi a + 2\pi\right),$$

where $c(\theta) := (a - b\cos 2\theta)$.

Proof. Let $\{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{k}\}$ be the canonical orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^3$. Define a new orthonormal basis $\boldsymbol{e}_1,\boldsymbol{e}_2,\boldsymbol{e}_3$ of $\mathbb{R}^3$ by setting

$$\boldsymbol{e}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{j});\;\; \boldsymbol{e}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\boldsymbol{i}-\boldsymbol{j}),\;\; \boldsymbol{e}_3 = \boldsymbol{k}.$$

If we let (u,v,w) denote the coordinates with respect to this new orthonormal frame, then from the equality

$$u\boldsymbol{e}_1 + v\boldsymbol{e}_2 + w\boldsymbol{e}_3 = x\boldsymbol{i} + y\boldsymbol{j} + z\boldsymbol{k}$$

we deduce

$$z=w,\;\; x=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u+v),\;\; y=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u-v)$$

$$xy=\frac{1}{2}(u^2-v^2),\;\; ax^2+ay^2-2bxy=(a-b)u^2+(a+b)v^2.$$

We deduce that

$$\boldsymbol{I}=\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\frac{1}{2(a^2-b^2)}((a-b)u^2+(a+b)v^2)-\frac{1}{2}w^2}|u^2-v^2-2w^2|\,|dudvdw|$$

We now make the change in variables

$$u=\sqrt{2(a+b)}u,\;\; v=\sqrt{2(a-b)}v,\;\; w=\sqrt{2}w,$$

to deduce

$$\boldsymbol{I}=2\sqrt{2(a^2-b^2)}\underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-(u^2+v^2+w^2)}|(a+b)u^2+(a-b)v^2-2w^2|\,|dudvdw|}_{=:\boldsymbol{I}_1}.$$

We now change to cylindrical coordinates,

$$w=w,\;\; u=r\cos\theta,\;\; v=r\sin\theta,$$

so that

$$\begin{gathered} u^2+v^2+w^2=r^2+w^2,\\ (a+b)u^2+(a-b)v^2-2w^2=r^2\bigl((a+b)\cos^2\theta+(a-b)\sin^2\theta\bigr)-2w^2\\ =r^2(a-b\cos 2\theta)-2w^2. \end{gathered}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{I}_1&=\int_0^{2\pi}d\theta\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dw\int_0^{\infty}e^{-r^2}\Bigl|r^2(a-b\cos 2\theta)-2w^2\Bigr|rdr\\ &=\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{2\pi}d\theta\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-w^2}dw\int_0^{\infty}e^{-s}\Bigl|\,s(a-b\cos 2\theta)-2w^2\Bigr|ds \end{aligned}$$

At this point we observe that for any $c,d>0$ we have

$$\int_0^{\infty}e^{-x}|cx-d|dx=2ce^{-\frac{d}{c}}+d-c.$$

Hence, if we set $c=c(\theta)=(a-b\cos 2\theta)$ we deduce

$$\boldsymbol{I}_1=\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{2\pi}d\theta\underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-w^2}\bigl(\,2ce^{-\frac{2w^2}{c}}+2w^2-c\,\bigr)dw}_{=:\boldsymbol{J}(c)}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{J}(c)&=2c\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{-\frac{c+2}{c}w^2}dw+2\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{-w^2}w^2dw-c\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{-w^2}dw\\ &=\frac{2c^{3/2}}{(c+2)^{1/2}}\pi^{1/2}+2\Gamma(3/2)-c\pi^{1/2}=\pi^{1/2}\left(\frac{2c^{3/2}}{(c+2)^{1/2}}-c+1\right). \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that

$$\boldsymbol{I}=\sqrt{2(a^2-b)^2}\int_0^{2\pi}\boldsymbol{J}(c)d\theta=\sqrt{2\pi(a^2-b)^2}\int_0^{2\pi}\left(\frac{2c^{3/2}}{(c+2)^{1/2}}-c+1\right)d\theta.$$

The conclusion of the proposition follows by observing that $\int_0^{2\pi}c(\theta)d\theta=a$. □

APPENDIX B. BASIC FACTS ABOUT SPHERICAL HARMONICS

We survey here a few classical facts about spherical harmonics that we needed in the main body of the paper. For proofs and more details we refer to our main source, [25].

We denote by $\mathcal{H}_{n,d}$ the space of homogeneous, harmonic polynomials of degree n in d variables. We regard such polynomials as functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and we denote by $\mathcal{Y}_{n,d}$ the subspace of $C^\infty(S^{d-1})$ spanned by the restrictions of these polynomials to the unit sphere. We have

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_{n,d} = \dim \mathcal{Y}_{n,d} = M(n,d) = \binom{d+n-1}{n} - \binom{d+n-3}{n-2}$$

$$= \frac{2n+d-2}{n+d-2}\binom{n+d-2}{d-2} \sim 2\frac{n^{d-2}}{(d-2)!} \;\text{ as }\; n\to\infty.$$

Observe that

$$M(0,d)=1,\;\; M(1,d)=d,\;\; M(2,d)=\binom{d+1}{2}-1. \tag{B.1}$$

The space $\mathcal{Y}_{n,d}$ is the eigenspace of the Laplace operator on S^{d-1} corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_n(d)=n(n+d-2)$.

We want to describe an inductive construction of an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{Y}_{n,d}$. We start with the case $d=2$. For any $m\in\mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$\varphi_m(\theta)=\begin{cases}\cos(m\theta), & m\leq 0\\ \sin(m\theta), & m>0.\end{cases},\;\; t_m=\|\varphi_m\|_{L^2}=\begin{cases}(2\pi)^{1/2}, & m=0\\ \pi^{1/2}, & m>0.\end{cases},\;\; \Phi_m=\frac{1}{t_m}\varphi_m.$$

Then $\mathcal{B}_{0,2}=\{\Phi_0\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{Y}_{0,2}$, while $\mathcal{B}_{n,2}=\{\Phi_{-n},\Phi_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{Y}_{n,2}$, $n>0$.

Assuming now that we have produced orthonormal bases $\mathcal{B}_{n,d-1}$ of all the spaces $\mathcal{Y}_{n,d-1}$, we indicate how to produce orthonormal bases in the harmonic spaces $\mathcal{Y}_{n,d}$. This requires the introduction of the Legendre polynomials and their associated functions.

The *Legendre polynomial* $P_{n,d}(t)$ *of degree* n *and order* d is given by the Rodriguez formula

$$P_{n,d}(t)=(-1)^nR_n(d)(1-t^2)^{-\frac{d-3}{2}}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^n(1-t^2)^{n+\frac{d-3}{2}}, \tag{B.2}$$

where $R_n(d)$ is the Rodriguez constant

$$R_n(d)=2^{-n}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})}{\Gamma(n+\frac{d-1}{2})}=2^{-n}\frac{1}{\left[n+\frac{d-3}{2}\right]_n},$$

where we recall that $[x]_k := x(x-1)\cdots(x-k+1)$. Equivalently, they can be defined recursively via the relations

$$P_{0,d}(t)=1,\;\; P_{1,d}(t)=t,$$

$$(n+d-2)P_{n+1,d}(t)-(2n+d-2)tP_{n,d}(t)+nP_{n-1,d}(t)=0,\;\; n>0.$$

In particular, this shows that

$$P_{2,d}(t)=\frac{1}{d-1}\big(dt^2-1\big).$$

The Legendre polynomials are normalized by the equality

$$P_{n,d}(1)=1,\;\;\forall d\geq 2,\;\; n\geq 0.$$

More generally, for any $n > 0$, $d \geq 2$, and any $0 < j \leq n$, we have

$$P^{(j)}_{n,d}(1) = (-1)^n R_n(d) \binom{n+j}{j} \left\{ \frac{D^n_t (1-t)^{n+\frac{d-3}{2}}}{(1-t)^{\frac{d-3}{2}}} \cdot \frac{D^j_t (1+t)^{n+\frac{d-3}{2}}}{(1+t)^{\frac{d-3}{2}}} \right\}_{t=1}, \quad D_t := \frac{d}{dt},$$

$$= 2^{n-j} R_n(d) \binom{n+j}{j} \left[n + \frac{d-3}{2} \right]_n \cdot \left[n + \frac{d-3}{2} \right]_j,$$

which implies

$$P^{(j)}_{n,d}(1) = 2^{-j} \binom{n+j}{j} \left[n + \frac{d-3}{2} \right]_j. \tag{B.3}$$

For any $d \geq 3$, $n \geq 0$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$, we define the *normalized associated Legendre functions*

$$\widehat{P}^j_{n,d}(t) := C_{n,j,d} (1-t^2)^{j/2} P^{(j)}_{n,d}(t),$$

where

$$C_{n,j,d} := \frac{[n+d-3]_{d-3}}{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})} \left(\frac{(2n+d-2)}{2^{d-2}[n+d+j-3]_{2j+d-3}} \right)^{1/2}. \tag{B.4}$$

When $d = 3$, the above formulæ take the form

$$\widehat{P}_{n,j,3}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{(n+\frac{1}{2})(n-j)!}{(n+j)!}} (1-t^2)^j P^{(j)}_{n,3}(t). \tag{B.5}$$

For any $0 \leq j \leq n$, and any $d > 2$ we define a linear map

$$\mathcal{T}_{n,j,d} : \mathcal{Y}_{j,d-1} \to \mathcal{Y}_{n,d}, \quad Y \mapsto \mathcal{T}_{n,j,d}[Y],$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{n,j,d}[Y](\boldsymbol{x}) = \widehat{P}^j_{n,d}(x_d) \cdot Y \left(\frac{1}{\|\boldsymbol{x}'\|} \boldsymbol{x}' \right), \quad \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in S^{d-1}, \boldsymbol{x}' = (x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}) \neq 0.$$

Note that for $\boldsymbol{x} = (\boldsymbol{x}', x_d) \in S^{d-1}$ we have

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}'\| = (1-x_d)^{1/2} \text{ and } \widehat{P}^j_{n,d}(x_d) = C_{n,j,d}(1-x_d^2)^{j/2} P^{(j)}_{n,d}(x_d) = C_{n,j,d} \|\boldsymbol{x}'\|^j P^{(j)}_{n,d}(x_d),$$

so that

$$\mathcal{T}_{n,j,d}[Y](\boldsymbol{x}) = C_{n,j,d} P^{(j)}_{n,d}(x_d) \widetilde{Y}(\boldsymbol{x}'), \quad \forall \boldsymbol{x} = (\boldsymbol{x}', x_d) \in S^{d-1},$$

where $\widetilde{Y}$ denotes the extension of Y as a homogeneous polynomial of degree j in $(d-1)$-variables. The sets $\mathcal{T}_{n,j,d}[\mathcal{B}_{j,d-1}]$, $0 \leq j \leq n$ are disjoint, and their union is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{Y}_{n,d}$ that we denote by $\mathcal{B}_{n,d}$.

The space $\mathcal{Y}_{0,d}$ consists only of constant functions and $\mathcal{B}_{0,d} = \{ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \}$. The orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B}_{1,d}$ of $\mathcal{Y}_{1,d}$ obtained via the above inductive process is

$$\mathcal{B}_{1,d} = \big\{ C_0 x_i, \;\; 1 \leq i \leq d \big\} = \big\{ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d-2}^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_{1,0,d} x_i; \;\; 1 \leq i \leq d \big\}. \tag{B.6}$$

The orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B}_{2,d}$ of $\mathcal{Y}_{2,d}$ is

$$C_1(dx_i^2 - r^2), \;\; 1 \leq i < d, \;\; C_2 x_i x_j, \;\; 1 \leq i < j \leq d, \tag{B.7}$$

where $r^2 = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2$, and the positive constants C_0, C_1, C_2 are found from the equalities

$$C_0^2 \int_{S^{d-1}} x_1^2 \, |dS(\boldsymbol{x})| = C_1^2 \int_{S^{d-1}} (d^2 x_1^4 - 2dx_1^2 + 1) \, |dS(\boldsymbol{x})| = C_2^2 \int_{S^{d-1}} x_1^2 x_2^2 |dS(\boldsymbol{x})| = 1,$$

aided by the classical identities, [27, Lemma 9.3.10],

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} x_1^{2h_1}\cdots x_d^{2h_d}\,|dS(\boldsymbol{x})| = \frac{2\Gamma(\frac{2h_1+1}{2})\cdots\Gamma(\frac{2h_d+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{2h+d}{2})},\quad h=h_1+\cdots+h_d. \tag{B.8}$$

APPENDIX C. INVARIANT INTEGRALS OVER THE SPACE OF SYMMETRIC MATRICES

In the main body of the paper we encountered many integrals of the form

$$\int_{\mathbf{Sym}_N} |\det A|\,|d\boldsymbol{\gamma}(A)|,$$

where $\mathbf{Sym}_N$ is the space of symmetric $N\times N$ matrices, and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ is a Gaussian probability measure on $\mathbf{Sym}_N$. In this appendix, we want show that in certain cases we can reduce this integral to an integral over a space of much lower dimension using a basic trick in random matrix theory. We set

$$D_N := \dim \mathbf{Sym}_N = \binom{N+1}{2},\quad \mathbf{Sym}_N^0 := \bigl\{\, S\in\mathbf{Sym}_N;\ \ \operatorname{tr} S = 0\,\bigr\}.$$

Note first that we have a canonical $O(N)$-invariant metric g_* on $\mathbf{Sym}_N$ with norm $|-|_*$ given by

$$|A|_* := \bigl(\operatorname{tr} A^2\bigr)^{1/2}.$$

Using the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^k$ we can describe each $A\in\mathbf{Sym}_N$ as a linear combination

$$A=\sum_{i\leq j} a_{ij}H_{ij},$$

where H_{ij} is the symmetric $k\times k$ matrix whose (i,j) and (j,i) entries are 1, while the remaining entries are 0. With respect to the coordinates (a_{ij}) we have

$$g_* = \sum_i da_i^2 + 2\sum_{i<j} da_{ij}^2.$$

The collection $(H_{ij})_{1\leq i\leq j\leq N}$ is an orthonormal basis with respect to the metric g_*. The volume density $|d\mathcal{V}|_*$ determined by the metric g_* has the description

$$|d\mathcal{V}_*| = 2^{\frac{D_N-N}{2}}\left|\prod_{i\leq j} da_{ij}\right|.$$

Via the metric on $\mathbb{R}^N$ we can identify $\mathbf{Sym}_N$ with the vector space of homogeneous polynomials of degree 2 in N-variables. More precisely, to such a polynomial P we associate the matrix $\operatorname{Hess}(P)$, the Hessian of P at the origin. The subspace $\mathbf{Sym}_N^0$ corresponds to the space $\mathcal{H}_{2,N}$ of homogeneous, harmonic polynomials of degree 2 on $\mathbb{R}^N$.

The orthogonal group $O(N)$ acts by conjugation on $\mathbf{Sym}_N$, and $\mathbf{Sym}_N$ decomposes into irreducible components

$$\mathbf{Sym}_N = \mathbb{R}\langle \mathbb{1}_N\rangle \oplus \mathbf{Sym}_N^0,$$

where $\mathbb{R}\langle \mathbb{1}_N\rangle$ denotes the one-dimensional space spanned by the identity matrix $\mathbb{1}_N$.

We fix an $O(N)$-invariant metric on $\mathbf{Sym}_N$. The irreducibility of $\mathbf{Sym}_N^0$ implies that such a metric is uniquely determined by two constants $a,b>0$ so that the collection

$$a\mathbb{1}_N,\ \ b\operatorname{Hess}(Y),\ \ Y\in\mathcal{B}_{2,N}$$

is an orthonormal basis. We denote by $|-|_{a,b}$ the norm of this metric. We want to express $|A|_{a,b}$ in terms of $\operatorname{tr} A^2$ and $(\operatorname{tr} A)^2$.

Note first that

$$|\mathbb{1}_N|^2_{a,b} = \frac{1}{a^2} = \frac{1}{Na^2}|\mathbb{1}_N|^2_*.$$

The irreducibility of $\mathbf{Sym}^0_k$ implies that there exists a universal constant $R = R_N > 0$ such that for any homogeneous harmonic polynomial P of degree 2 in N variables we have

$$|\operatorname{Hess}(P)|^2_* = R^2 \int_{S^{N-1}} P(\boldsymbol{x})^2\, |dS(\boldsymbol{x})|.$$

If we take $P = x_1x_2$, we deduce

$$2 = R^2 \int_{S^{N-1}} x_1^2x_2^2\, |dS(\boldsymbol{x})|,$$

and using (B.8), we deduce

$$R^2 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N+4}{2})}{\Gamma(3/2)^2\Gamma(1/2)^{N-2}} = \frac{4\Gamma(\frac{N+4}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}. \tag{C.1}$$

We see that for any $P \in \mathcal{H}_{2,N}$

$$|Y|^2_{a,b} = \frac{1}{b^2}\|Y\|^2_{L^2(S^{N-1})} = \frac{1}{b^2R^2}|\operatorname{Hess}(Y)|^2_*.$$

In particular, we deduce that

$$|-|_* = |-|_{a_*,b_*},\;\; a_* = \frac{1}{N},\;\; b_* = \frac{1}{R}.$$

In general, if $A \in \mathbf{Sym}_N$, then we have a decomposition

$$A = \frac{1}{N}(\operatorname{tr} A)\mathbb{1}_N + \Big(A - \frac{1}{N}(\operatorname{tr} A)\mathbb{1}_N\Big)$$

that is orthogonal with respect to both $|-|_*$ and $|-|_{a,b}$. We deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
|A|^2_{a,b} &= \left|\frac{1}{N}(\operatorname{tr} A)\mathbb{1}_N\right|^2_{a,b} + \left|(A - \frac{1}{N}(\operatorname{tr} A)\mathbb{1}_N)\right|^2_{a,b}\\
&= \frac{1}{N^2a^2}(\operatorname{tr} A)^2 + \frac{1}{b^2R^2}\operatorname{tr}\big(A^2 - \frac{2}{N}(\operatorname{tr} A)A + \frac{1}{N^2}(\operatorname{tr} A)^2\mathbb{1}_N\big) =\\
&= \underbrace{\frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{1}{Na^2} - \frac{1}{b^2R^2}\right)}_{=:\beta}(\operatorname{tr} A)^2 + \underbrace{\frac{1}{b^2R^2}}_{=:\alpha}\operatorname{tr} A^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

Note that the quantities α, β depend on a, b and the dimension N.

If $|d\mathcal{V}_*|$ denotes the volume density determined by the metric $|-|_*$ and $|d\mathcal{V}_{a,b}|$ denotes the volume density associated to the metric $|-|_{a,b}$, then we have

$$|d\mathcal{V}_{a,b}| = C_N(a,b)|d\mathcal{V}_*|,\;\; C_N(a,b) := \frac{1}{aN^{1/2}(bR)^{D_N-1}}. \tag{C.3}$$

Suppose now that $f : \mathbf{Sym}_N \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous $O(N)$-invariant function that is homogeneous of degree $\ell > 0$. We want to find a simpler expression for the integral

$$J_{a,b}(f) := \int_{\mathbf{Sym}_N} e^{-|A|^2_{a,b}} f(A)\, |d\mathcal{V}_{a,b}(A)|.$$

This can be reduced to a situation frequently encountered in random matrix theory. We have

$$J_{a,b}(f) = C_N(a,b)\int_{\mathbf{Sym}_N} e^{-|A|^2_{a,b}} f(A)|d\mathcal{V}_*(A)| = C_N(a,b)\int_{\mathbf{Sym}_N} e^{-\alpha \operatorname{tr} A^2 - \beta(\operatorname{tr} A)^2} f(A)\, |d\mathcal{V}_*(A)|$$

$$A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\alpha}}B$$

$$= \frac{\pi^{\frac{D_N}{2}} C_N(a,b)}{\alpha^{\frac{D_N}{2}}} \int_{\mathbf{Sym}_N} \underbrace{e^{-\frac{\beta}{2\alpha}(\operatorname{tr} B)^2} f\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\alpha}}B\right)}_{=:\Phi_{\alpha,\beta}(B)} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr} B^2}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{D_N}{2}}} |d\mathcal{V}_*|$$

Observe that the function $B \mapsto \Phi_{\alpha,\beta}(B)$ is also $O(N)$ invariant. We denote by $\mathcal{D}_N \subset \mathbf{Sym}_N$ the subspace consisting of diagonal matrices. We identify $\mathcal{D}_N$ with $\mathbb{R}^N$ in the obvious fashion. Using [2, Prop. 4.1.1] or [12, Thm. 2.50] we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbf{Sym}_N} \Phi_{\alpha,\beta}(B) \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr} B^2}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{D_N}{2}}} |d\mathcal{V}_*| = \frac{1}{Z_N} \int_{\mathcal{D}_N} \Phi_{\alpha,\beta}(B)\, |\Delta(B)| \cdot \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr} B^2}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{D_N}{2}}} |dV(B)|,$$

where

- $\Delta(\boldsymbol{x})$ is the discriminant $\Delta(x_1,\dots,x_N) = \prod_{1\le i<j\le N}(x_i - x_j)$
- The constant Z_N is given by the integral

$$Z_N = \int_{\mathcal{D}_N} |\Delta(B)| \cdot \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr} B^2}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{D_N}{2}}} |dV(B)| = (2\pi)^{-\frac{D_N - N}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta(\boldsymbol{x})| \frac{e^{-\frac{|\boldsymbol{x}|^2}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} |dV(\boldsymbol{x})|.$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-\frac{D_N - N}{2}} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{j}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}.$$

Putting together all of the above, we deduce

$$J_{a,b}(f) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{D_N}{2}} C_N(a,b)}{Z_N \alpha^{\frac{D_N}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\boldsymbol{x}|^2 - \frac{\beta}{2\alpha}(x_1+\cdots+x_N)^2} f\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{x}}{\sqrt{2\alpha}}\right) |\Delta(\boldsymbol{x})|\, |dV(\boldsymbol{x})|. \tag{C.4}$$

In particular, we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{Sym}_N} e^{-|A|^2_{a,b}} |\det(A)|\, |d\mathcal{V}_{a,b}(A)|$$

$$= \frac{\pi^{\frac{D_N}{2}} C_N(a,b)}{Z_N (2\alpha)^{\frac{N}{2}} \alpha^{\frac{D_N}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{|\boldsymbol{x}|^2}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2\alpha}(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i)^2} \prod_{i=1}^N |x_i| \cdot |\Delta(\boldsymbol{x})|\, |dV(\boldsymbol{x})|, \tag{C.5}$$

where α, β are defined by (C.2) and $C_N(a,b)$ by (C.3).

Let us point out that, up to a universal multiplicative constant, the measure $e^{-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr} A^2}|d\mathcal{V}|_*(A)$ is the probability distribution of the real gaussian ensemble, [2, 12]. As explained in [12, Chap.3], the multidimensional integral (C.5) can be reduced to computations of 1-dimensional integrals in the special case when $\beta = 0$, i.e., $ka^2 = b^2R^2$. As explained in [17, §1.5], [18], the case $\beta < 0$ can be reduced to computations of 1-point correlations of the Gaussian ensemble of $(k+1)\times(k+1)$-matrices. In turn, these can be reduced to computations of 1-dimensional integrals [12, §4.4], [17, Chap. 6], [23, Chap. 7].

APPENDIX D. SOME ELEMENTARY ESTIMATES

Proof of Lemma 6.2. Consider the complex valued random process

$$\mathcal{F}_\nu(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\nu^3}} \sum_{m=1}^{\nu} m z_m e^{\frac{\boldsymbol{i}mt}{\nu}}, \quad z_m = c_m - \boldsymbol{i} d_m.$$

The covariance function of this process is

$$\mathcal{R}_\nu(t)=\boldsymbol{E}\big(\mathcal{F}_\nu(t)\overline{\mathcal{F}}_\nu(0)\big)=\frac{1}{\pi\nu^3}\sum_{m=1}^{\nu}m^2e^{\frac{\boldsymbol{i}mt}{\nu}}.$$

Observe that $\mathbf{Re}\,\mathcal{F}_\nu=\Phi_\nu$. Note that the spectral measure of the process $\mathcal{F}_\nu$ is

$$d\sigma_\nu=\frac{1}{\pi\nu^3}\sum_{m=1}^{\nu}m^2\delta_{\frac{m}{\nu}},$$

where δ_{t_0} denotes the Dirac measure on $\mathbb{R}$ concentrated at t_0. We form the covariance matrix of the gaussian vector valued random variable

$$\begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{F}_\nu(0)\\ \mathcal{F}_\nu(t)\\ \mathcal{F}_\nu'(0)\\ \mathcal{F}_\nu'(t)\end{bmatrix}\longrightarrow\mathcal{X}_\nu(t)=\begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{R}_\nu(0) & \overline{\mathcal{R}}_\nu(t) & \overline{\mathcal{R}}_\nu'(0) & \overline{\mathcal{R}}_\nu'(t)\\ \mathcal{R}_\nu(t) & \mathcal{R}_\nu(0) & -\boldsymbol{i}\mathcal{R}_\nu'(t) & -\boldsymbol{i}\overline{\mathcal{R}}_\nu'(0)\\ \mathcal{R}_\nu'(0) & \boldsymbol{i}\overline{\mathcal{R}}_\nu'(t) & -\mathcal{R}_\nu''(0) & -\overline{\mathcal{R}}_\nu'(t)\\ \mathcal{R}_\nu'(t) & \boldsymbol{i}\mathcal{R}_\nu'(0) & -\mathcal{R}_\nu''(t) & -\mathcal{R}_\nu''(0)\end{bmatrix}.$$

Observe that $\mathbf{Re}\,\mathcal{X}(t)=\mathbf{Re}\,\boldsymbol{\Xi}(t)$. If we let

$$\vec{z}=\begin{bmatrix}u_0\\ v_0\\ u_1\\ v_1\end{bmatrix}\in\mathbb{C}^4$$

Then, as in [9, Eq. (10.6.1)] we have

$$\langle\mathcal{X}_\nu\vec{z},\vec{z}\rangle=\frac{1}{\pi\nu^3}\sum_{m=1}^{\nu}m^2\left|\Big(u_0+v_0e^{\frac{\boldsymbol{i}mt}{\nu}}\Big)+\frac{\boldsymbol{i}m}{\nu}\Big(u_1+v_1e^{\frac{\boldsymbol{i}mt}{\nu}}\Big)\right|^2$$

We see that

$$\langle\mathcal{X}_\nu\vec{z},\vec{z}\rangle=0\Longleftrightarrow\Big(u_0+v_0e^{\frac{\boldsymbol{i}mt}{\nu}}\Big)+\frac{\boldsymbol{i}m}{\nu}\Big(u_1+v_1e^{\frac{\boldsymbol{i}mt}{\nu}}\Big)=0,\ \ \forall m=1,\dots,\nu. \tag{D.1}$$

We see that if the linear system (D.1) has a nontrivial solution $\vec{z}$ then the complex 4×4 matrix

$$A_\nu(t):=\begin{bmatrix}1 & \zeta & 1 & \zeta\\ 1 & \zeta^2 & 2 & 2\zeta^2\\ 1 & \zeta^3 & 3 & 3\zeta^3\\ 1 & \zeta^4 & 4 & 4\zeta^4\end{bmatrix},\ \ \zeta=e^{\frac{\boldsymbol{i}t}{\nu}},$$

must be singular, i.e., $\det A_\nu(t)=0$. We have

$$\det A_\nu(t)=\det\begin{bmatrix}1 & \zeta & 1 & \zeta\\ 0 & \zeta^2-\zeta & 1 & 2\zeta^2-z\\ 0 & \zeta^3-\zeta & 2 & 3\zeta^3-z\\ 0 & \zeta^4-z & 3 & 4\zeta^4-z\end{bmatrix}=\zeta^2\det\begin{bmatrix}1 & 1 & 1 & 1\\ 0 & \zeta-1 & 1 & 2\zeta-1\\ 0 & \zeta^2-1 & 2 & 3\zeta^2-1\\ 0 & \zeta^3-1 & 3 & 4\zeta^3-1\end{bmatrix}$$

$$=\zeta^2\det\begin{bmatrix}\zeta-1 & 1 & 2\zeta-1\\ \zeta^2-1 & 2 & 3\zeta^2-1\\ \zeta^3-1 & 3 & 4\zeta^3-1\end{bmatrix}=\zeta^2\det\begin{bmatrix}\zeta-1 & 1 & \zeta\\ \zeta^2-1 & 2 & 2\zeta^2\\ \zeta^3-1 & 3 & 3\zeta^3\end{bmatrix}$$

$$=\zeta^3\det\begin{bmatrix}\zeta-1 & 1 & 1\\ \zeta^2-1 & 2 & 2\zeta\\ \zeta^3-1 & 3 & 3\zeta^2\end{bmatrix}=\zeta\det\begin{bmatrix}\zeta-1 & 1 & 0\\ \zeta^2-1 & 2 & 2\zeta^2-2\\ \zeta^3-1 & 3 & 3\zeta^3-3\end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \zeta^3 \det \begin{bmatrix} \zeta-1 & 1 & 0 \\ \zeta^2-2\zeta+1 & 0 & 2\zeta^2-2 \\ \zeta^3-3\zeta+2 & 0 & 3\zeta^3-3 \end{bmatrix} = \zeta^3 \det \begin{bmatrix} \zeta-1 & 1 & 0 \\ (\zeta-1)^2 & 0 & 2(\zeta-1)(\zeta+1) \\ \zeta^3-3\zeta+2 & 0 & 3\zeta^3-3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \zeta^3(\zeta-1) \det \begin{bmatrix} \zeta-1 & 1 & 0 \\ (\zeta-1) & 0 & 2(\zeta+1) \\ (\zeta-1)^2(\zeta+1) & 0 & 3(\zeta-1)(\zeta^2+\zeta+1) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \zeta^3(\zeta-1)^3 \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2(\zeta+1) \\ \zeta+1) & 0 & 3(\zeta^2+\zeta+1) \end{bmatrix} = -\zeta^3(\zeta-1)^3 \big(3(\zeta^2+\zeta+1) - 2(\zeta^2+2\zeta+1) \big)$$

$$= -\zeta^3(\zeta-1)^3(\zeta^2-\zeta-1).$$

Since $|\zeta| = 1$, we that $\det A_\nu(t) = 0$ if and only if $t \in 2\pi\nu\mathbb{Z}$. □

Proof of Lemma 6.6. Recall that $\theta := \frac{t}{2\nu}$, $f(\theta) := \frac{\sin\theta}{\theta}$. By (6.10) we have

$$\frac{t^{r+1}}{\boldsymbol{i}^r \nu^{r+1}} D_{\nu,r}(t) = r! \left(\frac{2\sin\left(\frac{(\nu+1)t}{2\nu}\right)}{f(\theta)^{r+1}} \cdot e^{\frac{\boldsymbol{i}(\nu+r)t}{2\nu}} - e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \boldsymbol{i}^{1-j} \frac{\binom{\nu+1}{j}}{\nu^j} t^j \cdot \left(\frac{e^{\boldsymbol{i}\theta}}{f(\theta)} \right)^{r+1-j} \right).$$

Using (6.13) we deduce that

$$\left| \frac{t^{r+1}}{\boldsymbol{i}^r \nu^{r+1}} D_{\nu,r}(t)) - \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{i}^r} I_r(t) \right| \leq 2r!t^{r+1} \left| \frac{\sin\left(\frac{(\nu+1)t}{2\nu}\right)}{f(\theta)^{r+1}} e^{\boldsymbol{i}r\theta} - \sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \right| + r! \sum_{j=1}^{r} t^j \left| \frac{\binom{\nu+1}{j}}{\nu^j} \left(\frac{e^{\boldsymbol{i}\theta}}{f(\theta)} \right)^{r+1-j} - \frac{1}{j!} \right|. \tag{D.2}$$

In the sequel we will use Landau's symbol O. These implied constants will be independent of ν. Also we will denote by the same symbol C_r constants independent of ν put possibly dependent on r. Throughout we assume $0 < t \leq \pi\nu$. Then $0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and for $0 \leq j \leq r$ we have

$$e^{\boldsymbol{i}\theta} = 1 + O(\theta), \quad \frac{\binom{\nu+1}{j}}{\nu^j} = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right), \quad \sin\left(\frac{(\nu+1)t}{2\nu}\right) = \sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) + O(\theta),$$

$$\frac{e^{\boldsymbol{i}\theta}}{f(\theta)} = \frac{\theta(\cos\theta + \boldsymbol{i}\sin\theta)}{\sin\theta} = 1 + O(\theta).$$

Hence

$$\left| \frac{\sin\left(\frac{(\nu+1)t}{2\nu}\right)}{f(\theta)^{r+1}} e^{\boldsymbol{i}r\theta} - \sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \right| = O(\theta), \tag{D.3}$$

while for any $1 \leq j \leq r$ we have

$$\left| \frac{\binom{\nu+1}{j}}{\nu^j} \left(\frac{e^{\boldsymbol{i}\theta}}{f(\theta)} \right)^{r+1-j} - \frac{1}{j!} \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{\nu} + \theta \right). \tag{D.4}$$

Using (D.3) and (D.4) in (D.2) we deduce that

$$\left| \frac{t^{r+1}}{\boldsymbol{i}^r \nu^{r+1}} D_{\nu,r}(t)) - \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{i}^r} I_r(t) \right| = O\left(\theta + \left(\theta + \frac{1}{\nu} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} t^j \right) = O\left(\frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} t^j \right).$$

Hence

$$\left| \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{i}^r \nu^{r+1}} D_{\nu,r}(t)) - \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{i}^r t^{r+1}} I_r(t) \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{\nu t^r} \frac{(1-t^{r+1})}{(1-t)} \right).$$

□

Proof of Lemma 6.7. We have

$$R_\infty^{(k)}(t) = \pm \frac{1}{t^{k+3}} \int_0^t \tau^{k+2} u(\tau) d\tau, \;\; u(\tau) = \begin{cases} \sin\tau, & k \in 1 + 2\mathbb{Z} \\ \cos\tau, & k \in 2\mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}.$$

Note that

$$|R_\infty^{(k)}(0)| = \frac{1}{k+3} = \frac{1}{t^{k+3}} \int_0^t \tau^{k+2} d\tau.$$

The inequality (6.19a) now follows from the inequality $|u(\tau)| \leq 1$, $\forall \tau$.

For any positive integer r we denote by $\boldsymbol{j}_r$ the r-th jet at 0 of a one-variable function. We can rewrite (6.13) as follows:

$$\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{i}^r} I_r(t) = r! \left(2\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) e^{\frac{\boldsymbol{i}t}{2}} - \boldsymbol{i} e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{(-\boldsymbol{i}t)^j}{j!} \right) = r! \left(2\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) e^{\frac{\boldsymbol{i}t}{2}} - \boldsymbol{i} e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_r (e^{-\boldsymbol{i}t} - 1) \right)$$

$$= r! \left(2\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) e^{\frac{\boldsymbol{i}t}{2}} + \boldsymbol{i} e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} - \boldsymbol{i} e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_r \big(e^{-\boldsymbol{i}t} \big) \right)$$

$$= r! \left(-\boldsymbol{i} (e^{\frac{\boldsymbol{i}t}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\boldsymbol{i}t}{2}}) e^{\frac{\boldsymbol{i}t}{2}} + \boldsymbol{i} e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} - \boldsymbol{i} e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_r \big(e^{-\boldsymbol{i}t} \big) \right) = \boldsymbol{i} r! \left(1 - e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_r \big(e^{-\boldsymbol{i}t} \big) \right)$$

Hence

$$\mathbf{Re} \left(\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{i}^r} I_r(t) \right) = \mathbf{Im} \left(e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_r (e^{-\boldsymbol{i}t}) \right) \;\text{ and }\; \frac{1}{t^{r+1}} I_r(t) = O(t^{-1}) \;\; t \to \infty.$$

This proves (6.19b).

The spectral measure

$$d\sigma_\nu = \frac{1}{\pi \nu^3} \sum_{m=1}^{\nu} m^2 \delta_{\frac{m}{\nu}}$$

of the process $\mathcal{F}_\nu$ converges weakly as $\nu \to \infty$ to the measure

$$d\sigma_\infty = \frac{1}{\pi} \chi_{[0,1]} t^2 dt,$$

where $\chi_{[0,1]}$ denotes the characteristic function of $[0,1]$. Indeed, an argument identical to the one used in the proof of Lemma 6.4 shows that for every continous bounded function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\lim_{\nu \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) d\sigma_\nu(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) d\sigma_\infty.$$

The complex valued stationary Gaussian process $\mathcal{F}_\infty$ on $\mathbb{R}$ with spectral measure $d\sigma_\infty$ has covariance function

$$\mathcal{R}_\infty = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 t^2 e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} dt.$$

Note that $\mathbf{Re}\, \mathcal{R}_\infty = R_\infty$. The results in [9, §10.6] show that the covariance matrix

$$\mathcal{X}_\infty = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}_\infty(0) & \overline{\mathcal{R}}_\infty(t) & \overline{\mathcal{R}}'_\infty(0) & \overline{\mathcal{R}}'_\infty(t) \\ \mathcal{R}_\infty(t) & \mathcal{R}_\infty(0) & -\boldsymbol{i}\mathcal{R}'_\infty(t) & -\boldsymbol{i}\overline{\mathcal{R}}'_\infty(0) \\ \mathcal{R}'_\infty(0) & \boldsymbol{i}\overline{\mathcal{R}}'_\infty(t) & -\mathcal{R}''_\nu(0) & -\overline{\mathcal{R}}'_\infty(t) \\ \mathcal{R}'_\infty(t) & \boldsymbol{i}\mathcal{R}'_\infty(0) & -\mathcal{R}''_\infty(t) & -\mathcal{R}''_\infty(0) \end{bmatrix} = \lim_{\nu \to \infty} \mathcal{X}_\nu,$$

is nondegenerate. The equality $\det \mathbf{Re}\, \mathcal{X}_\infty(t) \neq 0$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ implies as in Remark 6.3 that $\mu_\infty(t) \neq 0$, $|\rho_\infty(t)| < 1$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$, where

$$\mu_\infty = \frac{(\bar{\lambda}_0^2 - R_\infty^2)\bar{\lambda}_2 - \bar{\lambda}_0(R'_\infty)^2}{\lambda_0^2 - R_\infty^2}, \quad \rho_\infty = \frac{R''_\infty(\bar{\lambda}_0^2 - R_\infty^2) + (R'_\infty)^2 R_\infty}{(\bar{\lambda}_0^2 - R_\infty^2)\bar{\lambda}_2 - \bar{\lambda}_0(R'_\infty)^2}.$$

This proves (6.19c). The equality (6.19d) follows from the Taylor expansion of R_∞. □

REFERENCES

[1] R. Adler, R.J.E. Taylor: *Random Fields and Geometry*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, 2007.

[2] G. W. Anderson, A. Guionnet, O. Zeitouni: *An Introduction to Random Matrices*, Cambridge University Press, 2010.

[3] G.E. Andrews, R. Askey, R. Roy: *Special Functions*, Encyclopedia of Math. and its Appl., vol 71, Cambridge University Press, 2006.

[4] V.I. Arnold: *Topological classification of Morse functions and generalizations of Hilbert's 16th Problem*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom., **10**(2007), 227-236.

[5] ______: *Topological classification of trigonometric polynomials of the affine Coxeter group $\tilde{A}_2$*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **258**(2007), no. 1, 3-12.

[6] ______: *Statistics and classification of topologies of periodic functions and trigonometric polynomials* Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 2006, Dynamical Systems: Modeling, Optimization, and Control, suppl. 1, S13–S23.

[7] P. Bleher, X. Di: *Correlations between zeros of a random polynomial*, J. Stat. Phys., **88**(1997), 269-305.

[8] P. Bleher, B. Shiffman, S. Zelditch: *Universality and scaling of zeros on symplectic manifolds* in *Random Matrix Models and Their Applications*, ed. P. Bleher and A.R. Its, MSRI Publications 40, Cambridge University Press, 2001.

[9] S.S. Chern, R. Lashof: *On the total curvature of immersed manifolds*, Amer. J. Math., **79**(1957), 306-318.

[10] R. Courant, D. Hilbert: *Methods of Mathematical Physics*, vol.1, John Wiley & Sons, 1997.

[11] H. Cramér, M.R. Leadbetter: *Stationary and Related Stochastic Processes: Sample Function Properties and Their Applications*, Dover, 2004.

[12] P. Deift, D. Gioev: *Random Matrix Theory: Invariant Ensembles and Universality*, Courant Lecture Notes, vol. 18, Amer. Math. Soc., 2009.

[13] M. Douglas, B. Shiffman, S. Zelditch: *Critical points and supersymmetric vacua*, Comm. Math. Phys., **252**(2004), 325-358.

[14] ______: *Critical points and supersymmetric vacua, II: Asymptotics and extremal metrics*, J. Diff. Geom., **72**(2006), 381-427.

[15] J.E.A Dunnage: *The number of real zeros of a random trigonometric polynomial*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **16**(1966), 53-84.

[16] H. Federer: *Geometric Measure Theory*, Springer Verlag, 1969.

[17] P. J. Forrester: *Log-Gases and Random Matrices*, London Math. Soc. Monographs, Princeton University Press, 2010.

[18] Y. V. Fyodorov: *Complexity of random energy landscapes, glass transition, and absolute value of the spectral determinant of random matrices*, Pys. Rev. Lett, **92**(2004), 240601; Erratum: **93**(2004), 149901.

[19] A. Granville, I. Wigman: *The distribution of zeroes of random trigonometric polynomials*, arXiv: 0809.1848

[20] J. Jacod, P. Protter: *Probability Essentials*, 2nd Edition, Universitext, Springer Verlag, 2003.

[21] M. Kac: *The average number of real roots of a random algebraic equation*, Bull. A.M.S. **49**(1943), 314-320.

[22] S. G. Krantz, H.R. Parks: *Geometric Integration Theory*, Birkhäuser, 2008.

[23] M. L. Mehta: *Random Matrices*, 3rd Edition, Elsevier, 2004.

[24] J.W. Milnor: *On the total curvature of knots*, Ann. Math., **52**(1950), 248-257.

[25] C. Müller: *Analysis of Spherical Symmetries in Euclidean Spaces*, Appl. Math. Sci. vol. 129, Springer Verlag, 1998.

[26] S. Nazarov, M. Sodin: *On the number of nodal domains of random spherical harmonics*, Amer. J. Math, **131**(2009), 1337-1357. arXiv: 0706.2409.

[27] L.I. Nicolaescu: *Lectures on the Geometry of Manifolds*, 2nd Edition, World Scientific, 2007.

[28] ______: *An Invitation to Morse Theory*, Springer Verlag, 2007.

[29] J. Peetre: *A generalization of Couran't nodal domain theorem*, Math. Scand., **5**(1957), 15-20.

[30] C. Qualls: *On the number of zeros of a stationary gaussian random polynomial*, J. London Math. Soc. **2**(1970), 216-220.
[31] A. Pleijel: *Remarks on Courant's nodal line theorem*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **9**(1956), 543-550.
[32] S.O. Rice: *Mathematical analysis of random noise*, Bell System Tech. J. 23 (1944), 282332, and 24 (1945), 46156; reprinted in: Selected papers on noise and stochastic processes, Dover, New York (1954), pp. 133294.
[33] E.T. Whittaker, G.N. Watson: *A Course in Modern Analysis*, Cambridge Mathematical Library Series, Cambridge University Press, 1996.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, IN 46556-4618.
E-mail address: `nicolaescu.1@nd.edu`
URL: `http://www.nd.edu/~lnicolae/`